

Motivation

It is classically known that there are similarities between subsets of $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and linear subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n , which is sometimes called the " q -analogue". We start with pointing out further similarities between chromatic polynomials for graphs and characteristic polynomials for hyperplane arrangements over finite fields. A typical example of an arrangement is the **braid arrangement** \mathcal{B}_ℓ in \mathbb{R}^ℓ whose defining polynomial is the **Vandermonde determinant**, i.e.,

$$Q(\mathcal{B}_\ell) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq \ell} (x_j - x_i) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \dots & x_1^{\ell-1} \\ 1 & x_2 & x_2^2 & \dots & x_2^{\ell-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_\ell & x_\ell^2 & \dots & x_\ell^{\ell-1} \end{vmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of the braid arrangement \mathcal{B}_ℓ is

$$\chi(\mathcal{B}_\ell, t) = t(t-1)(t-2) \cdots (t-\ell+1).$$

There are mysterious similarities between the braid arrangements and the arrangements consisting of all hyperplanes in vector spaces over finite fields. Let q be a prime power and \mathbb{F}_q the finite field of order q . Define the arrangement $\mathcal{A}_{\text{all}}(\mathbb{F}_q^\ell)$ as the set of all hyperplanes in \mathbb{F}_q^ℓ . Its defining polynomial is the determinant of the **Moore matrix**, i.e.,

$$Q(\mathcal{A}_{\text{all}}(\mathbb{F}_q^\ell)) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \prod_{c_1, \dots, c_{i-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q} (c_1 x_1 + \dots + c_{i-1} x_{i-1} + x_i) \\ = \begin{vmatrix} x_1 & x_1^q & x_1^{q^2} & \dots & x_1^{q^{\ell-1}} \\ x_2 & x_2^q & x_2^{q^2} & \dots & x_2^{q^{\ell-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_\ell & x_\ell^q & x_\ell^{q^2} & \dots & x_\ell^{q^{\ell-1}} \end{vmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of $\mathcal{A}_{\text{all}}(\mathbb{F}_q^\ell)$ is

$$\chi(\mathcal{A}_{\text{all}}(\mathbb{F}_q^\ell), t) = (t-1)(t-q)(t-q^2) \cdots (t-q^{\ell-1}).$$

By formally replacing q^k in the expressions of $Q(\mathcal{A}_{\text{all}}(\mathbb{F}_q^\ell))$ and $\chi(\mathcal{A}_{\text{all}}(\mathbb{F}_q^\ell), t)$ with k , we obtain the expressions for $Q(\mathcal{B}_\ell)$ and $\chi(\mathcal{B}_\ell, t)$.

Note that $\chi(\mathcal{B}_\ell, \ell) = \ell! = |\mathfrak{S}_\ell|$ and $\chi(\mathcal{A}_{\text{all}}(\mathbb{F}_q^\ell), q^\ell) = (q^\ell - 1)(q^\ell - q) \cdots (q^\ell - q^{\ell-1}) = |GL_\ell(\mathbb{F}_q)|$. It is worth mentioning that the permutation group \mathfrak{S}_ℓ is considered as the " \mathbb{F}_1 -version" of the general linear group $GL_\ell(\mathbb{F}_q)$ [5]. For more details in the theory of hyperplane arrangements, see [2].

Proposition 1-1

Suppose $\chi(G, k) = 0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then $\chi(G, j) = 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq k$.

There is a q -version of Proposition 1-1.

Proposition 1-2

Let \mathcal{A} be an arrangement in \mathbb{F}_q^ℓ . If $\chi(\mathcal{A}, q^k) = 0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, then $\chi(\mathcal{A}, q^j) = 0$ for any $0 \leq j \leq k$. [6]

Proposition 2-1

Let t^i be the falling factorial such that for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $t^i := t(t-1) \cdots (t-i+1)$. Suppose $\chi(G, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i t^i$. Then c_i coincides with the number of stable partitions of G into i blocks, where a stable partition of G is a set partition of the vertex set such that no edge connects vertices within the same block [3].

In other words, c_i coincides with the number of i -dimensional subspaces in $L(\mathcal{B}_\ell)$ that are not contained in any hyperplanes in \mathcal{A}_G .

Proposition 2-2

Let \mathcal{A} be an arrangement in \mathbb{F}_q^ℓ and $t_q^i := \chi(\mathcal{A}_{\text{all}}(\mathbb{F}_q^i), t) = (t-1)(t-q) \cdots (t-q^{i-1})$. Suppose $\chi(\mathcal{A}, t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} c_i t_q^i$. Then c_i is the number of i -dimensional subspaces in \mathbb{F}_q^ℓ that are not contained in any hyperplanes in \mathcal{A} .

Definitions

Definition 1

Define the **graphical arrangement** \mathcal{A}_G in \mathbb{R}^ℓ by

$$\mathcal{A}_G := \{ \{x_i - x_j = 0\} \mid \{i, j\} \in E_G \}.$$

Note that every subarrangement of the braid arrangement \mathcal{B}_ℓ is of the form \mathcal{A}_G and it is well known that the chromatic polynomial $\chi(G, t)$ coincides with the characteristic polynomial $\chi(\mathcal{A}_G, t)$.

Definition 2

We can define a q -deformation of graphical arrangement \mathcal{A}_G in \mathbb{F}_q^ℓ as follows.

$$\mathcal{A}_G^q := \bigcup_{\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}} \{ \{a_{i_1} x_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_r} x_{i_r} = 0\} \mid a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times, j = 1, 2, \dots, r \},$$

where $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ runs over all cliques of G .

Conjecture and Main Theorem

Conjecture 1

The characteristic polynomial of the q -deformation $\chi(\mathcal{A}_G^q, t)$ is a polynomial in q and t , such that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{\chi(\mathcal{A}_G^q, q^t)}{(q-1)^\ell} = \chi(G, t).$$

The proof of the conjecture is still unclear but we proved a weaker version.

Main Theorem

For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and prime power q ,

$$\frac{\chi(\mathcal{A}_G^q, q^k)}{(q-1)^\ell} \equiv \chi(G, k) \pmod{q-1}.$$

Results Supporting the Conjecture

Graphs can be seen as simplicial complexes with faces made by cliques. Denote this **clique complex** by Δ_G . Note that all simplicial complexes are subcomplexes of some clique complex.

Definition 3

For a simplicial complex Δ , any face $\mathcal{F} \in \Delta$ can be seen as the clique complex of some graph, say $G_{\mathcal{F}}$. The q -deformation of Δ is defined as

$$\mathcal{A}_\Delta^q := \bigcup_{\mathcal{F} \in \Delta} \mathcal{A}_{G_{\mathcal{F}}}^q.$$

Note that the q -deformation of the clique complex of graph G is the same as the q -deformation of \mathcal{A}_G . The conjecture can also be extended to the q -deformation of any simplicial complex.

Proposition 3

Let $G = ([\ell], E)$ be a graph, Δ_G^1 be the 1-skeleton of the clique complex Δ_G , i.e., the subcomplex $E \cup [\ell] \subseteq \Delta_G$. Then for any edge $e \in E_G$, we have

$$\chi(\mathcal{A}_{\Delta_G^1}^q, t) = \chi(\mathcal{A}_{\Delta_{G \setminus e}}^q, t) - (q-1)\chi(\mathcal{A}_{G/e}^q, t).$$

Hence by computation, Δ_G^1 satisfies the conjecture for any graph G .

Corollary 1

Let G be a triangle-free graph, easy to see $\mathcal{A}_G^q = \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_G^1}^q$, hence any triangle-free graph satisfies the conjecture.

Proposition 4

Let G be a chordal graph, then we can write $\chi(G, t) = \chi(\mathcal{A}_G, t) = (t-e_1)(t-e_2) \cdots (t-e_\ell)$. In this case,

$$\chi(\mathcal{A}_G^q, t) = (t-q^{e_1})(t-q^{e_2}) \cdots (t-q^{e_\ell}).$$

Hence satisfies the conjecture. The proof is by giving the free basis of the logarithmic vector field of the graphic arrangements [4] and the corresponding q -deformations.

Proposition 5

Let G be a graph on $[\ell]$ and $G + K_m$ be the join of graph G and the complete graph K_m . Then

$$\chi(\mathcal{A}_{G+K_m}^q, t) = (t-1)(t-q) \cdots (t-q^{m-1}) q^{m\ell} \chi(\mathcal{A}_G^q, q^{-m}t).$$

While for the chromatic polynomial, we have

$$\chi(G + K_m, t) = t(t-1) \cdots (t-m+1) \chi(G, t-m).$$

Hence if the graph G satisfies the conjecture, the join of G with complete graphs also satisfies the conjecture.

References

- [1] Tongyu Nian, Shuhei Tsujie, Ryo Uchiumi, and Masahiko Yoshinaga. q -deformation of chromatic polynomials and graphical arrangements, 2024.
- [2] Peter Orlik and Hiroaki Terao. *Arrangements of hyperplanes*, volume 300. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [3] R. C. Read. An introduction to chromatic polynomials. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, 4(1):52–71, January 1968.
- [4] Daisuke Suyama and Shuhei Tsujie. Vertex-weighted graphs and freeness of ψ -graphical arrangements. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 61(1):185–197, 2019.
- [5] Jacques Tits. Sur les analogues algébriques des groupes semi-simples complexes. In *Colloque d'algèbre supérieure, tenu à Bruxelles du 19 au 22 décembre 1956*, Centre Belge de Recherches Mathématiques, pages 261–289. Établissements Ceuterick, Louvain, 1957.
- [6] Masahiko Yoshinaga. Free arrangements over finite field. *Proceedings of the Japan Academy, Series A, Mathematical Sciences*, 82(10):179–182, January 2007.