# Molecules of affine fixed-point-free W-graphs

Yifeng ZHANG† Department of Mathematics, South China Normal University

### Affine permutations and involutions

- The symmetric group  $S_n$  is the group of bijections  $\pi:[n] \to [n] := \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ .
- The affine symmetric group  $\widetilde{S}_n$  is the group of bijections  $\pi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $\pi(i+n) = \pi(i) + n$  and  $\pi(1) + \pi(2) + \cdots + \pi(n) = 1 + 2 + \cdots + n$ .

The finite subgroup of elements  $\pi \in \widetilde{S}_n$  with  $\pi([n]) = [n]$  may be identified with  $S_n$ .

- Let  $s_i \in \tilde{S}_n$  be permutation interchanging  $i \leftrightarrow i+1$ , fixing all  $j \notin \{i, i+1\} + n\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $s_i = s_{i+n}$  and  $\tilde{S}_n = \langle s_1, \dots, s_n \rangle$  is a Coxeter group with *length function*  $\ell$ .
- An affine involution is  $z \in \widetilde{S}_n$  such that  $z^2 = 1$ . An affine fixed-point-free involution is an affine involution z such that there are no  $x \in [n]$  with z(x) = x.
- The set of all involutions is denoted as  $\widetilde{I}_n$  while the set of all fixed-point-free involutions is denoted as  $\mathcal{F}_n$ . On  $\mathcal{F}_n$ , we define  $\ell^{\mathsf{FPF}}(z) = \frac{1}{2}(\ell(z) \frac{n}{2})$ .
- Given  $\pi \in \widetilde{S}_n$ , define  $\beta(\pi) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n |\pi(i) r_n(\pi(i))|$ , where  $r_n(i)$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  denotes the unique element of [n] that satisfies  $r_n(i) \equiv i \pmod n$ . For  $z \in \mathcal{F}_n$ , define  $\operatorname{sgn}_{\mathsf{FPF}}(z) = (-1)^{\beta(z)}$ .
- Let  $\Theta^+ = s_1 s_3 \cdots s_{n-1} = [2,1,\ldots,n,n-1] \in \widetilde{I}_n$  and  $\Theta^- = s_2 s_4 \cdots s_n = [1,0,\ldots,n-1,n-2] \in \widetilde{I}_n$ , so that  $\mathrm{sgn}_{\mathrm{FPF}}(\Theta^\pm) = \pm 1$ . Define  $\mathcal{F}_n^+$  as the  $\widetilde{S}_n$ -conjugacy class of  $\Theta^+$  and  $\mathcal{F}_n^-$  as the  $\widetilde{S}_n$ -conjugacy class of  $\Theta^-$ . One can show that

 $\mathcal{F}_n^+ = \{z \in \mathcal{F}_n : \operatorname{sgn}_{\operatorname{FPF}}(z) = 1\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{F}_n^- = \{z \in \mathcal{F}_n : \operatorname{sgn}_{\operatorname{FPF}}(z) = -1\} \qquad \text{(0.1)}$  and hence that  $\mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{F}_n^+ \sqcup \mathcal{F}_n^-$ 

#### Tabloids

• Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$  be a partition of size  $\sum_i \lambda_i \leqslant n$ . A tabloid P of shape  $\lambda$  is an equivalence class of fillings of the Young diagram of shape  $\lambda$  with elements of  $[\overline{n}]$  under identification of fillings that differ by reordering elements within rows. Here  $\overline{i}$  denotes the equivalence class of integers  $k \equiv i \pmod{n}$ . We think of the i-th row of a tabloid P as a set  $P_i \subseteq [\overline{n}]$ .

#### Affine Matrix-Ball Construction

- Matrix-Ball Construction (MBC) is a construction algorithm of RSK correspondence besides the insertion algorithm.
- Chmutov, Pylyavskyy and Yuvidona generalized MBC to affine symmetric groups. They defined the Affine Matrix-Ball Construction (AMBC) mapping an affine permutation to the triplet of two tabloids (P,Q) with same shape and a dominant vector  $(\rho)$ .
- For example, we have

$$[6, 1, 14, 3, 18, 19, 12, 15, 17, 10] \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \boxed{1} & \boxed{3} & \boxed{10} \\ \boxed{2} & \boxed{5} & \boxed{6} \\ \boxed{4} & \boxed{7} & \boxed{9} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \boxed{3} & \boxed{5} & \boxed{6} \\ \boxed{7} & \boxed{8} & \boxed{9} \\ \boxed{1} & \boxed{4} & \boxed{10} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \boxed{3} & \boxed{5} & \boxed{6} \\ \boxed{7} & \boxed{8} & \boxed{9} \\ \boxed{2} & \boxed{4} \end{pmatrix}$$

#### Affine FPF graphs and molecules

- For an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , an I-labeled graph for a finite set I is a triple  $\Gamma = (V, \omega, \nu)$  where (i) V is a finite vertex set; (ii)  $\omega : V \times V \to \mathcal{A}$  is a map; (iii)  $\nu : V \to \mathcal{P}(I)$  is a map assigning a subset of I to each vertex.
  - We view  $\Gamma$  as a weighted directed graph on the vertex set V with an edge  $x \xrightarrow{\omega(x,y)} y$  if  $\omega(x,y) \neq 0$ .
- An S-labeled graph  $\Gamma=(V,\omega,\nu)$  is a W-graph if the free  ${\cal A}$ -module generated by V can be given an  ${\cal H}$ -module structure with

$$H_s x = \begin{cases} vx & s \notin \nu(x) \\ -v^{-1}x + \sum_{y \in V; s \notin \nu(y)} \omega(x,y)y & s \in \nu(x) \end{cases} \quad \text{for } s \in S \text{ and } x \in V.$$

- Let  $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{A}$ -span $\{M_z:z\in\mathcal{F}_n\}$  and  $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{A}$ -span $\{N_z:z\in\mathcal{F}_n\}$  denote the free  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules with bases given by the symbols  $M_z$  and  $N_z$  for  $z\in\mathcal{F}_n$ . We call  $\{M_z\}_{z\in\mathcal{F}_n}$  and  $\{N_z\}_{z\in\mathcal{F}_n}$  the standard bases of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$ , respectively.
- Proposition(Z.) Both  $\mathcal M$  and  $\mathcal N$  have unique  $\mathcal H$ -module structures such that if  $s\in S$  and  $z\in \mathcal F_n$  then we have

$$H_s M_z = egin{cases} M_{szs} & \ell^{\mathsf{FPF}}(szs) > \ell^{\mathsf{FPF}}(z) \\ v M_z & \ell^{\mathsf{FPF}}(szs) = \ell^{\mathsf{FPF}}(z) \\ M_{szs} + (v - v^{-1}) M_z & \ell^{\mathsf{FPF}}(szs) < \ell^{\mathsf{FPF}}(z) \end{cases}$$

and

$$H_s N_z = \begin{cases} N_{szs} & \ell^{\mathsf{FPF}}(szs) > \ell^{\mathsf{FPF}}(z) \\ -v^{-1} N_z & \ell^{\mathsf{FPF}}(szs) = \ell^{\mathsf{FPF}}(z) \\ N_{szs} + (v - v^{-1}) N_z & \ell^{\mathsf{FPF}}(szs) < \ell^{\mathsf{FPF}}(z). \end{cases}$$

• Define  $\mathbf{m}_{x,y}$  and  $\mathbf{n}_{x,y}$  for  $x,y\in\mathcal{F}_n$  as the polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$  such that

$$\underline{M}_y = \sum_{x \in \widetilde{S}_n} \mathbf{m}_{x,y} M_x$$
 and  $\underline{N}_y = \sum_{x \in \widetilde{S}_n} \mathbf{n}_{x,y} N_x$ .

Let  $\mu_{\mathbf{m}}(x,y)$  and  $\mu_{\mathbf{n}}(x,y)$  denote the coefficients of  $v^{-1}$  in  $\mathbf{m}_{x,y}$  and  $\mathbf{n}_{x,y}$ . Define  $\nu_{\mathbf{m}},\ \nu_{\mathbf{n}}\colon\ \mathcal{F}_n\to\mathcal{P}(S)$  by

 $\nu_{\mathbf{m}}(x) = \{s \in S : sxs \leqslant_F x\} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_{\mathbf{n}}(x) = \{s \in S : x \leqslant_F sxs\}$  where  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\} \subset \widetilde{S}_n$ . Finally, let  $\omega_{\mathbf{m}} \colon \mathcal{F}_n \times \mathcal{F}_n \to \mathbb{Z}$  be the map with

$$\omega_{\mathbf{m}}(x,y) = \begin{cases} \mu_{\mathbf{m}}(x,y) + \mu_{\mathbf{m}}(y,x) & \nu_{\mathbf{m}}(x) \in \nu_{\mathbf{m}}(y) \\ 0 & \nu_{\mathbf{m}}(x) \in \nu_{\mathbf{m}}(y). \end{cases}$$

Define  $\omega_n$ :  $\mathcal{F}_n \times \mathcal{F}_n \to \mathbb{Z}$  by the same formula, but with  $\mu_m$  and  $\nu_m$  replaced by  $\mu_n$  and  $\nu_n$ .

### Proposition(Marberg)

Both  $\Gamma_n^{\mathbf{m}}=(\mathcal{F}_n,\omega_{\mathbf{m}},\nu_{\mathbf{m}})$  and  $\Gamma_n^{\mathbf{n}}=(\mathcal{F}_n,\omega_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}})$  are  $\widetilde{S}_n$ -graphs.

• We call these graphs affine FPF graphs. The strongly connected components in a W-graph  $\Gamma$  are called *cells*. The connected components with respect to bidirected edges are called *molecules*.

## Theorem(Z.)

For two affine involutions w and v, they are in the same molecule in  $\Gamma_n^{\mathbf{m}}$  only if they have the same sign, and corresponding to tabloids of the same shape with same dominant weight applying AMBC.

- This is just a necessary condition, which is not sufficient.
- For n=4, by definition of molecule, we can find such two molecules:  $\{[4,3,2,1],[-4,3,2,9],[3,-4,1,10],[-5,4,9,2],[4,-5,10,1],[4,11,-6,1]\}$  and

 $\{[0, -1, 6, 5], [0, 7, -2, 5], [7, 0, -3, 6], [-1, 8, 5, -2], [8, -1, 6, -3], [-8, -1, 6, 13]\}.$ 

All of them have the same sign +1 and are corresponding to tabloids of the same shape with same dominant weight 0.

### Theorem(Z.)

The molecules of  $\Gamma_n^{\mathbf{m}}$  with same sign, same shape and same dominant weight are isomorphic to each other.

• Denote the number of molecules of  $\Gamma_n^{\mathbf{m}}$  with the same shape  $\lambda$  and same dominant weight  $\rho$  by  $o(\lambda)$  and call it the order of  $\lambda$ . Recall that a|b means a divides b for two integers a,b. Moreover, a|b|c means a|b and b|c.

Proposition(Z.)  $o(\lambda)$  is independent of  $\rho$ . Moreover, we have  $2|o(\lambda)|n$ . Specifically, we have  $o((1,1,\cdots,1))=n$  and  $o((\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}))=2$ .