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## 1 Background

### 1.1 The Bott-Virasoro Group

For $M$ a smooth manifold with metric $(-,-)$ and $c:[a, b] \rightarrow M$ a smooth curve, the energy of $c$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}(c):=\frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{b}(\dot{c}(t), \dot{c}(t)) d t \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A variation of $c$ is defined to be a smooth map $\tilde{c}:[a, b] \times(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M$ with $\tilde{c}(-, 0)=c$ and a curve $c$ is called a geodesic if for every variation $\tilde{c}$ with endpoints fixed, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{s=0} \mathcal{E}(\tilde{c}(-, s))=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $M$ is a Lie group $G$ with inner product $\langle-,-\rangle$ on its Lie algebra g , there is a left-invariant metric (,-- ) on $G$ by

$$
\left(X_{g}, Y_{g}\right):=\left\langle l_{g^{-1} *} X_{g}, l_{g^{-1} *} Y_{g}\right\rangle .
$$

where $X_{g}, Y_{g} \in T_{g} G$. Letting $A: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ be the natural isomorphism induced from the inner product, we define a related curve of $c$ in the dual Lie algebra $m:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ given by

$$
m(t):=A\left(l_{c(t)^{-1} *} \dot{c}(t)\right) .
$$

In this case, the condition (2) is equivalent to the so-called Euler equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{m}(t)=-\mathrm{ad}_{A^{-1}(m(t))}^{*} m(t) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we restrict $G$ to the Bott-Virasoro group Vir. It is defined to be a set $\operatorname{Diff}\left(S^{1}\right) \times_{B} \mathbb{R}$, with multiplication

$$
(\varphi, a)(\psi, b)=(\varphi \circ \psi, a+b+B(\varphi, \psi))
$$

where $B: \operatorname{Diff}\left(S^{1}\right) \times \operatorname{Diff}\left(S^{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the Bott cocycle given by

$$
B(\varphi, \psi):=\frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{1}} \log \left(\varphi^{\prime} \circ \psi\right) d \log \psi^{\prime} .
$$

The dual space of its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{v i}{\underset{ }{*}}^{*}$ is identified with the vector space $\mathfrak{X}\left(S^{1}\right)^{*} \times \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathfrak{X}\left(S^{1}\right)^{*}=\left\{u d x \otimes d x \mid u \in C^{\infty}\left(S^{1}\right)\right\}$ consists of the quadratic forms on $S^{1}$. Assume that $(u d x \otimes d x, a)$ : $[a, b] \rightarrow \mathfrak{v i r}^{*}$ is the curved defined in (3) for the Bott-Virasoro group. Then, it is known that the Euler equation amounts to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=-a u^{\prime \prime \prime}-3 u u^{\prime} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is nothing but the KdV equation. (See Vizman [13] for details).

### 1.2 The Space of Equicentroaffine Curves

On the other hand, the KdV equation can be derived in the following way. Let $\mathcal{M}$ denote the connected component of the space of equicentroaffine curves containing the unit circle $c$. An element in $\mathcal{M}$ is a plain curve $\gamma: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $\operatorname{det}\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=1$. Given $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}$, its equicentroaffine curvature $\kappa: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is determined by

$$
\gamma^{\prime \prime}+\kappa \gamma=0
$$

A tangent vector $X \in T_{\gamma} \mathcal{M}$ on $\mathcal{M}$ is identified with a vector field along $\gamma$, expressed in the form

$$
X=-\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{\prime} \gamma+\lambda \gamma^{\prime}
$$

where $\lambda: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is some function on $S^{1}$. In [5], Fujioka and Kurose studied two presymplectic forms $\hat{\omega}_{0}$ and $\hat{\omega}_{1}$ on $\mathcal{M}$, where $\hat{\omega}_{0}$ was first created by Pinkall [11]. For $X=-\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{\prime} \gamma+\lambda \gamma^{\prime}, Y=$ $-\frac{1}{2} \mu^{\prime} \gamma+\mu \gamma^{\prime} \in T_{\gamma} \mathcal{M}, \hat{\omega}_{0}$ is defined by

$$
\hat{\omega}_{0}(X, Y):=\int_{S^{1}} \lambda \mu^{\prime} d x
$$

which we will call the Pinkall 2-form, and $\hat{\omega}_{1}$ by

$$
\hat{\omega}_{1}(X, Y):=\int_{S^{1}} \lambda\left(\frac{1}{2} \mu^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 \kappa \mu^{\prime}+\kappa^{\prime} \mu\right) d x
$$

the Fujioka-Kurose 2-form. Fujioka and Kurose also studied a Hamiltonian function $H$ on $\mathcal{M}$, whose Hamiltonian vector field $X_{H}$ with respect to $\hat{\omega}_{1}$ is given by

$$
X_{H}(\gamma)=\frac{1}{2} \kappa^{\prime} \gamma-\kappa \gamma^{\prime}
$$

where $\kappa$ is the equicentroaffine curvature of $\gamma$. In terms of this vector field, let $\tilde{\gamma}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be an integral curve of $X_{H}$, and $\tilde{\kappa}(-, t): S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the corresponding equicentroaffine curvature of $\tilde{\gamma}(t)$, which we will call the equicentroaffine curvature flow. Pinkall proved that such equicentroaffine curvature flow $\tilde{\kappa}$ must satisfy the KdV equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\tilde{\kappa}}=-\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\kappa}^{\prime \prime \prime}-3 \tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{\prime} \tilde{\kappa} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is very interesting that the KdV equation appears in such two completely different objects, the Bott-Virasoro group and the space of equicentroaffine curves. We think that there may exist some mathematical connection behind them, and this becomes the motivation for this study.

## 2 Main Results

Note that as the space of equicentroaffine curves, the KdV equation (4) derived from the BottVirasoro group is also associated to a 2-form - the canonical symplectic form $d \Theta$ on the cotangent bundle $G \ltimes \mathfrak{g}^{*}$. With respect to $d \Theta$, there is a Hamiltonian function $E$ on $G \ltimes \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ such that the $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$-part of an integral curve $(\varphi, \xi)$ of its Hamiltonian vector field $X_{E}$ satisfies the Euler equation, i.e.,

$$
\dot{\xi}(t)=-\operatorname{ad}_{A^{-1}(\xi(t))}^{*} \xi(t) .
$$

This means that by setting $G$ to be the Bott-Virasoro group Vir, we can obtain the KdV equation in this way. In a word, we have related the KdV equation (4) derived from the Bott-Virasoro group to a symplectic from $d \Theta$ and a Hamiltonian vector field $X_{E}$ with Hamiltonian function $E$. On the other hand, we have already seen that KdV equation (5) is related to the presymplectic form $\hat{\omega}_{1}$ and the vector field $X_{H}$ with Hamiltonian function $H$

$$
d H=-i_{X_{H}} \hat{\omega}_{1} .
$$

Thus, it is reasonable to ask if there are some relations between these two forms, Hamiltonian vector fields, and Hamiltonian functions. As a result, it does, and we have proved that both the Fujioka-Kurose 2 -form $\hat{\omega}_{1}$, and the Pinkall 2 -form $\hat{\omega}_{0}$ are the pullbacks of the canonical symplectic form $d \Theta$ under certain maps. Note that for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists $\psi \in \operatorname{Diff}\left(S^{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\gamma=c \cdot \psi=\frac{c \circ \psi}{\sqrt{\psi^{\prime}}},
$$

where the action on the right is called Pinkall's right action. Now, we shall state the theorems:
Theorem 1. Given $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}$, let $\psi \in \operatorname{Diff}\left(S^{1}\right)$ such that $\gamma=c \cdot \psi$, and define $\sigma_{0}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \operatorname{Vir} \ltimes \mathfrak{w i r}^{*}$ by

$$
\sigma_{0}(\gamma):=\left(\left(\psi^{-1}, 0\right),\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\psi^{-1}\right)^{\prime 2} d x \otimes d x, 0\right)\right)
$$

Then, we have

$$
\sigma_{0}^{*} d \Theta=\hat{\omega}_{0}
$$

where $d \Theta$ is the canonical symplectic form on $\operatorname{Vir} \ltimes \mathfrak{v i r}^{*}$ and $\hat{\omega}_{0}$ the Pinkall 2-form on $\mathcal{M}$.
Theorem 2. Given $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}$, let $\psi \in \operatorname{Diff}\left(S^{1}\right)$ such that $\gamma=c \cdot \psi$ and define $\sigma_{1}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \operatorname{Vir} \ltimes \mathfrak{v i r}^{*}$ by

$$
\sigma_{1}(\gamma):=\left((\psi, 0),\left(-\kappa d x \otimes d x,-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)
$$

where $\kappa$ is the equicentroaffine curvature of $\gamma$. Then, we have

$$
\sigma_{1}^{*} d \Theta=\hat{\omega}_{1}
$$

where $\hat{\omega}_{1}$ is the Fujioka-Kurose 2 -form on $\mathcal{M}$.
Remark 3. In Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, it turns out that the $\mathfrak{v i x}^{*}$-part of $\sigma_{0}$ and $\sigma_{1}$ are momentum maps with respect to certain actions of Vir on $\mathcal{M}$. This is one of the mains results in Fujioka, Kurose and Moriyoshi [6].

By making use of $\sigma_{1}$, we can also obtain the following relation between $X_{H}$ and $X_{E}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1 *}\left(X_{H}(\gamma)\right)=X_{E}\left(\sigma_{1}(\gamma)\right)+X \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X \in T_{\sigma_{1}(\gamma)}\left(\operatorname{Vir} \ltimes \mathfrak{v i x}^{*}\right)$ is a tangent vector such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \Theta\left(X_{\sigma_{1}(\gamma)}, \sigma_{1 *} Z\right)=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $Z \in T_{\gamma} \mathcal{M}$. The existence of $X$ in (6) may keep us from getting an explicit relation between $X_{H}$ and $X_{E}$. But we have proved

Theorem 4. Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}$ be an element in $\mathcal{M}$. Suppose that $X \in T_{\sigma_{1}(\gamma)}\left(G \ltimes g^{*}\right)$ is the tangent vector in (6) which satisfies (7). Then, X has the form

$$
X=(K,(0 d x \otimes d x, 0))
$$

where $K$ represents a tangent vector over $G$.
Theorem 4 means that the vir* $^{*}$-part of $X$ vanishes, and this will kill the obstruction produced by $X$. Then, we can finally provide an explanation, or say another proof, of why the equicentroaffine curvature flow $\tilde{\kappa}$ must satisfies the KdV equation:

Corollary 5. Let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be an integral curve of $X_{H}$. Then, we have

$$
\dot{\tilde{\kappa}}=-\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\kappa}^{\prime \prime \prime}-3 \tilde{\kappa}^{\prime} \tilde{\kappa},
$$

where $\tilde{\kappa}$ is the equicentroaffine curvature of $\tilde{\gamma}$.
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