

Monotonicity for generalized binomial coefficients and Jack positivity

Hong Chen (Joint with Siddhartha Sahi) arXiv:2403.02490

Rutgers

Abstract

We study generalized binomial coefficients associated with interpolation polynomials. We prove positivity and monotonicity properties and derive inequalities for Jack, Schur, monomial, and elementary symmetric polynomials, generalizing several classical results.

Motivations and Preliminaries

The following Newton's binomial formula is well-known:

$$(x+1)^n = \sum_m \binom{n}{m} x^m. \tag{1}$$

The **binomial coefficient** $\binom{n}{m} = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-m+1)}{m!}$ satisfies many simple properties:

- (Polynomiality) $\binom{n}{m}$ is a polynomial; (Positivity) $\binom{n}{m} > 0$ if $n \ge m$;
- (Vanishing) $\binom{n}{m} = 0$ unless $n \ge m$; (Monotonicity) $\binom{n}{k} \ge \binom{m}{k}$ if $n \ge m$.

One natural generalization is to consider symmetric polynomials in n variables, indexed by partitions of length at most n. Such a partition is an n-tuple $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n)$ such that $\lambda_1\geqslant\cdots\geqslant\lambda_n\geqslant0$. The monomial m_λ , elementary e_{λ} , Schur s_{λ} and power-sum p_{λ} are the most basic examples of symmetric polynomials. The Jack polynomials form a one-parameter family of symmetric polynomials depending on a parameter τ (where $\tau=1/\alpha$); they specialize to the monomial, Schur, and transposed elementary when $\tau=0,\,1,\,$ and $\infty,\,$ respectively.

Okounkov-Olshanski generalized the binomial formula to Jack polynomials:

$$\frac{P_{\lambda}(x+\mathbf{1};\tau)}{P_{\lambda}(\mathbf{1};\tau)} = \sum_{\mu} \binom{\lambda}{\mu}_{\tau} \frac{P_{\mu}(x;\tau)}{P_{\mu}(\mathbf{1};\tau)}, \tag{2}$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$, P_{λ} is the monic Jack polynomial, and $\binom{\lambda}{\nu}$ is the generalized binomial coefficient. Okounkov–Olshanski showed that the generalized binomial coefficients are evaluations of interpolation Jack polynomials (also known as shifted Jack polynomials), first studied by Knop-Sahi.

The unital interpolation polynomial h_{μ} is the unique symmetric polynomial over $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{Q}(\tau)$ satisfying the interpolation condition and the degree condition:

$$h_{\mu}(\overline{\lambda};\tau) = \delta_{\lambda\mu}, \quad |\lambda| \leqslant |\mu|; \qquad \deg h_{\mu} = |\mu|,$$

where $|\lambda| = \sum \lambda_i$ is the size of λ and the shifting is given by $\overline{\lambda}_i = \lambda_i + (n-i)\tau$.

For example, when n=2, $\mu=(3,2)$, the *monic* interpolation Jack polynomial is

$$h_{(3,2)}^{\text{monic}}(x_1, x_2; \tau) = x_1 x_2 (x_1 - 1)(x_2 - 1)(x_1 + x_2 - \tau - 4).$$

One can easily verify that $h_{(3,2)}^{\mathrm{monic}}(\overline{(3,2)}) \neq 0$ and $h_{(3,2)}^{\mathrm{monic}}$ vanishes at $\overline{(2,2)} = (2+\tau,2)$, $\overline{(m,0)}=(m+\tau,0)$ and $\overline{(m-1,1)}=(m-1+\tau,1)$, more points than required in the definition. In general, the generalized binomial coefficients satisfy the extra vanishing property and are defined by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} := h_{\mu}(\overline{\lambda}; \tau) = 0 \quad \text{unless} \quad \lambda \supseteq \mu. \tag{3}$$

Here, we say λ contains μ , denoted by $\lambda \supseteq \mu$, if $\lambda_i \geqslant \mu_i$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.

When $\mu = (1)$ or $|\lambda| = |\mu| + 1$, the binomial coefficients can be computed by some combinatorial formulas.

Some Inequalities

Recall that for partitions λ and μ of length at most n, we say λ weakly dominates μ , if $\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \geqslant \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i$, for $1\leqslant r\leqslant n$; if, in addition, $|\lambda|=|\mu|$, we say λ dominates μ .

In the work of Muirhead, Cuttler-Greene-Skandera and Sra, they showed that dominance can be characterized by the following inequalities: assume $|\lambda| = |\mu|$,

$$\begin{split} \lambda \text{ dominates } \mu &\iff \frac{m_{\lambda}}{m_{\lambda}(\mathbf{1})} - \frac{m_{\mu}}{m_{\mu}(\mathbf{1})} \geqslant 0 \iff \frac{c'_{\lambda}}{c'_{\lambda}(\mathbf{1})} - \frac{c'_{\mu}}{c'_{\mu}(\mathbf{1})} \geqslant 0 \\ &\iff \frac{p_{\lambda}}{p_{\lambda}(\mathbf{1})} - \frac{p_{\mu}}{p_{\mu}(\mathbf{1})} \geqslant 0 \iff \frac{s_{\lambda}}{s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{1})} - \frac{s_{\mu}}{s_{\mu}(\mathbf{1})} \geqslant 0. \end{split}$$

Here, $f \ge 0$ means that $f(x) \ge 0$ for $x \in [0, \infty)^n$.

Khare-Tao showed a similar characterization for weak dominance:

$$\lambda \text{ weakly dominates } \mu \iff \frac{s_{\lambda}(x+1)}{s_{\lambda}(1)} - \frac{s_{\mu}(x+1)}{s_{\mu}(1)} \geqslant 0, \quad \forall x \in [0,\infty)^n.$$

Our Work

Theorem 1 (Positivity and Monotonicity)

For $\tau > 0$, we have

- (Positivity) $\binom{\lambda}{\mu} > 0$ if and only if $\lambda \supseteq \mu$;
- (Monotonicity) $\binom{\lambda}{\nu} \geqslant \binom{\mu}{\nu}$ if $\lambda \supseteq \mu$.

As an application of the monotonicity, we have the following characterization:

Theorem 2 (Characterization of Containment)

We have λ contains μ if and only if the following expressions are **expansion positive**.

$$\begin{array}{c} \bullet \ \frac{s_{\lambda}(x+1)}{s_{\lambda}(1)} - \frac{s_{\mu}(x+1)}{s_{\mu}(1)} \\ \bullet \ \frac{m_{\lambda}(x+1)}{m_{\lambda}(1)} - \frac{m_{\mu}(x+1)}{m_{\lambda}(1)} \end{array}$$

 $\bullet \frac{e_{\lambda}(x+1)}{(1)} - \frac{e_{\mu}(x+1)}{(1)}$ $\begin{array}{c|c} \bullet & \overline{P_{\lambda}(\mathbf{1})} & \overline{P_{\mu}(\mathbf{1})} \\ \bullet & \overline{P_{\lambda}(x+\mathbf{1};\tau)} & \overline{P_{\mu}(x+\mathbf{1};\tau)} \\ -\overline{P_{\lambda}(\mathbf{1};\tau)} & \overline{P_{\mu}(\mathbf{1};\tau)} \end{array}$

where the Jack positivity is over $\mathbb{F}_{\geq 0} := \{ f(\tau) \in \mathbb{Q}(\tau) \mid f(\tau_0) \geq 0, \forall \tau_0 \in [0, \infty] \}.$

For example, write $S_{\lambda}(x) = s_{\lambda}(x)/s_{\lambda}(1)$ and $\widetilde{S}_{\lambda}(x) = S_{\lambda}(x+1)$, and similarly for M and \widetilde{M} , E and \widetilde{E} , $\Omega = P_{\lambda}(x)/P_{\lambda}(\mathbf{1})$ and $\widetilde{\Omega}$, then for $\lambda = (3,1)$ and $\mu = (2)$

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{S} & \longrightarrow \widetilde{S} & \Longrightarrow S & \longrightarrow + \frac{4}{3}S & \longrightarrow + \frac{8}{3}S & \longrightarrow + 2S & \longrightarrow + 2S & \bigcirc; \\ \widetilde{M} & \longrightarrow \widetilde{M} & \Longrightarrow M & \longrightarrow + M & \longrightarrow + 3M & \longrightarrow + 2M & \longrightarrow + 3M & \longrightarrow + 2M & \bigcirc; \\ \widetilde{E} & \longrightarrow \widetilde{E} & \Longrightarrow E & \longrightarrow + 2E & \longrightarrow + 2E & \longrightarrow + 4E & \longrightarrow + 2E & \bigcirc; \\ \widetilde{\Omega} & \longrightarrow \widetilde{\Omega} & \Longrightarrow 0 & \longrightarrow + \frac{2\tau+2}{\tau+2}\Omega & \longrightarrow + \frac{2\tau+6}{\tau+2}\Omega & \longrightarrow + \frac{4\tau+2}{\tau+1}\Omega & \longrightarrow + \frac{\tau+3}{\tau+1}\Omega & \longrightarrow + 2\Omega & \bigcirc. \end{split}$$

We end with two conjectures generalizing the inequalities of Cuttler-Greene-Skandera and Khare-Tao to Jack polynomials:

Conjecture

Let λ and μ be partitions of length at most n, P_{λ} be the monic Jack polynomial, and let $\mathbb{F}_{\geqslant 0}^{\mathbb{R}} := \{ f(\tau) \in \mathbb{R}(\tau) \mid f(\tau_0) \geqslant 0, \forall \tau_0 \in [0, \infty] \}.$

• (CGS Conjecture for Jack polynomials) Assume $|\lambda| = |\mu|$. λ dominates μ if and

$$\frac{P_{\lambda}(x;\tau)}{P_{\lambda}(\mathbf{1};\tau)} - \frac{P_{\mu}(x;\tau)}{P_{\mu}(\mathbf{1};\tau)} \in \mathbb{F}_{\geqslant 0}^{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \forall x \in [0,\infty)^{n}. \tag{4}$$

• (KT Conjecture for Jack polynomials) λ weakly dominates μ if and only if

$$\frac{P_{\lambda}(x+1;\tau)}{P_{\lambda}(1;\tau)} - \frac{P_{\mu}(x+1;\tau)}{P_{\mu}(1;\tau)} \in \mathbb{F}_{\geqslant 0}^{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \forall x \in [0,\infty)^{n}.$$
 (5)

Note that the "if" direction of the two conjectures can be easily proved by some degree consideration; also the CGS conjecture, together with Theorem 2, implies the KT conjecture. In other words, the only missing part is

$$\lambda$$
 dominates $\mu \implies \text{Eq. (4)}$.

References

[CGS11] Allison Cuttler, Curtis Greene, and Mark Skandera. Inequalities for symmetric means.

European J. Combin., 32(6):745-761, 2011.

Apoorva Khare and Terence Tao. On the sign patterns of entrywise positivity preservers in fixed dimension.

Amer. J. Math., 143(6):1863-1929, 2021.

[OO97] A. Okounkov and G. Olshanski. Shifted Jack polynomials, binomial formula, and applications. Math. Res. Lett., 4(1):69-78, 1997.

[Sah11] Siddhartha Sahi.

Binomial coefficients and Littlewood-Richardson coefficients for Jack

Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (7):1597-1612, 2011.

[Sra16] Suvrit Sra. On inequalities for normalized Schur functions.