

# SINGULAR MAPPINGS AND THEIR ZERO-FORMS

GOO ISHIKAWA AND STANISŁAW JANECZKO

ABSTRACT. We study the quotient complexes of the de Rham complex on singular mappings; the complex of algebraic restrictions, the complex of geometric restrictions and the residual complex. Vanishing theorem for algebraic, geometric and residual cohomologies on quasi-homogeneous map-germs was proved. The finite order and symplectic zero-forms were characterized on parametric singularities. In this context the singular parametric Lagrangian surfaces were investigated, with the classification list of  $\mathcal{A}$ -simple Lagrangian singularities of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  into  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider smooth or holomorphic map-germs  $f : (\mathbb{F}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^m, 0)$ ,  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . The set of such map-germs is denoted by  $\mathcal{E}_{n,m}$ .

Let  $\Lambda_m^q$  denote the space of germs of  $q$ -forms of  $m$ -variables at zero. Note that  $\Lambda_m^0 = \mathcal{E}_m$  is the space of function-germs on  $(\mathbb{F}^m, 0)$ . The subspace  $Z_f^q$  of  $q$ -forms  $\omega$ , with vanishing pullbacks (geometric restriction to the image of  $f$ )  $f^*\omega = 0$  is called the space of *zero forms on  $f$*  ([6]). This is a module over smooth (or holomorphic) function-germs and its properties depend heavily on  $n, m, q$  and the singularity of  $f$ .

In this paper we study problems related to zero forms on map-germs from various viewpoints and provide some observations on them.

One of main problems in geometric singularity theory is the classification of the pairs  $(f, \omega)$  such that  $\omega$  is a zero-form on  $f$ . Two pairs  $(f, \omega)$  and  $(f', \omega')$  are equivalent if there exist diffeomorphisms  $\sigma$  on  $(\mathbb{F}^n, 0)$  and  $\tau$  on  $(\mathbb{F}^m, 0)$  such that  $f' = \tau \circ f \circ \sigma^{-1}$  and  $\omega = \tau^*\omega'$ . If  $\omega$  is a symplectic form, then the problem is weakened to the classification and the characterization under the left-right equivalence of map-germs having zero-form which is symplectic ([5]). Regarding Darboux theorem for symplectic forms, the problem is reduced, for a fixed symplectic form  $\omega$ , to classify map-germs under right-left equivalences  $(\sigma, \tau)$  with  $\tau^*\omega = \omega$ , i.e.  $\tau$  is a symplectomorphism. Such a classification problem is understood well by introducing the notion of algebraic restrictions of differential forms ([3]). In §2, we observe the related notions for the study of zero forms of map-germs.

By the condition  $f^*\omega = 0$  that  $\omega$  is a zero form on  $f$  is approximated by the nullity of finite jets  $j^k(f^*\omega)(0) = 0$  of forms. In §3, we provide several observations on the “order of nullity” or “order of isotropness” for map-germs and differential forms.

---

Key words: Differential forms, Singularities, Geometric restriction, Algebraic restriction, Residual cohomology, Parametric curves and surfaces.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 53D05. Secondary 58K05, 57R42, 58A10.

The Darboux normal form for symplectic forms is linear, i.e. represented by its 0-jet. Then, for a fixed system of coordinates on  $(\mathbb{F}^m, 0)$ , with even  $m$ , it has a sense to ask the existence of linear symplectic zero forms for a given map-germ  $f : (\mathbb{F}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^m, 0)$  related to the original problem. In §4 we provide several basic observations on the problem on the existence of symplectic zero forms and in §5, in particular the case  $n = 2, m = 4$ . Moreover related to the results in §5, we provide some examples of map-germs with many symplectic zero forms in §6.

The authors would like to thank an anonymous referee for his/her valuable comment and suggestion.

## 2. ALGEBRAIC, GEOMETRIC AND RESIDUAL COHOMOLOGIES OF MAP-GERMS

Let  $(\Lambda_m^*, d)$  be de Rham complex over  $(\mathbb{F}^m, 0)$ . Then  $(Z_f^*, d)$ , the pair of the differential ideal of zero forms on  $f$  and the exterior differential  $d$ , is a sub-complex of  $(\Lambda_m^*, d)$ . Moreover we consider the differential ideal  $AZ_f^*$  in  $\Lambda_m^*$  generated by

$$Z_f^0 = \{h \in \Lambda_m^0 \mid f^*h = 0\},$$

namely,

$$\begin{aligned} AZ_f^q &:= Z_f^0 \Lambda_m^q + d(Z_f^0) \Lambda_m^{q-1} \\ &= \{\sum_{i=1}^r h_i \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^s (dk_j) \wedge \beta_j \mid h_i \in Z_f^0, \alpha_i \in \Lambda_m^q, k_j \in Z_f^0, \beta_j \in \Lambda_m^{q-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $AZ_f^q \subset Z_f^q$  for any  $q$ . We call the forms in  $AZ_f^*$  *algebraically zero forms* on  $f$ . Then  $(AZ_f^*, d)$  is a sub-complex of  $(Z_f^*, d)$ . This is the parametric version of algebraically zero forms on subsets of manifolds introduced in [3]. In fact we have

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $f : U(\subset \mathbb{F}^n) \rightarrow V(\subset \mathbb{F}^m)$  be a representative of  $f$ , and  $Z = f(U)$ , then the set of algebraically null forms on  $Z$  in  $\Lambda^q(V)$  is equal to the set of forms  $\gamma + d(\delta)$  with  $\gamma \in \Lambda^q(V)$ ,  $\gamma(z) = 0(z \in Z)$  and  $\delta \in \Lambda^{q-1}(V)$ ,  $\delta(z) = 0(z \in Z)$ .*

Now, by setting  $\mathcal{A}_f^* := \Lambda_m^*/AZ_f^*$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_f^* := \Lambda_m^*/Z_f^*$  and  $\mathcal{R}_f^* := Z_f^*/AZ_f^*$ , we have the quotient complexes  $(\mathcal{A}_f^*, \bar{d})$ ,  $(\mathcal{G}_f^*, \bar{d})$  and  $(\mathcal{R}_f^*, \bar{d})$ , which we call the complex of algebraic restrictions, the complex of geometric restrictions and the residual complex on  $f$  respectively (see [6]). Then we have the exact sequences of complexes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & 0 \longrightarrow (AZ_f^*, d) \longrightarrow (\Lambda_m^*, d) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A}_f^*, \bar{d}) \longrightarrow 0, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & 0 \longrightarrow (Z_f^*, d) \longrightarrow (\Lambda_m^*, d) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{G}_f^*, \bar{d}) \longrightarrow 0, \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & 0 \longrightarrow (AZ_f^*, d) \longrightarrow (Z_f^*, d) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{R}_f^*, \bar{d}) \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\text{(iv)} \quad 0 \longrightarrow (\mathcal{R}_f^*, \bar{d}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A}_f^*, \bar{d}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{G}_f^*, \bar{d}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Note that  $AZ_f^0 = Z_f^0$  and therefore  $\mathcal{R}_f^0 = 0$ .

**Definition 2.2.** We call the cohomology  $H^\bullet(\mathcal{A}_f^*, \bar{d})$ ,  $H^\bullet(\mathcal{G}_f^*, \bar{d})$  and  $H^\bullet(\mathcal{R}_f^*, \bar{d})$  the *algebraic cohomology*, the *geometric cohomology* and the *residual cohomology* on  $f$  respectively.

These objects are invariant under the right-left equivalence of map-germs: If  $f$  is right-left equivalent to a germ  $g$ , then each cohomology of  $f$  and  $g$  are isomorphic. The algebraic and geometric cohomologies are studied in [2] for arbitrary subsets in manifolds. The homogeneity and quasi-homogeneity are important notions in singularity theory. See for the characterization problem of (quasi-)homogeneity the papers [8][1][9][10][11][12][13][14]. Here we intend to reformulate the results in [2] for map-germs and apply them to the study on zero forms, regarding the notion of homogeneity of map-germs in a generalized sense.

A map-germ  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m) : (\mathbb{F}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^m, 0)$  is called *weakly quasi-homogeneous* if there exist non-negative integers  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  and  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  such that

$$f(t^{\mu_1}x_1, \dots, t^{\mu_n}x_n) = (t^{\lambda_1}f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, t^{\lambda_m}f_m(x_1, \dots, x_n)).$$

Suppose, by some permutations of coordinates, that  $\lambda_i = 0 (1 \leq i \leq m_1), \lambda_i > 0 (m_1 + 1 \leq i \leq m)$  and that  $\mu_i = 0 (1 \leq i \leq n_1), \mu_i > 0 (n_1 + 1 \leq i \leq n)$ . Define the families of map-germs, for  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\varphi_t : (\mathbb{F}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^n, 0)$  and  $\Phi_t : (\mathbb{F}^m, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^m, 0)$  by

$$\varphi_t(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (t^{\mu_1}x_1, \dots, t^{\mu_n}x_n), \quad \Phi_t(y_1, \dots, y_m) = (t^{\lambda_1}y_1, \dots, t^{\lambda_m}y_m).$$

Then we have  $f \circ \varphi_t = \Phi_t \circ f : (\mathbb{F}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^m, 0)$ . Moreover  $\varphi_t$  (resp.  $\Phi_t$ ) defines a contraction of  $(\mathbb{F}^n, 0)$  to  $(\mathbb{F}^{n_1} \times 0, 0)$  (resp. a contraction of  $(\mathbb{F}^m, 0)$  to  $(\mathbb{F}^{m_1} \times 0, 0)$ ). Note that  $\varphi_t$  (resp.  $\Phi_t$ ) is smooth or holomorphic on  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  (resp. on  $(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ ) and is smooth on  $t$ . Define  $f^1 : (\mathbb{F}^{n_1}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^{m_1}, 0)$ , by  $f^1 := p_1 \circ f \circ i_1$ , called the *zero-weight part* of  $f$ , where

$$i_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}, 0, \dots, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad p_1(y_1, \dots, y_m) = (y_1, \dots, y_{m_1}),$$

In general, we say that  $f$  is *contractible* to  $f^1$  if there exist contractions  $\varphi_t$  of  $(\mathbb{F}^n, 0)$  to  $(\mathbb{F}^{n_1} \times 0, 0)$  and  $\Phi_t$  from  $(\mathbb{F}^m, 0)$  to  $(\mathbb{F}^{m_1} \times 0, 0)$ , smooth or holomorphic on  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and on  $(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ , smooth on  $t$  respectively, such that  $f \circ \varphi_t = \Phi_t \circ f$  with

$$\varphi_t|_{\mathbb{F}^{n_1} \times 0} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{F}^{n_1} \times 0}, \varphi_1 = \text{id}_{\mathbb{F}^n}, \varphi_0(\mathbb{F}^n) \subset \mathbb{F}^{n_1} \times 0,$$

$$\Phi_t|_{\mathbb{F}^{m_1} \times 0} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{F}^{m_1} \times 0}, \Phi_1 = \text{id}_{\mathbb{F}^m}, \Phi_0(\mathbb{F}^m) \subset \mathbb{F}^{m_1} \times 0.$$

Since  $f \circ \varphi_0 = \Phi_0 \circ f$ , we see that  $f|_{\mathbb{F}^{n_1} \times 0}$  is a mapping to  $\mathbb{F}^{m_1} \times 0$ , is identified with  $f^1 = \Phi_0 \circ f \circ i_1$  using the above notations. Note that  $\Phi_0 = p_1$  in the quasi-homogeneous case. Then, based on the ideas in [2] applied and modified to our parametric version, we have the following result:

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $f$  is contractible to  $f^1$ , then  $H^\bullet(AZ_f^*, d)$  and  $H^\bullet(AZ_{f^1}^*, d)$  (resp.  $H^\bullet(Z_f^*, d)$  and  $H^\bullet(Z_{f^1}^*, d)$ ) are isomorphic. Moreover the algebraic (resp. geometric, residual) cohomology of  $f$  is isomorphic to the algebraic (resp. geometric, residual) cohomology of  $f^1$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $j_1 : (\mathbb{F}^{m_1}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^m, 0)$  be the inclusion defined by

$$j_1(y_1, \dots, y_{m_1}) = (y_1, \dots, y_{m_1}, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Let  $h \in Z_f^0$ . Then  $(f^1)^*(j_1^*h) = (j_1 \circ f^1)^*h = (j_1 \circ \Phi_0 \circ f \circ i_1)^*h = (f \circ i_1)^*h = i_1^*(f^*h) = 0$ . Therefore we have  $j_1^*(AZ_f^q) \subset AZ_{f^1}^q$ . Hence  $j_1$  induces a morphism  $(j_1)_{AZ}^* : H^q(AZ_f^*, d) \rightarrow$

$H^q(AZ_{f^1}^*, d)$ . Similarly we have  $j_1^*(Z_f^q) \subset Z_{f^1}^q$  and  $j_1$  induces a morphism  $(j_1)_Z^* : H^q(AZ_f^*, d) \rightarrow H^q(Z_{f^1}^*, d)$ .

To show  $(\bar{j}_1)_{AZ}^*$  is surjective, take any  $\omega \in AZ_{f^1}^q$  with  $d\omega = 0$ . Consider  $\Phi_0^*\omega$  where  $\Phi_0$  is regarded as a map-germ  $(\mathbb{F}^m, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^{m_1}, 0)$ . Then  $d(\Phi_0^*\omega) = \Phi_0^*(d\omega) = 0$ . Now  $\Phi_0^* : \Lambda_{m_1}^q \rightarrow \Lambda_m^q$  satisfies  $\Phi_0^*(AZ_{f^1}^q) \subset AZ_f^q$ . In fact, let  $k \in Z_{f^1}^0$ . Then  $f^*(\Phi_0^*k) = (\Phi_0 \circ f)^*k = (f^1 \circ \varphi_0)^*k = \varphi^*(f^1)^*k = 0$ . Thus  $\Phi_0^*k \in AZ_f^q$ . We have  $d(\Phi_0^*\omega) \in AZ_f^{q+1}$  and  $j_1^*(\Phi_0^*\omega) = (\Phi_0 \circ j_1)^*\omega = \omega$ . Therefore  $(\bar{j}_1)_{AZ}^*([\Phi_0^*\omega]) = [\omega]$ , and we have that  $(\bar{j}_1)_{AZ}^*$  is surjective. Similarly we have  $\Phi_0^*(Z_{f^1}^q) \subset Z_f^q$  and  $(\bar{j}_1)_Z^*$  is surjective.

Let us show  $(\bar{j}_1)_{AZ}^*$  and  $(\bar{j}_1)_Z^*$  are injective. Take  $\omega \in AZ_f^{q+1}$  with  $d\omega = 0$ . Suppose  $(\bar{j}_1)_{AZ}^*[\omega] = 0$ , i.e.  $j_1^*\omega = d\eta$  for some  $\eta \in AZ_{f^1}^q$ . We have  $\Phi_1^*\omega - \Phi_0^*\omega = \int_0^1 (\frac{d}{dt}\Phi_t^*\omega)dt = \int_0^1 \Phi_t^*(L_{V_t}\omega)dt$ , where  $V_t = \frac{d\Phi_t}{dt}$  as a vector field along  $\Phi_t$ . Since  $L_{V_t}\omega = V_t \lrcorner \omega + d(V_t \lrcorner \omega) = d(V_t \lrcorner \omega)$  and  $\Phi_1 = \text{id}_{\mathbb{F}^m}$ , we have

$$\omega = \Phi_0^*\omega + d\alpha, \quad \alpha = \int_0^1 (V_t \lrcorner \omega)dt.$$

Since  $\Phi_0^*\omega = \Phi_0^*j_1^*\omega = \Phi_0^*(d\eta) = d(\Phi_0^*\eta)$ , we have  $\omega = d(\Phi_0^*\eta + \alpha)$ , with  $\Phi_0^*\eta + \alpha \in AZ_f^q$ . So  $[\omega] = 0 \in H^q(AZ_f^*, d)$ . Therefore  $(\bar{j}_1)_{AZ}^*$  is injective. Thus we have that  $(j_1)_{AZ}^* : H^q(AZ_f^*, d) \rightarrow H^q(AZ_{f^1}^*, d)$  is an isomorphism. Similarly we have  $(\bar{j}_1)_Z^*$  is injective. Note that if  $\omega \in Z_f^{q+1}$  then  $\alpha$  defined as above belongs to  $Z_{f^1}^q$ , since  $f \circ \varphi_t = \Phi_t \circ f$  and  $V_t$  is contained in the image of differential map of  $f$ . Thus we have that  $(j_1)_Z^* : H^q(Z_f^*, d) \rightarrow H^q(Z_{f^1}^*, d)$  is an isomorphism.

Moreover we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (AZ_f^*, d) & \longrightarrow & (\Lambda_m^*, d) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{A}_f^*, \bar{d}) \longrightarrow 0, \\ & & (j^1)^* \downarrow & & (j^1)^* \downarrow & & (j^1)_{\mathcal{A}}^* \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (AZ_{f^1}^*, d) & \longrightarrow & (\Lambda_{m_1}^*, d) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{A}_{f^1}^*, \bar{d}) \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

of complexes induced by  $j^1$ , related to the exact sequence (i), and the induced homomorphism  $(\bar{j}_1)_{\mathcal{A}}^* : H^q(\mathcal{A}_f^*, \bar{d}) \rightarrow H^q(\mathcal{A}_{f^1}^*, \bar{d})$ . Similarly we have the induced morphism  $(j_1)_{\mathcal{G}}^* : \mathcal{G}_f^q \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{f^1}^q$  and the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (Z_f^*, d) & \longrightarrow & (\Lambda_m^*, d) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{G}_f^*, \bar{d}) \longrightarrow 0, \\ & & (j^1)^* \downarrow & & (j^1)^* \downarrow & & (j^1)_{\mathcal{G}}^* \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (Z_{f^1}^*, d) & \longrightarrow & (\Lambda_{m_1}^*, d) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{G}_{f^1}^*, \bar{d}) \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

related to the exact sequence (ii), and thus the induced homomorphism  $(\bar{j}_1)_{\mathcal{G}}^* : H^q(\mathcal{G}_f^*, \bar{d}) \rightarrow H^q(\mathcal{G}_{f^1}^*, \bar{d})$ .

Regarding the long exact sequences of cohomologies, by virtue of the fact that de Rham complexes are acyclic, the Poincaré lemma, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} H^q(AZ_f^*, d) & \longrightarrow & H^q(\Lambda_m^*, d) & \longrightarrow & H^q(\mathcal{A}_f^*, \bar{d}) & \longrightarrow & H^{q+1}(AZ_f^*, d) & \longrightarrow & H^{q+1}(\Lambda_m^*, d), \\ (\bar{j}^1)_{AZ}^* \downarrow & & (\bar{j}^1)_\Lambda^* \downarrow & & (\bar{j}^1)_{\mathcal{A}}^* \downarrow & & (\bar{j}^1)_{AZ}^* \downarrow & & (\bar{j}^1)_\Lambda^* \downarrow \\ H^q(AZ_{f_1}^*, d) & \longrightarrow & H^q(\Lambda_{m_1}^*, d) & \longrightarrow & H^q(\mathcal{A}_{f_1}^*, \bar{d}) & \longrightarrow & H^{q+1}(AZ_{f_1}^*, d) & \longrightarrow & H^{q+1}(\Lambda_{m_1}^*, d), \end{array}$$

with isomorphisms  $(\bar{j}^1)_{AZ}^*$  and  $(\bar{j}^1)_\Lambda^*$ . Thus, by the five lemma, we have that  $(\bar{j}^1)_{\mathcal{A}}^*$  is an isomorphism. Similarly we have that  $(\bar{j}^1)_{\mathcal{G}}^*$  is an isomorphism. Finally by the exact sequence (iii) or (iv), we have that  $H^q(\mathcal{R}_f^*, \bar{d})$  and  $H^q(\mathcal{R}_{f_1}^*, \bar{d})$  are isomorphic.  $\square$

A map-germ  $f$  is called *contractible* if there exists a sequence of map-germs  $f^i : (\mathbb{F}^{n_i}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^{m_i}, 0)$ ,  $(1 \leq i \leq r)$  with  $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_r = 0, m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \dots \geq m_r = 0$  such that  $f^{i-1}$  is contractible to  $f^i$ ,  $(1 \leq i \leq r)$  with  $f^0 = f$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** (Vanishing theorem [2]) *Let  $f : (\mathbb{F}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^m, 0)$  be right-left equivalent to a contractible map-germ in the above sense. Then the algebraic and geometric complexes of  $f$  are acyclic, i.e.,*

$$\begin{aligned} H^q(\mathcal{A}_f^*, \bar{d}) &= 0, (q \neq 0), & H^0(\mathcal{A}_f^*, \bar{d}) &= \mathbb{R}, \\ H^q(\mathcal{G}_f^*, \bar{d}) &= 0, (q \neq 0), & H^0(\mathcal{G}_f^*, \bar{d}) &= \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore we have that the residual cohomologies vanish:

$$H^q(\mathcal{R}_f^*, \bar{d}) = 0, \text{ for any } q,$$

*Proof:* First note that our cohomologies are invariant under the right-left equivalence of map-germs. Then by Lemma 2.3 and that  $(\mathcal{A}_{f^r}^*, \bar{d})$  is acyclic for  $f^r : \mathbb{F}^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^0$ , we have  $H^q(\mathcal{A}_f^*, \bar{d}) \cong H^q(\mathcal{A}_{f_1}^*, \bar{d}) \cong H^q(\mathcal{A}_{f_2}^*, \bar{d}) \cong \dots \cong H^q(\mathcal{A}_{f^r}^*, \bar{d})$ , which is 0 if  $q \neq 0$  and is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$  if  $q = 0$ . The proof for  $(\mathcal{G}_f^*, \bar{d})$  is similar. Finally by the long exact sequence of (iv), we have the result for  $H^\bullet(\mathcal{R}_f^*, \bar{d})$ .  $\square$

Since  $\mathcal{R}_f^0 = 0$  in general, we have

**Corollary 2.5.** *If  $f : (\mathbb{F}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^m, 0)$  be contractible, then the sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_f^1 \xrightarrow{\bar{d}} \mathcal{R}_f^2 \xrightarrow{\bar{d}} \dots \xrightarrow{\bar{d}} \mathcal{R}_f^{m-1} \xrightarrow{\bar{d}} \mathcal{R}_f^m \longrightarrow 0,$$

*induced by the exterior differential is exact.*

**Remark 2.6.** We call  $f$  *quasi-homogeneous in the generalized sense* if  $f$  is weakly quasi-homogeneous, the zero-weight part  $f^1 : (\mathbb{F}^{n_1}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^{m_1}, 0)$  of  $f$  is weak quasi-homogeneous, the zero-weight part  $f^2$  of  $f^1$  is weak quasi-homogeneous, and so on, with  $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_r = 0, m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \dots \geq m_r = 0$  for some  $r$ . Then  $f$  is contractible, and therefore we have the same results as in Theorem 2.4 for such an  $f$ .

**Example 2.7.** The formulations in this section coincide with those in [3], if  $f$  has a well-defined image  $Z$  as a set-germ of  $(\mathbb{F}^m, 0)$ , for instance, if  $n \leq m$  and  $f$  is finite, i.e.  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathcal{E}_n/f^*\mathfrak{m}_m\mathcal{E}_n) < \infty$ . However in general the image-germ of a map-germ is not necessarily well-defined, for instance, for the map-germ  $\pi : (\mathbb{F}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^2, 0)$  defined by  $\pi(x_1, x_2) = (x_1, x_1x_2)$ , the germ of image is not well-defined.

### 3. FINITE ORDER ZERO-FORMS ON PARAMETRIC SINGULARITIES

There is a natural stratification of  $\Lambda_m^q$  associated with an order of multiplicity of geometric restriction of differential forms. Let  $\omega \in \Lambda_m^q$ . We say that the order of vanishing of the germ  $\omega$  is  $k$  if  $(j^{(k-1)}\omega)(0) = 0$  and  $(j^k\omega)(0) \neq 0$ . By  $\Lambda_{m,k}^q$  we denote the germs of  $q$ -forms of  $m$ -variables at zero having order of vanishing  $\geq k$ . (cf. [3, 6, 11]). Note that  $\Lambda_{m,k}^q = \mathfrak{m}_m^k \Lambda_m^q$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}_m = \{h \in \Lambda_m^0 = \mathcal{E}_m \mid h(0) = 0\}$ .

Let  $f : (\mathbb{F}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^m, 0)$  be a smooth map-germ at zero. Now the finite order zero forms are defined as follows.

$$Z_{f,k}^q = \{\omega \in \Lambda_m^q : f^*\omega \in \Lambda_{n,k}^q\}.$$

And we have the sequence of ideals in  $\Lambda_m^q$  :

$$Z_f^q \subset \dots \subset Z_{f,k+1}^q \subset Z_{f,k}^q \subset Z_{f,k-1}^q \subset \dots \subset Z_{f,0}^q = \Lambda_m^q.$$

In  $C^\infty$  case  $Z_{f,\infty}^q$  means that  $f^*\omega$  has the zero Taylor expansion. The corresponding sequence

$$d_{f,k}^q = \dim \frac{Z_{f,k}^q}{Z_{f,k+1}^q}$$

defines the *invariant spectrum of the approximation*.

If  $f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  is a smooth mapping from a  $C^\infty$  manifold  $N$ , and we denote  $Z = f(N)$ , then there is a natural symplectic invariant of  $Z$  in the symplectic space  $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)$  called the index of isotropness of  $Z$  defined as a maximal order of vanishing of the two forms  $\omega|_{TM}$  over all non-singular submanifolds  $M$  containing  $Z$ . If  $Z$  is contained in a non-singular Lagrangian submanifold, then the index of isotropness is  $\infty$ . This is a measure of maximal order of tangency between non-singular submanifolds containing  $Z$  and non-singular isotropic submanifolds of the same dimension (see [3]).

We define the *index of isotropness* for a map-germ  $f : (\mathbb{F}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^m, 0)$  by

$$\mathcal{I}(f) := \sup\{\text{ord}(f^*\omega) \mid \omega : \text{symplectic forms on } (\mathbb{F}^m, 0)\}.$$

Then clearly we have

**Lemma 3.1.** *The index of isotropness  $\mathcal{I}(f)$  is an invariant of the right-left equivalence class of  $f$ . Moreover  $\mathcal{I}(f) = \infty$  if and only if  $f$  is isotropic for some symplectic form on  $(\mathbb{F}^m, 0)$ .*

## 4. SYMPLECTIC ZERO-FORMS ON PARAMETRIC SINGULARITIES

A smooth 2-form  $\Omega \in \Lambda_m^2$  is called *linear* (for the system of coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  of  $\mathbb{F}^m$ ) if  $\Omega$  is of the form  $\sum_{i < j} a_{ij} dx_i \wedge dx_j$  for some  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}$ . We denote by  $L_m^2$  the space of linear 2-forms on  $(\mathbb{F}^m, 0)$  which is isomorphic to  $\wedge^2(T_0^*\mathbb{F}^m)$ . There is the evaluation map  $\Lambda_m^2 \rightarrow L_m^2, \omega \mapsto \omega(0) (= \omega|_{T_0\mathbb{F}^m})$ , where  $\omega(0)$  is regarded as a linear form. Then, for the given coordinates on  $(\mathbb{F}^m, 0)$ , we have the decomposition  $\Lambda_m^2 = L_m^2 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_m \Lambda_m^2$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}_m \subset \Lambda_m^0$  is the maximal ideal of the  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra  $\Lambda_m^0 = \mathcal{E}_m$ , the algebra of all function-germs  $(\mathbb{F}^m, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ .

Given  $f : (\mathbb{F}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^m, 0)$ , let us set

$$\tilde{LZ}_f^2 := \{\omega(0) \mid \omega \in Z_f^2\}, \quad \tilde{r}(f) := \max\{\text{rank}(\omega(0)) \mid \omega \in Z_f^2\}.$$

Note that, if  $f$  and  $g$  are  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent, then  $\tilde{r}(f) = \tilde{r}(g)$ .

Moreover we set  $LZ_f^2 = L_{2n}^2 \cap Z_f^2$ , the space of linear 2-forms  $\Omega$  satisfying  $f^*\Omega = 0$ , and set

$$r(f) := \max\{\text{rank}(\Omega) \mid \Omega \in LZ_f^2\}.$$

Note that  $LZ_f^2 \subset \tilde{LZ}_f^2$  and both  $LZ_f^2, \tilde{LZ}_f^2$  are linear subspaces of the space  $L_{2n}^2 \cong \wedge^2(T_0^*\mathbb{F}^{2n})$  of linear 2-forms on  $\mathbb{F}^{2n}$ . We set

$$R(f) := \max\{r(g) \mid g \sim_{\mathcal{L}} f\} = \max\{r(g) \mid g \sim_{\mathcal{A}} f\}.$$

Then we have that  $0 \leq r(f) \leq R(f) \leq \tilde{r}(f) \leq m$ .

A differential 2-form  $\omega \in \Lambda_m^2$  is called *symplectic* if  $\omega$  is non-degenerate and closed.

Let  $f : (\mathbb{F}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^m, 0)$  be a map-germ and  $\omega \in Z_f^2$  a symplectic zero form of  $f$ . Then, since  $\omega$  is non-degenerate, we have  $\omega \notin AZ_f^2$  and therefore  $[\omega] \neq 0$  in  $\mathcal{R}_f^2$ . Moreover, since  $f$  is closed,  $[\omega] \in \text{Ker}(\bar{d} : \mathcal{R}_f^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_f^3)$ . If  $f$  is contractible in the sense of §2, then by Corollary 2.5 there exists the unique  $[\alpha] \in \mathcal{R}_f^1$  such that  $\alpha \in \Lambda_n^1, f^*\alpha = 0$ , and  $[\omega] = \bar{d}[\alpha] = [d\alpha]$ .

A linear 2-form  $\Omega = \sum_{i < j} a_{ij} dx_i \wedge dx_j$  is symplectic if and only if  $\Omega$  is non-degenerate i.e.  $\det(a_{ij}) \neq 0$ , where we set  $a_{ji} = -a_{ij}$  for  $i < j$  and  $a_{ii} = 0$ . Note that any linear symplectic form is transformed to the Darboux normal form  $\sum_{i=1}^n dx_i \wedge dx_{n+i}$  by a linear transformation of  $\mathbb{F}^{2n}$ . If  $m$  is odd, then there are no symplectic forms on  $(\mathbb{F}^m, 0)$ . Let  $m$  be even and  $m = 2n$ . Let  $P$  denote the Pfaffian of the skew-symmetric matrix  $(a_{ij})$ . Note that  $P$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $n$  of variables  $a_{ij}$ . Then the non-symplectic forms in  $L_{2n}^2$  form a hypersurface  $\Sigma$  defined by  $P = 0$ .

Let  $\omega$  be a symplectic form on  $\mathbb{F}^{2n}$ . A map-germ  $f : (\mathbb{F}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^{2n}, 0)$  is called a (parametric) *Lagrangian map-germ* for  $\omega$ , if  $f^*\omega = 0$ .

Then we propose the problem:

Characterize map-germs  $f : (\mathbb{F}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^{2n}, 0)$  such that  $Z_f^2$  contains a smooth (or holomorphic) symplectic form on  $(\mathbb{F}^{2n}, 0)$ . In other words, characterize possible singularities of parametric Lagrangian map-germs.

Then we naturally concern the condition that  $\tilde{r}(f) = 2n$ ,  $R(f) = 2n$  or  $r(f) = 2n$ .

The followings are clear.

**Lemma 4.1.** *We have, for a map-germ  $f : (\mathbb{F}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^{2n}, 0)$ ,*

- (1) *If  $\tilde{r}(f) < 2n$ , then  $f$  is never Lagrangian, for any symplectic form on  $(\mathbb{F}^{2n}, 0)$ .*
- (2)  *$r(f) = 2n$  if and only if  $LZ_f^2 \setminus \Sigma \neq \emptyset$ .*
- (3) *If  $r(f) = 2n$ , then  $f$  is Lagrangian for a linear symplectic form on  $(\mathbb{F}^{2n}, 0)$ .*
- (4) *If  $R(f) = 2n$ , then  $f$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -equivalent to a Lagrangian map-germ for a linear symplectic form on  $(\mathbb{F}^{2n}, 0)$ .*

Note that  $LZ_f^2 \setminus \Sigma$  and  $\tilde{L}Z_f^2 \setminus \Sigma$  are invariant under  $\mathbb{R}^\times$ -multiplication, and semi-algebraic. Therefore  $P(LZ_f^2 \setminus \Sigma)$ ,  $P(\tilde{L}Z_f^2 \setminus \Sigma)$  are defined as semi-algebraic sets in the projective space  $P(L_{2n}^2) \cong P^{n(2n-1)-1}$ . Moreover we have

**Lemma 4.2.** *If  $f$  and  $g$  are right-equivalent, then  $Z_f^2 = Z_g^2$ , and  $\tilde{L}Z_f^2 = \tilde{L}Z_g^2$ .*

We define

$$\tilde{\ell}(f) := \dim P(\tilde{L}Z_f^2 \setminus \Sigma).$$

If  $f$  and  $g$  are  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent, then  $\tilde{\ell}(f) = \tilde{\ell}(g)$ .

We consider, given  $f \in \mathcal{E}_{n,2n}$ , the sets  $P(LZ_g^2 \setminus \Sigma) \subset P(L_{2n}^2)$  for all germs  $g \in \mathcal{E}_{n,2n}$  which are left equivalent to  $f$ . Then define

$$\ell(f) := \max\{\dim P(LZ_g^2 \setminus \Sigma) \mid g \sim_{\mathcal{L}} f\}$$

where we define that the dimension of the empty set  $\dim(\emptyset) = -1$ . Then we see

$$\ell(f) = \max\{\dim P(LZ_g^2 \setminus \Sigma) \mid g \sim_{\mathcal{A}} f\}.$$

In fact, the inequality  $\leq$  is clear. Moreover, if  $g$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent to  $f$ , then  $g$  is right equivalent to  $g'$  such that  $g'$  is left equivalent to  $f$ . Then by Lemma 4.2, we have  $L_{g'}^2 = L_g^2$ , and therefore we have the required equality.

Now we have

$$-1 \leq \ell(f) \leq \tilde{\ell}(f) \leq n(2n-1) - 1.$$

Then we obtain

**Lemma 4.3.** *For an  $f \in \mathcal{E}_{n,2n}$ , the following conditions are equivalent to each other:*

- (i)  *$f$  is Lagrangian for some symplectic form on  $(\mathbb{F}^{2n}, 0)$ .*
- (ii)  *$R(f) = 2n$ .*
- (iii)  *$\ell(f) \geq 0$ .*

*Proof:* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Let  $f$  be Lagrangian for a symplectic form  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{F}^{2n}$ . By the Darboux theorem, there exists a diffeomorphism-germ  $\tau : (\mathbb{F}^{2n}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^{2n}, 0)$  such that  $\omega = \tau^*(\Omega)$



for the linear symplectic form  $\Omega = \sum_{i=1}^n dx_i \wedge dx_{n+i}$ , Darboux normal form. Set  $g = \tau \circ f$ . Then  $g$  is left equivalent to  $f$  and  $g^*\Omega = f^*\omega = 0$ . Therefore  $\Omega \in LZ_g^2 \setminus \Sigma$ , hence  $\dim P(LZ_g^2 \setminus \Sigma) \neq \emptyset$ , and  $\ell(f) \geq 0$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) : By (iii), there exists  $g \in \mathcal{E}_{n,2n}$  such that  $g$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -equivalent to  $f$  and  $r(g) = 2n$ . Therefore we have (ii).

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) : Suppose  $R(f) = 2n$ . Then there exists  $g \in \mathcal{E}_{n,2n}$  such that  $g$  is left equivalent to  $f$  and a linear symplectic form  $\Omega$  on  $(\mathbb{F}^{2n}, 0)$  with  $g^*\Omega = 0$ . Since  $g$  is left equivalent to  $f$ , there exists a diffeomorphism-germ  $\tau : (\mathbb{F}^{2n}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^{2n}, 0)$  such that  $g = \tau \circ f$ . Set  $\omega = \tau^*\Omega$ . Then  $\omega$  is a symplectic form on  $(\mathbb{F}^{2n}, 0)$  and  $f^*\omega = f^*(\tau^*\Omega) = g^*\Omega = 0$ . Therefore  $f$  is Lagrangian for some symplectic form on  $(\mathbb{F}^{2n}, 0)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *If  $f : (\mathbb{F}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^{2n}, 0)$  satisfies the condition that  $\{t \in (\mathbb{F}^n, 0) \mid \text{rank}(f_* : T_t\mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow T_{f(t)}\mathbb{F}^{2n}) \geq 2\}$  is dense in  $(\mathbb{F}^n, 0)$ . Then  $\tilde{\ell}(f) \leq n(2n-1) - 2$  and therefore  $\ell(f) \leq n(2n-1) - 2$ .*

*Proof:* Suppose  $\tilde{\ell}(f) = n(2n-1) - 1$ . Then  $LZ_f^2 \setminus \Sigma$  contains a non-void open set  $U$  in  $L_{2n}^2$ . By the assumption, there exists a two-dimensional plane  $\Pi \subset T_0\mathbb{F}^{2n}$  such that  $\Omega|_{\Pi} = 0$  for any  $\Omega \in U$ . Then for any  $1 \leq i < j \leq 2n$ ,  $dx_i \wedge dx_j = 0$  on  $\Pi$ . Then we have a contradiction. Therefore  $\tilde{\ell}(f) \leq n(2n-1) - 2$ .  $\square$

Now we remark a general result which is going to be applied to our case.

Let  $f : (\mathbb{F}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^m, 0)$  be a map-germ whose immersion locus is dense. Then *Nash limit set*  $N(f)$  of  $f$  is the closure of the set of  $n$ -planes  $\Pi$  in  $\text{Gr}(n, \mathbb{F}^m)$ , Grassmannian of  $n$ -planes in  $\mathbb{F}^m = T_0\mathbb{F}^m$ , such that there exists a sequence of immersive point  $t(i) \in \mathbb{F}^n$  of  $f$  converging to 0 as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\Pi = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_*(T_{t(i)}\mathbb{F}^n)$ .

Then we have

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $f : (\mathbb{F}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^m, 0)$ ,  $\omega \in Z_f^n$  and  $\Pi \in N(f)$ . Then  $\omega(0)|_{\Pi} = 0$ .*

Let  $\text{Gr}(n, \mathbb{F}^m) \hookrightarrow P(\Lambda^n(T_0\mathbb{F}^m))$  be Plücker embedding. Then we have

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $\omega \in Z_f^n$ . Then  $\omega(0)$  vanishes on the projective linear hull of  $N(f)$  in  $P(\Lambda^n(T_0\mathbb{F}^m))$ .*

## 5. PARAMETRIC LAGRANGIAN SURFACES

In particular, setting  $n = 2$  and  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ , we consider smooth map-germs  $f : (\mathbb{R}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^4, 0)$  whose immersion locus is dense. Then  $\ell(f) = -1, 0, 1, 2, 3$  or 4 by Lemma 4.4.

Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ -a_{12} & 0 & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ -a_{13} & -a_{23} & 0 & a_{34} \\ -a_{14} & -a_{24} & -a_{34} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

be a skew symmetric  $4 \times 4$ -matrix. Then  $\det(A) = P(A)^2$ , where  $P(A) = a_{12}a_{34} - a_{13}a_{24} + a_{14}a_{23}$ . Then the hypersurface  $\Sigma \subset L_4^2$  is defined by  $P(A) = 0$ .

**Example 5.1.** Let  $f : (\mathbb{R}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^4, 0)$  be the immersion defined by  $f(t_1, t_2) = (t_1, t_2, 0, 0)$ . Then  $LZ_f^2$  is defined by  $a_{12} = 0$  in  $L_4^2 \cong \mathbb{R}^6$ . Then  $LZ_f^2 \cap \Sigma$  is given by  $a_{12} = 0, a_{13}a_{24} - a_{14}a_{23} = 0$ . Thus  $\dim P(LZ_f^2 \setminus \Sigma) = 4$ . Therefore we have  $\ell(f) = 4$ .

**Example 5.2.** (Open Whitney umbrella) Let  $f \in \mathcal{E}_{2,4}$  be defined by

$$f(t_1, t_2) = (t_1, t_2^2, t_1 t_2, t_2^{2k+1}).$$

If  $k \geq 2$ , then  $\tilde{r}(f) < 4$ . Therefore  $f$  is never Lagrangian for any symplectic form. If  $k = 1$ , then  $f$  is called an *open Whitney umbrella* and we have that  $r(f) = 4$  and that  $\ell(f) = \tilde{\ell}(f) = 0$ . Thus, if  $k = 1$ , then  $f$  is Lagrangian for the linear symplectic form  $\Omega = 3dx_1 \wedge dx_4 + 2dx_2 \wedge dx_3$ , which is unique up to non-zero constant multiplication.

Moreover we determine the invariants  $\ell(f)$  and  $\tilde{\ell}(f)$  for all simple singularities  $f : (\mathbb{R}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^4, 0)$  ([7]). In fact we have:

**Proposition 5.3.** *A simple map-germ  $f : (\mathbb{R}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^4, 0)$  is Lagrangian for some symplectic form on  $(\mathbb{R}^4, 0)$  if and only if  $f$  is right-left equivalent to one of the following list (among the list in [7]):*

$$\begin{aligned} (t_1, t_2) &\mapsto (t_1, t_2^2, t_1 t_2, t_2^3) && (I_1), \\ &(t_1, t_2^2, t_2^3 + (\pm 1)^{j+1} t_1^j t_2, t_1^{2j-1} t_2), (j = 2, 3, 4, \dots) && (III_{j, 2j-1}), \\ &(t_1, t_1 t_2, t_2^3, t_1 t_2^2 + t_2^4) && (IV_1), \\ &(t_1, t_2^2, t_1^2 t_2 + t_2^3, t_1 t_2^3) && (VII_1), \\ &(t_1, t_1 t_2, t_2^3, t_2^4) && (IX_1). \end{aligned}$$

In all of above cases, we have  $\ell(f) = \tilde{\ell}(f) = 0$ .

**Example 5.4.** (Open swallowtail) Let  $f : (\mathbb{R}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^4, 0)$  be the germ defined by

$$f(t_1, t_2) = (t_1, t_2^3 + t_1 t_2, \frac{3}{4} t_1^4 + \frac{1}{2} t_1 t_2^2, \frac{3}{5} t_1^5 + \frac{1}{3} t_1 t_2^3),$$

which is called *open swallow-tail*. Then, by calculation, we see that  $\ell(f) = \tilde{\ell}(f) = 0$ . In fact  $f$  is Lagrangian for the linear symplectic form  $\Omega = 2dx_1 \wedge dx_4 - dx_2 \wedge dx_3$ , which is unique up to non-zero constant multiplication.

## 6. LAGRANGIAN MAPPINGS FOR PLENTY OF SYMPLECTIC FORMS

A plane (2-dimensional linear subspace)  $\Pi \subset L_4^2 = \mathbb{R}^6$  is called *elliptic* (resp. *hyperbolic*, *parabolic*) if  $\Pi \cap \Sigma = \{0\}$  (resp.  $\Pi \cap \Sigma$  consists of two lines,  $\Pi \subset \Sigma$ ). Recall that  $\Sigma$  is the set of non-symplectic forms.

A projective line  $P(\Pi)$  in  $P(L_4^2) = P^5$  is called *elliptic* (resp. *hyperbolic*, *parabolic*) if  $\Pi$  is elliptic (resp. hyperbolic, parabolic).

**Example 6.1.** (Product of curves) Let  $a, b : (\mathbb{R}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, 0)$  be planer curve-germs. Then define  $f : (\mathbb{R}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^4, 0)$  by  $f(t_1, t_2) = (a(t_1), b(t_2))$ . Then  $\ell(f) \geq 1$ . In fact there exist two-parameter linear symplectic forms

$$\Omega_{\lambda, \mu} = \lambda dx_1 \wedge dx_2 + \mu dx_3 \wedge dx_4,$$

$\lambda\mu \neq 0$ , which satisfy  $f^*(\Omega_{\lambda, \mu}) = 0$ . In this case  $P(LZ_f^2)$  contains a *hyperbolic* line.

For example, taking  $a$  and  $b$  are planar cusps, then we have the germ defined by

$$f(t_1, t_2) = (t_1^2, t_1^3, t_2^2, t_2^3),$$

which is called the *product of cusps*. Then  $Z_f^0$  is generated by  $x_1^3 - x_2^2, x_3^3 - x_4^2$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}_f^1$  is described as the set of equivalence classes  $[\alpha]$  of 1-forms of form

$$\alpha = (a(x_3, x_4) + x_1 b(x_3, x_4))(-3x_2 dx_1 + 2x_1 dx_2) + (c(x_1, x_2) + x_3 e(x_1, x_2))(-3x_4 dx_3 + 2x_3 dx_4),$$

where the function-germs  $a, b, c, e$  are regarded modulo  $Z_f^0$ . Since the product of cusps is quasi-homogeneous and therefore contractible, we conclude that any symplectic zero form  $\omega$  of  $f$  is described as

$$\omega = d\{(a(x_3, x_4) + x_1 b(x_3, x_4))(-3x_2 dx_1 + 2x_1 dx_2) + (c(x_1, x_2) + x_3 e(x_1, x_2))(-3x_4 dx_3 + 2x_3 dx_4)\},$$

modulo  $AZ_f^2$ .

Note that the products of singular curves and regular curves were studied in [4].

**Example 6.2.** (Holomorphic curves, anti-holomorphic curves) Let  $f : (\mathbb{R}^2, 0) = (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) = (\mathbb{R}^4, 0)$  be a holomorphic or anti-holomorphic map-germ regarded as an element in  $\mathcal{E}_{2,4}$ . Then  $\ell(f) \geq 1$ . In fact there exist two-parameter linear symplectic forms

$$\Omega_w = \operatorname{Re}(w dz_1 \wedge dz_2),$$

$w \in \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2, w \neq 0$ , which satisfy  $f^*(\Omega_w) = 0$ . In this case  $P(LZ_f^2)$  contains an *elliptic* line.

For example, we have, from  $z \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto (z^2, z^3)$ , the germ

$$f(t_1, t_2) = (t_1^2 - t_2^2, 2t_1 t_2, t_1^3 - 3t_1 t_2^2, 3t_1^2 t_2 - t_2^3),$$

which is called *complex cusp*.

We are naturally led to the problem: *Classify singularities of  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$  with  $\ell(f) \geq 1$ , in particular for the cases with  $\ell(f) = 2, 3$ .*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] I. Chen, K.-P. Lin, S. Yau, H. Zuo, *Coordinate-free characterization of homogeneous polynomials with isolated singularities*, Comm. Anal. Geom., **19-4** (2011), 667–704.
- [2] W. Domitrz, S. Janeczko, M. Zhitomirskii, *Relative Poincaré lemma, contractibility, quasi-homogeneity and vector fields tangent to a singular variety*, Illinois J. Math., **48-3** (2004), 803–835.
- [3] W. Domitrz, S. Janeczko, M. Zhitomirskii, *Symplectic singularities of varieties: The method of algebraic restrictions*, J. reine angew Math. **618** (2008), 197–235.
- [4] A. B. Givental', *Singular Lagrangian manifolds and their Lagrangian maps*, Journal of Soviet Mathematics, **52-4** (1990), 3246–3278.

- [5] G. Ishikawa, S. Janeczko, *Symplectic invariants of parametric singularities*, in Proceedings of the Conference on Geometry in honour of Shing-Tung Yau's 60th Birthday, April 6–8, 2009, Warsaw, Poland, in *Advances in Geometric Analysis*, Advanced Lectures in Mathematics series **21**, International Press (2012), pp. 259–280.
- [6] G. Ishikawa, S. Janeczko, *Residual algebraic restrictions of differential forms*, *Methods and Applications of Analysis*, **24-1** (2017), 045–062.
- [7] C. Klotz, O. Pop, J.H. Rieger, *Real double-points of deformations of  $\mathcal{A}$ -simple map-germs from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$* , *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **142** (2007), 341–363.
- [8] K. Saito, *Quasihomogene isolierte Singularitäten von Hyperflächen*, *Invent. Math.* **14** (1971), 123–142.
- [9] S. Yau, H. Zuo, *Lower estimate of Milnor number and characterization of isolated homogeneous hypersurface singularities*, *Pacific J. Math.*, **260-1** (2012), 245–255.
- [10] S. Yau, H. Zuo, *Characterization of isolated complete intersection singularities with  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action of dimension  $\geq 2$  by means of geometric genus and irregularity*, *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, **21-3** (2013), 509–526.
- [11] S. Yau, H. Zuo, *Complete characterization of isolated homogeneous hypersurface singularities*, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, **273-1** (2015), 213–224.
- [12] S. Yau, H. Zuo, *A sharp upper estimate conjecture for the Yau number of weighted homogeneous isolated hypersurface singularity*, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, **12-1** (2016), 165–181.
- [13] S. Yau, H. Zuo, *Nine characterizations of weighted homogeneous isolated hypersurface singularities*, *Methods Appl. Anal.*, **24-1** (2017), 155–168.
- [14] S. Yau, H. Zuo, *A sharp lower bound for the geometric genus and Zariski multiplicity question*, *Math. Z.* **289** no. 3-4 (2018), 1299–1310.

FACULTY OF SCIENCES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY, JAPAN.

*E-mail address:* ishikawa@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, UL. SNIADOCKICH 8, 00-956  
WARSAWA, POLAND.

WARSAW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE,  
PLAC POLITECHNIKI 1, 00-661 WARSAWA, POLAND.

*E-mail address:* janeczko@impan.pl