

# Effective nonvanishing of pluriadjoint line bundles

Tomoki Arakawa (Sophia University)

## 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety defined over  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $L$  an ample line bundle over  $X$ . Then the pair  $(X, L)$  is called a **polarized manifold**.

In the classification theory of polarized manifolds, it is important to study a condition on the integer  $m$  for which  $|K_X + mL|$  is free. Fujita's freeness conjecture predicts that  $|K_X + mL|$  is free for any  $m \geq \dim X + 1$ . It is known that the above conjecture is true when  $\dim X \leq 4$ . In higher dimensional case, it is proved that  $|K_X + mL|$  is free for every integer  $m \geq \dim X(\dim X + 1)/2 + 1$  (see [1], [9]).

On the other hand when  $K_X + L$  is nef, by the nonvanishing theorem due to V. Shokurov, we see that  $|m(K_X + L)| \neq \emptyset$  holds for  $m \gg 0$ . Then it is important to find an integer  $m$  with  $|m(K_X + L)| \neq \emptyset$ . Concerning this, Y. Kawamata ([7]) proposed the following conjecture:

**CONJECTURE 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a normal projective variety and let  $B$  be a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -effective divisor on  $X$  such that  $(X, B)$  is a KLT pair. Let  $D$  be a nef Cartier divisor on  $X$  such that  $D - (K_X + B)$  is nef and big. Then  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \neq 0$  holds.*

When  $X$  is smooth,  $B = 0$  and  $D := K_X + L$  is nef, this implies that  $|K_X + L| \neq \emptyset$  holds for any polarized manifold  $(X, L)$  with  $K_X + L$  nef. In [7], Kawamata solved the conjecture above when  $X$  is 2-dimensional and when  $X$  is a minimal 3-fold. A. Höring ([6, Theorem 1.5]) solved it when  $X$  is a normal projective 3-fold with at most  $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial canonical singularities,  $B = 0$ , and  $D - K_X$  is a nef and big Cartier divisor. These results are immediate consequences of the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem and some classical results on surfaces and 3-folds. In higher dimensional case, it is rather difficult to calculate  $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$ . Indeed, Conjecture 1.1 is still widely open for the case of  $\dim X \geq 4$ .

Concerning the effective nonvanishing of global sections of pluri-adjoint line bundles, Y. Fukuma proposed the following problem:

**PROBLEM 1.2**([4, Problem 3.2]). *For any fixed positive integer  $n$ , find the smallest positive integer  $m_n$  depending only on  $n$  such that  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X + L))) \neq 0$  for every  $m \geq m_n$  and for every polarized manifold  $(X, L)$  of dimension  $n$  with  $\kappa(K_X + L) \geq 0$ .*

It is known that  $m_1 = 1$ ,  $m_2 = 1$  (cf. [4, Theorem 2.8]) and  $m_3 = 1$  ([6]). Recently, Fukuma also treated the case of  $\dim X = 4$  ([5]).

Our main result is the following:

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Let  $(X, L)$  be a polarized manifold of dimension  $n$  with  $K_X + L$  nef. Then  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X + L))) \neq 0$  holds for every positive integer  $m \geq n(n + 1)/2 + 2$ .*

The above theorem gives a partial answer to Problem 1.2 in higher dimensional case. We give the proof in Section 3; our basic tool is singular hermitian metrics, which will be reviewed in the next section.

## 2. Preliminaries

We introduce the notions of singular hermitian metrics and multiplier ideal sheaves.

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $L$  be a holomorphic line bundle over a complex manifold  $X$ . A **singular hermitian metric**  $h$  on  $L$  is given by  $h = h_0 \cdot e^{-\varphi}$ , where  $h_0$  is a  $C^\infty$ -hermitian metric on

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 14C20; Secondary 14J40.

*Key words and phrases:* Polarized manifolds, adjoint bundles, effective nonvanishing.

$L$  and  $\varphi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(X)$ . The **curvature current**  $\Theta_h$  of  $h$  is defined by

$$\Theta_h := \Theta_{h_0} + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi,$$

where  $\Theta_{h_0}$  denotes the curvature form of  $h_0$ , and  $\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$  is taken in the sense of currents.

**EXAMPLE 2.2.** Let  $L$  be a holomorphic line bundle over a complex manifold  $X$ . Suppose that there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mL)) \neq 0$ . Let  $\sigma \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mL))$  be a nontrivial section. Then

$$h := \frac{1}{|\sigma|^{2/m}} = \frac{h_0}{h_0^{\otimes m}(\sigma, \sigma)^{1/m}}$$

is a singular hermitian metric on  $L$ , where  $h_0$  is an arbitrary  $C^\infty$ -hermitian metric on  $L$ . By Poincaré-Lelong's formula, we have  $\Theta_h = 2\pi/m(\sigma)$ , where  $(\sigma)$  denotes the current of integration over the divisor of  $\sigma$ . In particular, we see that  $\Theta_h$  is a positive current.

**DEFINITION 2.3.** Let  $L$  be a line bundle over a complex manifold  $X$  and  $h$  a singular hermitian metric on  $L$ . We shall write  $h$  as  $h = h_0 \cdot e^{-\varphi}$ , where  $h_0$  is a  $C^\infty$ -hermitian metric on  $L$  and  $\varphi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(X)$ . Then we define the **multiplier ideal sheaf**  $\mathcal{I}(h)$  of  $(L, h)$  by

$$\Gamma(U, \mathcal{I}(h)) := \{f \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X) \mid |f|^2 \cdot e^{-\varphi} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(U)\},$$

where  $U$  runs over the open subsets of  $X$ .

The following vanishing theorem due to A. Nadel ([8]) plays a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 1.3 (cf. Remark 2.4.1).

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Let  $L$  be a line bundle over a compact Kähler manifold  $(X, \omega)$ , and  $h$  a singular hermitian metric on  $L$ . Suppose that the curvature current  $\Theta_h$  of  $h$  is strictly positive, i.e., there exists a constant  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\Theta_h - \varepsilon\omega$  is a positive  $(1, 1)$ -current. Then  $\mathcal{I}(h)$  is a coherent sheaf on  $X$ , and*

$$H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + L) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h)) = 0$$

holds for every  $q \geq 1$ .

**REMARK 2.4.1.** We shall explain how to establish the effective nonvanishing of global sections of (multi-)adjoint line bundles by using the above theorem. Suppose that there exists a singular hermitian metric  $h$  on a line bundle  $L$  such that

1.  $\Theta_h$  is strictly positive;
2.  $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}(h)$  has isolated support at a point  $x$  in  $X$ .

Then by Theorem 2.4, we have  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + L) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h)) = 0$ . This implies that the map:

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + L)) \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}(h))$$

is surjective. Therefore, since the support of  $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}(h)$  is isolated at  $x$ , we can take a global section  $\sigma \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + L))$  with  $\sigma(x) \neq 0$ . In particular, we conclude  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + L)) \neq 0$ .

### 3. Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.3

We shall prove Theorem 1.3. Let  $\Phi_m : X \dashrightarrow \mathbf{P}H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X + L)))^*$  denote the rational map associated with  $|m(K_X + L)|$ . By the base point free theorem and by taking an integer  $m \gg 1$ , we obtain a surjective morphism  $f := \Phi_m : X \rightarrow Y$ , where  $Y$  denotes the image of  $X$ . We may assume that  $\kappa(X, K_X + L) = \dim Y$  and  $\kappa(F, K_F + L|_F) = 0$  for the general fiber  $F$  of  $f$ . Taking a suitable modification, we may also assume that  $Y$  is smooth. Now we define the reflexive sheaf  $B$  on  $Y$  by  $B := f_*\mathcal{O}_X(K_X/Y + L)^{**}$ . Since  $K_F + L|_F$  is trivial,  $B$  is an invertible sheaf on  $Y$ . Moreover we have the following:

LEMMA 3.1. *B is big, and  $K_Y + B$  is nef and big.*

PROOF. Let  $h_L$  be a  $C^\infty$ -hermitian metric on  $L$  with strictly positive curvature. Then we define the singular hermitian metric  $h_B$  on  $B$  by

$$h_B(\sigma, \sigma) := \int_{X/Y} h_L \cdot \sigma \wedge \bar{\sigma}, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\sigma \in \Gamma(Y, B)$  is a global section of  $B$ . Then by [3, Theorem 0.1], we see that  $h_B$  has strictly positive curvature current. This implies that  $B$  is big. On the other hand, by the construction of  $B$ , it follows immediately that  $K_Y + B$  is big. (For the nefness of  $K_Y + B$ , see [2, Lemma 4.3].)  $\square$

So it suffices to show the following:

LEMMA 3.2.  *$H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m(K_Y + B))) \neq 0$  holds for every integer  $m \geq d(d+1)/2 + 2$ , where  $d := \dim Y$ .*

SKETCH OF THE PROOF OF LEMMA 3.2. We use the technique adopted by Angehrn and Siu ([1]) and Tsuji ([9]) in their study of Fujita's freeness conjecture. We set  $\mu_0 := N^d$  and fix a point  $y_0$  on  $Y$ . First, by a dimension counting argument, we have the following:

LEMMA 3.3.  *$H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m(K_Y + B))) \otimes \mathbf{m}_{y_0}^{\lceil \frac{d}{\sqrt{\mu_0}}(1-\varepsilon)m \rceil} \neq 0$  holds for every  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and every  $m \gg 0$ .*

Fix  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and a positive integer  $m_0$  as in the above lemma, and take a nontrivial global section:

$$\sigma_0 \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m_0(K_Y + B))) \otimes \mathbf{m}_{y_0}^{\lceil \frac{d}{\sqrt{\mu_0}}(1-\varepsilon)m_0 \rceil}.$$

We define the singular hermitian metric  $h_0$  on  $K_Y + B$  by  $h_0 = |\sigma_0|^{-2/m_0}$ . Let  $\alpha_0$  be the positive number defined by  $\alpha_0 := \inf \{ \alpha > 0 \mid \mathcal{I}(h_0^\alpha)_{y_0} \neq \mathcal{O}_{Y, y_0} \}$ . Then by the fact that  $(\sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2)^{-n}$  is not locally integrable around the origin of  $\mathbf{C}^n$ , we get  $\alpha_0 \leq (d/\sqrt{\mu_0})(1-\varepsilon)^{-1}$ . Fix an arbitrary positive number  $\lambda \ll 1/d$ . Since  $\mu_0 \geq 1$  holds, by taking  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small, we may assume that  $\alpha_0 \leq d + \lambda$  holds.

Let  $V_1$  be the analytic set whose structure sheaf is  $\mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{I}(h_0^{\alpha_0})$ , and  $Y_1$  an irreducible component of  $V_1$  which passes through  $y_0$ . Here, for simplicity, we suppose that  $\dim Y_1 = 0$ . Then we have the following:

LEMMA 3.4.  *$H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m(K_Y + B))) \neq 0$  holds for every  $m \geq d + 2$ .*

PROOF. Fix an integer  $m \geq \alpha_0$ . Then by  $\alpha_0 \leq d + \lambda$ , we have  $m \geq d + 1$ .

Since  $K_Y + B$  is big, by Kodaira's lemma, we have an effective  $\mathbf{Q}$ -divisor  $G$  on  $Y$  such that  $K_Y + B - G$  is ample. We may assume that the support of  $G$  does not contain  $y_0$ . Let  $0 < \delta \ll 1$  be a rational number, and we set  $A := (m - \alpha_0)(K_Y + B) - \delta G$ . Note that  $A$  is ample, because  $K_Y + B$  is nef. Fix a  $C^\infty$ -hermitian metric  $h_A$  on  $A$  with strictly positive curvature. Let  $G = \sum e_i E_i$  be the irreducible decomposition of  $G$  and  $\sigma_i \in \Gamma(Y, E_i)$  a global section with  $(\sigma_i) = E_i$ . Then we define the singular hermitian metric  $h$  on  $\mathcal{O}_Y(m(K_Y + B))$  by

$$h := \frac{h_0^{\alpha_0} \cdot h_A}{\prod_i |\sigma_i|^{2\delta e_i}}.$$

Since  $h \cdot h_B$  has strictly positive curvature current, by virtue of Theorem 2.4 (cf. Remark 2.4.1), we see that the restriction map:

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y((m+1)(K_Y + B))) \longrightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y((m+1)(K_Y + B)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{I}(h \cdot h_B)) \quad (3.2)$$

is surjective. Now we may assume that  $y_0$  is not on the singular locus of  $h_B$ , and hence  $\mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{I}(h \cdot h_B)$  has isolated support at  $y_0$ . Therefore by the surjectivity of (3.2), there exists a global section  $\tau \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y((m+1)(K_Y + B)))$  with  $\tau(y_0) \neq 0$ . We have thus proved the lemma.  $\square$

When  $\dim Y_1 > 0$ , we need to cut down the support of  $\mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{I}(h \cdot h_B)$  in order to construct a singular hermitian metric as in Remark 2.4.1; by Angehrn-Siu's argument, we obtain the following lemma (see [1], [2, Section 3] for details).

LEMMA 3.5. *Let  $m$  be an integer with  $m \geq d(d+1)/2 + 1$ . Then there exists a singular hermitian metric  $h_{y_0}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_Y(m(K_Y + B))$  such that  $h_{y_0}$  has strictly positive curvature current, and  $\mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{I}(h_{y_0})$  has isolated support at  $y_0$ .*

Then by an similar argument to that in the proof of Lemma 3.4, we see that there exists a global section  $\tau \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m(K_Y + B)))$  with  $\tau(y_0) \neq 0$  for every  $m \geq d(d+1)/2 + 2$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] U. Angehrn and Y.-T. Siu: *Effective freeness and point separation for adjoint bundles*, Invent. Math. **122** (1995), 291–308.
- [2] T. Arakawa: *Effective nonvanishing of pluri-adjoint line bundles*, to appear in Tokyo Journal of Mathematics Vol. **38** (2015), no. 1.
- [3] B. Berndtsson and M. Paun: *Bergman kernels and the pseudoeffectivity of relative canonical bundles*, Duke Math. J. **145** (2008), no. 2, 341–378.
- [4] Y. Fukuma: *On the dimension of global sections of adjoint bundles for polarized 3-folds and 4-folds*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **211** (2007), 609–621.
- [5] Y. Fukuma: *Effective non-vanishing of global sections of multiple adjoint bundles for polarized 4-folds*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **217** (2013), 1535–1547.
- [6] A. Höring: *On a conjecture of Beltrametti and Sommese*, Journal of Algebraic Geometry **21** (2012), 721–751.
- [7] Y. Kawamata: *On effective nonvanishing and base point freeness*, Kodaira's issue, Asian J. Math. **4**, (2000), 173–181.
- [8] A. M. Nadel: *Multiplier ideal sheaves and existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics of positive scalar curvature*, Ann. of Math. **132** (1990), 549–596.
- [9] H. Tsuji: *Global generation of adjoint line bundles*, Nagoya Math. J. **142** (1996), 5–16.

*Present Address:*

DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, SOPHIA UNIVERSITY,  
KIOICHO, CHIYODA-KU, TOKYO, 102-8554 JAPAN.  
*e-mail:* tomoki-a@sophia.ac.jp