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#### Abstract

The solution space of a constant coefficient ODE gives rise to a natural real analytic curve in Euclidean space. We give necessary and sufficient conditions on the ODE to ensure that this curve is a proper embedding of infinite length or has finite total first curvature. If all the roots of the associated characteristic polynomial are simple, we give a uniform upper bound for the total first curvature and show the optimal uniform upper bound must grow at least linearly with the order $n$ of the ODE. We then examine the case where multiple roots are permitted. We present several examples illustrating that a curve can have finite total first curvature for positive/negative time and infinite total first curvature for negative/positive time as well as illustrating that other possibilities may occur.
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## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, in the interests of notational simplicity, the word "curvature" will refer to the "first curvature". It is defined as follows. If $t \rightarrow \sigma(t)$ is an immersion of $\mathbb{R}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, then the curvature $\kappa$ and the total curvatures $\kappa_{ \pm}[\sigma]$ are given, respectively, by setting:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa:=\frac{\|\dot{\sigma} \wedge \ddot{\sigma}\|}{\|\dot{\sigma}\|^{3}}, \quad \kappa_{-}[\sigma]:=\int_{-\infty}^{0} \kappa\|\dot{\sigma}\| d t, \quad \kappa_{+}[\sigma]:=\int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa\|\dot{\sigma}\| d t . \tag{1.a}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total curvature is then given by $\kappa[\sigma]:=\kappa_{+}[\sigma]+\kappa_{-}[\sigma]$. In this paper we shall construct a real analytic curve $\sigma$ in Euclidean space which arises as the solution space of a constant coefficient ODE. We examine when $\sigma$ is a proper immersion with finite total curvature. In the $C^{\infty}$ context, one could start with a straight line, perturb it by putting a small bump in it, and get thereby a proper curve with finite total curvature. Thus working in the real analytic context is crucial when considering questions of this sort.
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The curvature $\kappa$ of Equation (1.a) is a local invariant of the curve which does not depend on the parametrization. If $\rho(t)$ is the radius of the best circle approximating $\sigma$ at $t$, then $\kappa=\rho^{-1}$. One can extend the definition from the Euclidean setting to the Riemannian setting. Let $\nabla$ be the LeviCivita connection of a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$. If $\sigma$ is a curve which is parametrized by arc length, then the geodesic curvature is defined by setting $\kappa_{g}(\sigma):=\left\|\nabla_{\dot{\sigma}} \dot{\sigma}\right\| ; \kappa_{g}=0$ if and only if $\sigma$ is a geodesic. We have $\kappa_{g}=\kappa$ if $M=\mathbb{R}^{m}$ with the usual flat metric.

### 1.1. History

Let $\kappa[\sigma]:=\kappa_{+}[\sigma]+\kappa_{-}[\sigma]$ be the total curvature. Fenchel [13] showed that a simple closed curve in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ had $\kappa[\sigma] \geq 2 \pi$. Fáry [12] and Milnor [15] showed the total curvature of any knot (i.e. of a circle which is embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ ) is greater than $4 \pi$. Castrillón López and Fernández Mateos [3], and Kondo and Tanaka [14] have examined the global properties of the total curvature of a curve in an arbitrary Riemannian manifold. The total curvature of open plane curves of fixed length in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ was studied by Enomoto [7]. The analogous question for $S^{2}$ was examined by Enomoto and Itoh [8], [9]. Enomoto, Itoh, and Sinclair [11] examined curves in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. We also refer to related work of Sullivan [16]. Buck and Simon [2] and Diao and Ernst [5] studied this invariant in the context of knot theory, and Ekholm [6] used this invariant in the context of algebraic topology. Alexander, Bishop, and Ghrist [1] extended these notions to more general spaces than smooth manifolds. The total curvature also appears in the study of Plateau's problem - see the discussion in Desideri and Jakob [4]. The total absolute torsion has also been examined analogously by Enomoto and Itoh [10]; we shall not touch on this. The literature on the subject is a vast one and we have only cited a few representative papers to give a flavor for the subject.

### 1.2. Curves given by constant coefficient ODE's

Let $P$ be a real constant coefficient ordinary differential operator of degree $n=n_{P} \geq 2$ of the form:

$$
P(\phi):=\phi^{(n)}+c_{n-1} \phi^{(n-1)}+\cdots+c_{0} \phi
$$

where $\phi^{(k)}:=d^{k} \phi / d t^{k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $\phi=\phi(t)$. Let $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{S}_{P}$ be the solution space, let $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}_{P}$ be the associated characteristic polynomial, and let $\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}_{P}$ be the roots of $\mathcal{P}$, respectively:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S} & :=\{\phi: P(\phi)=0\} \\
\mathcal{P}(\lambda) & :=\lambda^{n}+c_{n-1} \lambda^{n-1}+\cdots+c_{0} \\
\mathcal{R} & :=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: \mathcal{P}(\lambda)=0\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We suppose for the moment that all the roots of $\mathcal{P}$ are simple (i.e. have multiplicity 1 ) and enumerate the roots of $\mathcal{P}$ in the form:

$$
\mathcal{R}=\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}, \mu_{1}, \bar{\mu}_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{\ell}, \bar{\mu}_{\ell}\right\} \text { for } k+2 \ell=n
$$

where $s_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and where $\mu_{j}=a_{j}+\sqrt{-1} b_{j}$ with $b_{j}>0$ for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. Since we have assumed that all the roots are simple, the standard basis for $\mathcal{S}$ is given by the functions

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\phi_{1}:=e^{s_{1} t} \\
\phi_{k+1}:=e^{a_{1} t} \cos \left(b_{1} t\right), & \phi_{k+2}:=e^{a_{1} t} \sin \left(b_{1} t\right),  \tag{1.b}\\
\phi_{n-1}:=e^{a_{\ell} t} \cos \left(b_{\ell} t\right), & \phi_{n}:=e^{a_{\ell} t} \sin \left(b_{\ell} t\right)
\end{array}
$$

Of course, if all the roots are real, then $k=n$ and we omit the functions involving $\cos (\cdot)$ and $\sin (\cdot)$. Similarly, if all the roots are complex, then $k=0$ and we omit the pure exponential functions. We define the associated curve $\sigma_{P}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ by setting:

$$
\sigma_{P}(t):=\left(\phi_{1}(t), \ldots, \phi_{n}(t)\right)
$$

### 1.3. The length of the curve $\sigma_{P}$

Let $\Re(\lambda)$ denote the real part of a complex number $\lambda$. Define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{+}(P) & :=\max _{\lambda \in \mathcal{R}} \Re(\lambda)=\max \left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell}\right) \\
r_{-}(P) & :=\min _{\lambda \in \mathcal{R}} \Re(\lambda)=\min \left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The numbers $r_{ \pm}(P)$ control the growth of $\left\|\sigma_{P}\right\|$ as $t \rightarrow \pm \infty$. Section 2 is devoted to the proof of the following result:

Theorem 1.1 Assume that all the roots of $\mathcal{P}$ are simple. If $r_{+}(P)>0$, then $\sigma_{P}$ is a proper embedding of $[0, \infty)$ into $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with infinite length. If
$r_{-}(P)<0$, then $\sigma_{P}$ is a proper embedding of $(-\infty, 0]$ into $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with infinite length.

### 1.4. The total curvature

We order the roots to ensure that:

$$
s_{1}>s_{2}>\cdots>s_{k} \text { and } a_{1} \geq \cdots \geq a_{\ell}
$$

We then have $r_{+}(P)=\max \left(s_{1}, a_{1}\right)$ and $r_{-}(P)=\min \left(s_{k}, a_{\ell}\right)$. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the following result:

Theorem 1.2 Assume that all the roots of $\mathcal{P}$ are simple, that $r_{+}(P)>0$, and that $r_{-}(P)<0$.
(1) If $s_{1}>a_{1}$, then $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]<\infty$; otherwise, $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]=\infty$.
(2) If $s_{k}<a_{\ell}$, then $\kappa_{-}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]<\infty$; otherwise $\kappa_{-}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]=\infty$.

We note that if there are no complex roots, then $s_{1}>0$ and $s_{k}<0$ and we may conclude that $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ and $\kappa_{-}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ are finite. This is quite striking as these curves are, obviously, not straight lines. On the other hand, if there are no real roots, then $a_{1}>0$ and $a_{\ell}<0$ and we may conclude that $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ and $\kappa_{-}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ are infinite.

### 1.5. Uniform bounds on the total curvature

Theorem 1.2 shows $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ is finite if $s_{1}>0$, if all the roots are simple, and if $s_{1}>\Re(\mu)$ for any complex root $\mu$. In fact, one can give a uniform upper bound for $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ if there are no complex roots and if all the real roots are simple without the assumption that $s_{1}>0$ where the uniform bound depends only on the dimension. If $s_{1}>\cdots>s_{n}$, let $\sigma_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}}:=$ $\left(e^{s_{1} t}, \ldots, e^{s_{n} t}\right)$. We will establish the following result in Section 4.

Theorem 1.3 $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}}\right] \leq 2 n(n-1)$.
Remark 1.4 Let $\sigma_{n}(t):=\left(e^{t}, \cos (n t) e^{-t}, \sin (n t) e^{-t}, e^{-2 t}\right)$. Since we have that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_{ \pm}\left[\sigma_{n}\right]=\infty$, no uniform upper bound on the curvature is possible if complex roots are permitted. We picture below a 3-dimensional projection of such a curve:


Theorem 1.3 shows that there exists a dimension dependent uniform upper bound for the total curvature of a curve defined by an ODE of order $n$ with simple real roots. We now show the optimal uniform upper bound must grow at least linearly in $n$. Let

$$
u_{k, \theta}:=e^{k \theta} \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma_{n, \theta}(t):=\left(e^{-u_{1, \theta} t}, e^{-u_{2, \theta} t}, \ldots, e^{-u_{n, \theta} t}\right)
$$

We will establish the following result in Section 5:
Theorem 1.5 Let $\epsilon>0$ be given. There exists $\theta(\epsilon)$ so that if $\theta>\theta(\epsilon)$, then $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{n, \theta}\right] \geq(1 / 3)(n-1)-\epsilon$.

### 1.6. Examples

Section 6 treats several families of examples. We construct examples where $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ and $\kappa_{-}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ are both finite, where $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ is finite but $\kappa_{-}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ is infinite, where $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ is infinite but $\kappa_{-}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ is finite, and where both $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ and $\kappa_{-}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ are infinite.

### 1.7. Changing the basis

We took the standard basis for $\mathcal{S}$ to define the curve $\sigma_{P}$. More generally, let $\Psi:=\left\{\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{n}\right\}$ be an arbitrary basis for $\mathcal{S}$. We define:

$$
\sigma_{\Psi, P}(t):=\left(\psi_{1}(t), \ldots, \psi_{n}(t)\right)
$$

In Section 7, we extend Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 to this setting and verify that the properties we have been discussing are properties of the solution space $\mathcal{S}$ and not of the particular basis chosen:

Theorem 1.6 Assume that all the roots of $\mathcal{P}$ are simple, that $r_{+}(P)>0$,
and that $r_{-}(P)<0$. Then $\sigma_{\Psi, P}$ is a proper embedding of $[0, \infty)$ and of $(-\infty, 0]$ into $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with infinite length.
(1) If $s_{1}>a_{1}$, then $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{\Psi, P}\right]<\infty$; otherwise, $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{\Psi, P}\right]=\infty$.
(2) If $s_{k}<a_{\ell}$, then $\kappa_{-}\left[\sigma_{\Psi, P}\right]<\infty$; otherwise $\kappa_{-}\left[\sigma_{\Psi, P}\right]=\infty$.

### 1.8. Roots with multiplicity greater than 1

Powers of $t$ arise in this setting. For example, if we consider the equation $\phi^{(n)}=0$, then

$$
\mathcal{S}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{1, t, \ldots, t^{n-1}\right\}
$$

More generally, if $s$ is a real eigenvalue of multiplicity $\nu \geq 2$, then we must consider the family of functions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\phi_{s, 0}:=e^{s t}, \phi_{s, 1}:=t e^{s t}, \ldots, \phi_{s, \nu-1}:=t^{\nu-1} e^{s t}\right\} \tag{1.c}
\end{equation*}
$$

while if $\mu=a+\sqrt{-1} b$ for $b>0$ is a complex root of multiplicity $\nu \geq 2$, then we must consider the family of functions:

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\{\phi_{\mu, 0}\right.:=e^{a t} \cos (b t), \phi_{\mu, 1} \\
& \tilde{\phi}_{\mu, 0}:=e^{a t} \sin (b t), \tilde{\phi}_{\mu, 1}^{a t} \cos (b t), \ldots, \phi_{\mu, \nu-1}:=t e^{a t} \sin (b t), \ldots, \tilde{\phi}_{\mu, \nu-1}:=t^{\nu-1} e^{a t} \cos (b t)  \tag{1.d}\\
&\left.e^{a t} \sin (b t)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

We will establish the following result in Section 8:
Theorem 1.7 Assume that $r_{+}(P)>0$ and that $r_{-}(P)<0$.
(1) If $s_{1}=r_{+}(P)$ and if the multiplicity of $s_{1}$ as a root of $\mathcal{P}$ is larger than the corresponding multiplicity of any complex root $\mu$ of $\mathcal{P}$ with $\Re(\mu)=s_{1}$, then $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{\Psi, P}\right]<\infty$; otherwise $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{\Psi, P}\right]=\infty$.
(2) If $s_{k}=r_{-}(P)$ and if the multiplicity of $s_{k}$ as a root of $\mathcal{P}$ is larger than the corresponding multiplicity of any complex root $\mu$ of $\mathcal{P}$ with $\Re(\mu)=s_{k}$, then $\kappa_{-}\left[\sigma_{\Psi, P}\right]<\infty$; otherwise $\kappa_{-}\left[\sigma_{\Psi, P}\right]=\infty$.

## 2. The proof of Theorem 1.1

Assume all the roots of $\mathcal{P}$ are simple. It then follows from the definition that

$$
\left\|\sigma_{P}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} e^{2 s_{i} t}+\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} e^{2 a_{j} t}
$$

Thus $\left\|\sigma_{P}\right\|^{2}$ tends to infinity as $t \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if some $s_{i}$ or some $a_{j}$ is positive or, equivalently, if $r_{+}(P)>0$. This implies that $\sigma_{P}$ is a proper map from $[0, \infty)$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and that the length is infinite. If $s_{1}>0$, then $\phi_{1}=e^{s_{1} t}$ is an injective map from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ and consequently $\sigma_{P}$ is an embedding of $\mathbb{R}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. If $a_{1}>0$, then $e^{a_{1} t}\left(\cos \left(b_{1} t\right), \sin \left(b_{1} t\right)\right)$ is an injective map from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and again we may conclude that $\sigma_{P}$ is an embedding. The analysis on $(-\infty, 0]$ is similar if $r_{-}(P)<0$ and is therefore omitted in the interests of brevity.

## 3. The proof of Theorem 1.2

Throughout our proof, we will let $C_{i}=C_{i}(P)$ denote a generic positive constant; we clear the notation after each case under consideration and after the end of any given proof; thus $C_{i}$ can have different meanings in different proofs or in different sections of the same proof. We shall examine $\sigma_{P}$ on $[0, \infty)$; the analysis on $(-\infty, 0]$ is similar and will therefore be omitted. We suppose $r_{+}(P)>0$ or, equivalently, that $\max \left(s_{1}, a_{1}\right)>0$. We also assume that all the roots of $\mathcal{P}$ are simple. Suppose first that $s_{1}>a_{1}$ or that there are no complex roots. Let

$$
\epsilon:=\min _{\lambda \in \mathcal{R}, \lambda \neq s_{1}}\left(s_{1}-\Re(\lambda)\right)=\min _{i>1, j \geq 1}\left(s_{1}-s_{i}, s_{1}-a_{j}\right)>0 .
$$

This measures the difference between the exponential growth rate of $\phi_{1}$ and the growth (or decay) rates of the functions $\phi_{i}$ of Equation (1.b) for $i>1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\dot{\sigma}_{P} \wedge \ddot{\sigma}_{P}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{i<j}\left(\dot{\phi}_{i} \ddot{\phi}_{j}-\dot{\phi}_{j} \ddot{\phi}_{i}\right)^{2} \tag{3.a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, we may estimate:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left\|\dot{\sigma}_{P} \wedge \ddot{\sigma}_{P}\right\| \leq C_{1} e^{\left(2 s_{1}-\epsilon\right) t}, & \left\|\dot{\sigma}_{P}\right\|^{2} \geq C_{2} e^{2 s_{1} t} \text { for } t \geq 0 \\
\frac{\left\|\dot{\sigma}_{P} \wedge \ddot{\sigma}_{P}\right\|}{\left\|\dot{\sigma}_{P}\right\|^{2}} \leq C_{3} e^{-\epsilon t} \text { for } t \geq 0 . \tag{3.b}
\end{array}
$$

We integrate the estimate of Equation (3.b) to see $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]<\infty$.
Next suppose that $a_{1}>0$ and that $a_{1} \geq s_{1}$ (or that there are no real roots). Then $e^{a_{1} t}$ is the dominant term and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\dot{\sigma}_{P}\right\|^{2} \leq C_{1} e^{2 a_{1} t} \tag{3.c}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term $\left(\dot{\phi}_{i} \ddot{\phi}_{j}-\dot{\phi}_{j} \ddot{\phi}_{i}\right)^{2}$ in Equation (3.a) is maximized for $t \geq 0$ when we take $\phi_{i}=e^{a_{1} t} \cos \left(b_{1} t\right)$ and $\phi_{j}=e^{a_{1} t} \sin \left(b_{1} t\right)$. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\phi}_{i} & =e^{a_{1} t}\left(a_{1} \cos \left(b_{1} t\right)-b_{1} \sin \left(b_{1} t\right)\right) \\
\ddot{\phi}_{i} & =e^{a_{1} t}\left\{\left(a_{1}^{2}-b_{1}^{2}\right) \cos \left(b_{1} t\right)-2 a_{1} b_{1} \sin \left(b_{1} t\right)\right\} \\
\dot{\phi}_{j} & =e^{a_{1} t}\left(a_{1} \sin \left(b_{1} t\right)+b_{1} \cos \left(b_{1} t\right)\right), \\
\ddot{\phi}_{j} & =e^{a_{1} t}\left\{\left(a_{1}^{2}-b_{1}^{2}\right) \sin \left(b_{1} t\right)+2 a_{1} b_{1} \cos \left(b_{1} t\right)\right\}, \\
\dot{\phi}_{i}^{2}+\dot{\phi}_{j}^{2} & =\left(a_{1}^{2}+b_{1}^{2}\right) e^{2 a_{1} t}, \\
\left(\dot{\phi}_{i} \ddot{\phi}_{j}-\dot{\phi}_{j} \ddot{\phi}_{i}\right)^{2} & =b_{1}^{2}\left(a_{1}^{2}+b_{1}\right)^{2} e^{4 a_{1} t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $b_{1} \neq 0$, we may estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\dot{\sigma}_{P} \wedge \ddot{\sigma}_{P}\right\| \geq C_{2} e^{2 a_{1} t} \tag{3.d}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use Equation (3.c) and Equation (3.d) to see

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|\dot{\sigma}_{P} \wedge \ddot{\sigma}_{P}\right\|}{\left\|\dot{\sigma}_{P}\right\|^{2}} \geq \frac{C_{2}}{C_{1}}>0 \tag{3.e}
\end{equation*}
$$

We integrate the uniform estimate of Equation (3.e) to see $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]=\infty$.

## 4. The proof of Theorem 1.3

Let $\sigma_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}}(t):=\left(e^{s_{1} t}, \ldots, e^{s_{n} t}\right)$ for $s_{1}>\cdots>s_{n}$ and $n \geq 2$. We may express

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}}\right] & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \sqrt{\sum_{i<j}\left\{s_{i} s_{j}\left(s_{i}-s_{j}\right) e^{\left(s_{i}+s_{j}\right) t}\right\}^{2}}\left\{\sum_{k} s_{k}^{2} e^{2 s_{k} t}\right\}^{-1} d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{i<j}\left|s_{i} s_{j}\left(s_{i}-s_{j}\right)\right| e^{\left(s_{i}+s_{j}\right) t}\left\{\sum_{k} s_{k}^{2} e^{2 s_{k} t}\right\}^{-1} d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{i<j}\left|s_{i} s_{j}\left(s_{i}-s_{j}\right)\right| e^{\left(s_{i}+s_{j}\right) t}\left\{s_{i}^{2} e^{2 s_{i} t}+s_{j}^{2} e^{2 s_{j} t}\right\}^{-1} d t \\
& =\sum_{i<j} \kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{s_{i}, s_{j}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus estimate $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}}\right] \leq n(n-1)$ for $n \geq 3$ will follow if we can establish the corresponding estimate $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{s_{i}, s_{j}}\right]<2$ for $n=2$. We set $n=2$ and consider 2 cases:

Case I: $s_{\mathbf{1}}^{\mathbf{2}} \geq \boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbf{2}}^{\mathbf{2}}$. Since $s_{1}>s_{2}$, we must have $s_{1}>0$. We compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{s_{1}, s_{2}}\right] & =\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|s_{1} s_{2}\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)\right| e^{\left(s_{1}+s_{2}\right) t}\left\{s_{1}^{2} e^{2 s_{1} t}+s_{2}^{2} e^{2 s_{2} t}\right\}^{-1} d t \\
& <\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|s_{1} s_{2}\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)\right| e^{\left(s_{1}+s_{2}\right) t}\left\{s_{1}^{2} e^{2 s_{1} t}\right\}^{-1} d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|s_{1}^{-1} s_{2}\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)\right| e^{\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right) t} d t=\left|s_{1}^{-1} s_{2}\right| \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Case II: $s_{\mathbf{1}}^{\mathbf{2}}<\boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbf{2}}^{\mathbf{2}}$. Since $s_{1}>s_{2}$, either $s_{1}>0>s_{2}$ or $0>s_{1}>s_{2}$. When $t$ is small, $s_{1}^{2} e^{2 s_{1} t}<s_{2}^{2} e^{2 s_{2} t}$ while if $t$ is large, $s_{1}^{2} e^{2 s_{1} t}>s_{2}^{2} e^{2 s_{2} t}$. Choose $T$ so that $s_{1}^{2} e^{2 s_{1} T}=s_{2}^{2} e^{2 s_{2} T}$. Then

$$
s_{1}^{2} e^{2 s_{1} t}<s_{2}^{2} e^{2 s_{2} t} \quad \text { if } \quad t<T \quad \text { and } \quad s_{1}^{2} e^{2 s_{1} t}>s_{2}^{2} e^{2 s_{2} t} \quad \text { if } \quad t>T
$$

We may decompose $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{s_{1}, s_{2}}\right]=\mathcal{I}_{1}+\mathcal{I}_{2}$ for

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{I}_{1}=\int_{0}^{T}\left|s_{1} s_{2}\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)\right| e^{\left(s_{1}+s_{2}\right) t}\left\{s_{1}^{2} e^{2 s_{1} t}+s_{2}^{2} e^{2 s_{2} t}\right\}^{-1} d t \\
& \mathcal{I}_{2}=\int_{T}^{\infty}\left|s_{1} s_{2}\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)\right| e^{\left(s_{1}+s_{2}\right) t}\left\{s_{1}^{2} e^{2 s_{1} t}+s_{2}^{2} e^{2 s_{2} t}\right\}^{-1} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $e^{\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right) T}=\left|s_{2} s_{1}^{-1}\right|$ and $e^{\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right) T}=\left|s_{2}^{-1} s_{1}\right|$. We complete the proof by estimating:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{1} & \leq \int_{0}^{T}\left|s_{1} s_{2}\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)\right| e^{\left(s_{1}+s_{2}\right) t}\left\{s_{2}^{2} e^{2 s_{2} t}\right\}^{-1} d t \\
& =\left|s_{1} s_{2}^{-1}\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)\right| \int_{0}^{T} e^{\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right) t} d t=\left.\left|s_{1} s_{2}^{-1}\right| e^{\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right) t}\right|_{0} ^{T} \\
& =\left|s_{1} s_{2}^{-1}\right|\left\{e^{\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right) T}-1\right\}=\left|s_{1} s_{2}^{-1}\right|\left\{\left|s_{2} s_{1}^{-1}\right|-1\right\} \\
& =1-\left|s_{2} s_{1}^{-1}\right|<1 \\
\mathcal{I}_{2} & \leq \int_{T}^{\infty}\left|s_{1} s_{2}\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)\right| e^{\left(s_{1}+s_{2}\right) t}\left\{s_{1}^{2} e^{2 s_{1} t}\right\}^{-1} d t \\
& =\left|s_{1}^{-1} s_{2}\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)\right| \int_{T}^{\infty} e^{\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right) t} d t=-\left.\left|s_{1}^{-1} s_{2}\right| e^{\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right) t}\right|_{t=T} ^{\infty}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5. The proof of Theorem 1.5

Let $\theta \gg 1$. We set

$$
u_{k, \theta}:=e^{k \theta} \text { and } \sigma_{n, \theta}(t):=\left(e^{-u_{1, \theta} t}, \ldots, e^{-u_{n, \theta} t}\right)
$$

We have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{n, \theta}\right]=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\sum_{i<j}\left\{\left(u_{i, \theta}-u_{j, \theta}\right) u_{i, \theta} u_{j, \theta} e^{-\left(u_{i, \theta}+u_{j, \theta}\right) t}\right\}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}{\sum_{\ell} u_{\ell, \theta}^{2} e^{-2 u_{\ell, \theta} t}} d t \tag{5.a}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain a lower estimate for $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{n, \theta}\right]$, we must obtain an upper estimate for the denominator $D(t):=\sum_{\ell} u_{\ell, \theta}^{2} e^{-2 u_{\ell, \theta} t}$ in Equation (5.a). We determine the maximal term in $D(t)$ on various intervals and complete the proof of Theorem 1.5:

Lemma 5.1 Set $f_{k, \theta}(t):=u_{k, \theta} e^{-u_{k, \theta} t}$.
(1) There exists a unique positive real number $T_{k, \theta}$ so $f_{k, \theta}\left(T_{k, \theta}\right)=$ $f_{k+1, \theta}\left(T_{k, \theta}\right)$.
(a) $T_{k, \theta}=\theta e^{-(k+1) \theta}\left(1-e^{-\theta}\right)^{-1}$.
(b) If $t<T_{k, \theta}$, then $f_{k, \theta}(t)<f_{k+1, \theta}(t)$.
(c) If $t>T_{k, \theta}$, then $f_{k, \theta}(t)>f_{k+1, \theta}(t)$.
(2) If $j \in\{k, k+1, k+2\}$ and if $t \in\left[T_{k+1, \theta}, T_{k, \theta}\right]$, then $f_{j, \theta}(t) \leq f_{k+1, \theta}(t)$.
(3) If $0<\delta<1$, there exists $\theta(\delta)>1$ so that if $\theta \geq \theta(\delta)$, if $j \notin\{k, k+1$, $k+2\}$, and if $t \in\left[T_{k+1, \theta}, T_{k, \theta}\right]$, then $f_{j, \theta}(t) \leq \delta f_{k+1, \theta}(t)$.
(4) If $0<\delta<1$, there exists $\theta(\delta)>1$ so that if $\theta \geq \theta(\delta)$, then

$$
\int_{T_{k+1, \theta}}^{T_{k, \theta}} \frac{u_{k, \theta} u_{k+1, \theta}\left(u_{k+1, \theta}-u_{k, \theta}\right) e^{-\left(u_{k, \theta}+u_{k+1, \theta}\right) t}}{u_{k+1, \theta}^{2} e^{-2 u_{k+1, \theta} t}} d t \geq 1-\delta .
$$

(5) If $0<\epsilon<1$, there exists $\theta(\epsilon)>1$ so $\theta \geq \theta(\epsilon)$ implies:
(a) $\int_{T_{k+1, \theta}}^{T_{k, \theta}} \kappa\left(\sigma_{n, \theta}\right) d s \geq 1 / 3-(1 / n) \epsilon$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$.
(b) $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{n, \theta}\right] \geq(1 / 3)(n-1)-\epsilon$.

Proof. Since $0<u_{k, \theta}<u_{k+1, \theta}, f_{k, \theta}(t)-f_{k+1, \theta}(t)$ is negative for $t=0$ and positive for $t$ large. Thus there exists $T_{k, \theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$so $f_{k, \theta}\left(T_{k, \theta}\right)=f_{k+1, \theta}\left(T_{k, \theta}\right)$. We show $T_{k, \theta}$ is unique by determining its value. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{k, \theta}\left(T_{k, \theta}\right)=f_{k+1, \theta}\left(T_{k, \theta}\right) \quad \Leftrightarrow \\
& \log \left(u_{k, \theta}\right)-u_{k, \theta} T_{k, \theta}=\log \left(u_{k+1, \theta}\right)-u_{k+1, \theta} T_{k, \theta} \quad \Leftrightarrow \\
& k \theta-e^{k \theta} T_{k, \theta}=(k+1) \theta-e^{(k+1) \theta} T_{k, \theta} \quad \Leftrightarrow \\
& T_{k, \theta}=\theta\left(e^{(k+1) \theta}-e^{k \theta}\right)^{-1}=\theta e^{-(k+1) \theta}\left(1-e^{-\theta}\right)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assertion 1 follows from this computation and the Intermediate Value Theorem.

Note that $T_{n, \theta}<T_{n-1, \theta}<\cdots<T_{2, \theta}<T_{1, \theta}$. Let $t \in\left[T_{k+1, \theta}, T_{k, \theta}\right]$. The inequality of Assertion 2 is immediate if $j=k+1$. Since $t \leq T_{k, \theta}$, $f_{k, \theta}(t) \leq f_{k+1, \theta}(t)$ by Assertion 1b. Since $t \geq T_{k+1, \theta}, f_{k+1, \theta}(t) \geq f_{k+2, \theta}(t)$ by Assertion 1c. This proves Assertion 2.

Assertion 3 estimates $f_{j, \theta}(t)$ for $t \in\left[T_{k+1, \theta}, T_{k, \theta}\right]$ for the remaining values of $j$ which are distinct from $k, k+1$, and $k+2$. Let $1 \leq k \leq n-1$.

Given $0<\delta<1$, choose $\theta(\delta) \gg 1$ so $\theta \geq \theta(\delta)$ implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(1-e^{-\theta}\right)^{-1} & \leq 1+\delta \text { and }  \tag{5.b}\\
u_{j, \theta}-u_{k+1, \theta} & \geq(1-\delta) u_{j, \theta} \text { if } 3 \leq k+2<j \leq n
\end{align*}
$$

By Equation (5.b), we have that:

$$
T_{k, \theta}=\theta e^{-(k+1) \theta}\left(1-e^{-\theta}\right)^{-1} \leq(1+\delta) \theta e^{-(k+1) \theta}
$$

Let $j<k$ and $t \in\left[T_{k+1, \theta}, T_{k, \theta}\right]$. Thus, in particular, $t \leq T_{k, \theta}$. As $u_{k+1, \theta}-$ $u_{j, \theta}>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{j, \theta}(t) f_{k+1, \theta}(t)^{-1} & =e^{(j-k-1) \theta} e^{\left(u_{k+1, \theta}-u_{j, \theta}\right) t} \\
& \leq e^{(j-k-1) \theta} e^{u_{k+1, \theta} T_{k, \theta}} \leq e^{(j-k-1) \theta} e^{e^{(k+1) \theta} \cdot(1+\delta) \theta e^{-(k+1) \theta}} \\
& =e^{(j-k+\delta) \theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

This can be made arbitrarily small if $\theta$ is large since $j-k+\delta<0$. This proves Assertion 3 if $j<k$. Next suppose $j>k+2$. Since $t \in\left[T_{k+1, \theta}, T_{k, \theta}\right]$,

$$
t \geq T_{k+1, \theta}=\theta e^{-(k+2) \theta}\left(1-e^{-\theta}\right)^{-1} \geq \theta e^{-(k+2) \theta}
$$

As $u_{k+1, \theta}-u_{j, \theta}<0$, Equation (5.b) implies:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{j, \theta}(t) f_{k+1, \theta}(t)^{-1} & =e^{\theta(j-k-1)} e^{\left(u_{k+1, \theta}-u_{j, \theta}\right) t} \\
& \leq e^{\theta(j-k-1)} e^{\left(u_{k+1, \theta}-u_{j, \theta}\right) \theta e^{-(k+2) \theta}} \\
& \leq e^{\theta(j-k-1)} e^{-(1-\delta) e^{j \theta} \theta e^{-(k+2) \theta}}=e^{\theta\left(j-k-1-(1-\delta) e^{(j-k-2) \theta}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This term goes to zero as $\theta \rightarrow \infty$ since $j-k-2>0$. This establishes Assertion 3.

To prove Assertion 4, we compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{T_{k+1, \theta}}^{T_{k, \theta}} \frac{u_{k, \theta} u_{k+1, \theta}\left(u_{k+1, \theta}-u_{k, \theta}\right) e^{-\left(u_{k, \theta}+u_{k+1, \theta}\right) t}}{u_{k+1, \theta}^{2} e^{-2 u_{k+1, \theta} t}} d t \\
& \quad=\left.u_{k, \theta} u_{k+1, \theta}^{-1} e^{\left(u_{k+1, \theta}-u_{k, \theta}\right) t}\right|_{t=T_{k+1, \theta}} ^{T_{k, \theta}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =u_{k, \theta} u_{k+1, \theta}^{-1} e^{\left(u_{k+1, \theta}-u_{k, \theta}\right) T_{k, \theta}}\left\{1-e^{\left(u_{k+1, \theta}-u_{k, \theta}\right)\left(T_{k+1, \theta}-T_{k, \theta}\right)}\right\} \\
& =1-e^{\left(u_{k+1, \theta}-u_{k, \theta}\right)\left(T_{k+1, \theta}-T_{k, \theta}\right)} \\
& =1-e^{\left\{e^{\theta k}\left(e^{\theta}-1\right)\right\} \cdot\left\{\theta\left(e^{\theta}-1\right)^{-1}\right\}\left\{e^{-(k+1) \theta}-e^{-k \theta}\right\}} \\
& =1-e^{\theta\left(e^{-\theta}-1\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assertion 4 follows as $\theta\left(e^{-\theta}-1\right)$ tends to $-\infty$ as $\theta$ tends to $\infty$.
We use Assertion 2 and Assertion 3 to see that if $t \in\left[T_{k+1, \theta}, T_{k, \theta}\right]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\ell} u_{\ell, \theta}^{2} e^{-2 u_{\ell, \theta} t} \leq\left(3+(n-3) \delta^{2}\right) u_{k+1, \theta}^{2} e^{-2 u_{k+1, \theta} t}, \\
& \int_{T_{k+1, \theta}}^{T_{k, \theta}} \kappa\left(\sigma_{n, \theta}\right) d s \\
& \quad=\int_{T_{k+1, \theta}}^{T_{k, \theta}} \frac{\left(\sum_{i<j}\left\{\left(u_{i, \theta}-u_{j, \theta}\right) u_{i, \theta} u_{j, \theta} e^{-\left(u_{i, \theta}+u_{j, \theta}\right) t}\right\}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}{\sum_{\ell} u_{\ell, \theta}^{2} e^{-2 u_{\ell, \theta} t}} d t \\
& \quad \geq \int_{T_{k+1, \theta}}^{T_{k, \theta}} \frac{\left(u_{k+1, \theta}-u_{k, \theta}\right) u_{k+1, \theta} u_{k, \theta} e^{-\left(u_{k, \theta}+u_{k+1, \theta}\right) t}}{\sum_{\ell} u_{\ell, \theta}^{2} e^{-2 u_{\ell, \theta} t}} d t \\
& \quad \geq \int_{T_{k+1, \theta}}^{T_{k, \theta}} \frac{\left(u_{k+1, \theta}-u_{k, \theta}\right) u_{k+1, \theta} u_{k, \theta} e^{-\left(u_{k, \theta}+u_{k+1, \theta}\right) t}}{\left(3+(n-3) \delta^{2}\right) u_{k+1, \theta}^{2} e^{-2 u_{k+1, \theta} t}} d t \\
& \geq(1-\delta)\left(3+(n-3) \delta^{2}\right)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assertion 5a now follows by choosing $\delta=\delta(\epsilon)$ appropriately. We sum this estimate for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ to establish Assertion 5b and thereby complete the proof of Theorem 1.5.

## 6. Examples

We now examine several specific cases. Since the eigenvalues are to be simple, we can just specify $\mathcal{P}$ or equivalently $\mathcal{R}$; the corresponding operator $P$ is then:

$$
P=\mathcal{P}\left(\frac{d}{d t}\right)=\prod_{\lambda \in \mathcal{R}}\left\{\frac{d}{d t}-\lambda\right\}
$$

Example 6.1 Let $\mathcal{P}(\lambda)=\lambda^{n}-1$. The roots of $\mathcal{P}$ are the $n^{\text {th }}$ roots of unity and all the roots have multiplicity 1 . Since $\mathcal{P}(1)=0,1$ is always a root.

Case I: Suppose that $n$ is odd. Then 1 is the only real root of $\mathcal{P}$. The remaining roots are all complex. Thus $k=1$ and it follows that $\sigma_{P}$ is a proper embedding of infinite length from $[0, \infty)$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. If $\lambda^{n}=1$ and $\lambda \neq 1$, then necessarily $\Re(\lambda)<1$. It now follows that $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ is finite. There exists a complex $n^{\text {th }}$ root of unity with $\Re(\lambda)<0$. Consequently, $\sigma_{P}$ is also a proper embedding of infinite length from $(-\infty, 0]$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Since there are no real roots with $s_{i}<0$, we conclude $\kappa_{-}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ is infinite.

Case II: Suppose that $n$ is even. Then $\pm 1$ are the two real roots of $\mathcal{P}$. It now follows that $\sigma_{P}$ is a proper embedding of infinite length from $[0, \infty)$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and from $(-\infty, 0]$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. If $\lambda^{n}=1$ and $\lambda$ is not real, then $-1<\Re(\lambda)<1$. Consequently, $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ and $\kappa_{-}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ are both finite.

Example 6.2 Let $n \geq 3$. Let $\{1, \ldots, n-2,-1 \pm \sqrt{-1}\}$ be the roots of $\mathcal{P}$. Then $\sigma_{P}$ is a proper embedding of infinite length from $[0, \infty)$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and from $(-\infty, 0]$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ is finite, and $\kappa_{-}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ is infinite. We adjust the angular parameter to emphasize the radial revolution and let the roots be $\{1,-1 \pm 5 \sqrt{-1}\}$. This yields the curve:

$$
C(t)=\left(\cos (5 t) e^{-t}, \sin (5 t) e^{-t}, e^{t}\right)
$$



This curve curve hugs the $z$ axis for $t>0$ and becomes a spiral in the $x y$ plane for $t<0$. It has exponentially decaying curvature as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and infinite curvature as $t \rightarrow-\infty$. We draw the 2-dimensional projection:

$$
D(t)=\left(\cos (5 t) e^{-t}, \sin (5 t) e^{-t}\right)
$$



Example 6.3 Let $n \geq 3$. Let $\{-1, \ldots, 2-n, 1 \pm \sqrt{-1}\}$ be the roots of $\mathcal{P}$. Then $\sigma_{P}$ is a proper embedding of infinite length from $[0, \infty)$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and from $(-\infty, 0]$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ is infinite, and $\kappa_{-}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ is finite.

Example 6.4 Let $n \geq 2$. Let $\{1, \ldots, n-1,-1\}$ be the roots of $\mathcal{P}$. Then $\sigma_{P}$ is a proper embedding of infinite length from $[0, \infty)$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and from $(-\infty, 0]$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ is finite, and $\kappa_{-}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ is finite. The following curve hugs the $z$ axis for $t<0$ and hugs the curve $y=x^{2}$ in the $x y$ plane for $t>0$. The total curvature is finite. It has exponentially decaying curvature as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and infinite curvature as $t \rightarrow-\infty$.


By considering the roots $\{1, a,-1\}$ for $a>0$, one can construct curves which asymptotically approach the curve $y=x^{a}$ for $x>0$ in the $x y$ plane as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Example 6.5 Let $n=3$. Let $\{1,1,-1\}$ be the roots of $\mathcal{P}$. Then $\sigma_{P}$ is a proper embedding of infinite length from $[0, \infty)$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and from $(-\infty, 0]$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ is finite, and $\kappa_{-}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ is finite. The following curve hugs the $z$ axis for $t<0$ and hugs the curve $\left(e^{t}, t e^{t}\right)$ for $t>0$. Both have finite total curvature.


Example 6.6 Let $n=4$. Let the roots of $\mathcal{P}$ be $\{1 \pm 5 \sqrt{-1},-1 \pm 5 \sqrt{-1}\}$. Then $\sigma_{P}$ is a proper embedding of infinite length from $[0, \infty)$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and from $(-\infty, 0]$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ is infinite, and $\kappa_{-}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ is infinite. This yields

$$
C(t)=\left(e^{t} \cos (5 t), e^{t} \sin (5 t), e^{-t} \cos (5 t), e^{-t} \sin (5 t)\right)
$$

Example 6.7 Let $n=2 k+1 \geq 5$ be odd. Let

$$
\{0,1 \pm \sqrt{-1},-1 \pm \sqrt{-1}, \ldots,-(k-1) \pm \sqrt{-1}\}
$$

be the roots of $\mathcal{P}$. Then $\sigma_{P}$ is a proper embedding of infinite length from $[0, \infty)$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and from $(-\infty, 0]$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ is infinite, and $\kappa_{-}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ is infinite.

## 7. The proof of Theorem 1.6

Let $\Phi=\left\{\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right\}$ be the standard basis for $\mathcal{S}$ given in Equation (1.b) and let $\Psi=\left\{\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{n}\right\}$ be any other basis for $\mathcal{S}$. Express

$$
\psi_{i}=\Theta_{i}^{j} \phi_{j}
$$

where we adopt the Einstein convention and sum over repeated indices. We use $\Theta_{i}^{j}$ to make a linear change of basis on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and to regard $\sigma_{\Psi, P}=\Theta \circ \sigma_{P}$; correspondingly, this defines a new inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle:=\Theta^{*}(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\dot{\sigma}_{\Psi, P}\right\|=\left\|\dot{\sigma}_{P}\right\|_{\Theta} \text { and }\left\|\dot{\sigma}_{\Psi, P} \wedge \ddot{\sigma}_{\Psi, P}\right\|=\left\|\dot{\sigma}_{P} \wedge \ddot{\sigma}_{P}\right\|_{\Theta} \tag{7.a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Any two norms on a finite dimensional real vector space are equivalent. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}\|v\| \leq\|v\|_{\Theta} \leq C_{2}\|v\| \tag{7.b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The desired result now follows from Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2, Equation (7.a), and Equation (7.b).

## 8. The proof of Theorem 1.7

We will assume that $\Psi$ is the standard basis for $\mathcal{S}$ as the methods discussed in Section 7 suffice to derive the general result from this specific example. We shall deal with $[0, \infty)$ as the situation for $(-\infty, 0]$ is similar. The proof that $r_{+}(P)>0$ implies $\sigma_{P}$ is a proper embedding of $[0, \infty)$ into $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with infinite length is unchanged by any questions of multiplicity since $e^{s t}$ or $\left\{e^{a t} \cos (b t), e^{a t} \sin (b t)\right\}$ are still among the solutions of $P$ for suitably chosen $s$ or $(a, b)$. We adopt the notation of Equation (1.c) to define the functions $\phi_{s, \ell}=t^{\ell} e^{s t}$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and we adopt the notation of Equation (1.d) to define the functions $\phi_{\mu, \ell}=t^{\ell} e^{a t} \cos (b t)$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{\mu, \ell}=t^{\ell} e^{a t} \sin (b t)$ for $\mu=a+b \sqrt{-1}$. We divide our discussion of $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ into several cases:

Case I: Suppose that $s_{1}>a_{1}$ and that $s_{1}$ is a real root of order $\nu$. If $\nu=1$, the proof of Theorem 1.2 extends to show $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ is finite; the multiplicity of the other roots plays no role as the exponential decay $e^{-\epsilon t}$ swamps any powers of $t$. We suppose therefore that the multiplicity $\nu\left(s_{1}\right)>1$. We will show that there exists $t_{0}$ so that:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\dot{\sigma}_{P}\right\|^{2} & \geq C_{1} t^{2 \nu-2} e^{2 s_{1} t} \text { for } t \geq t_{0}  \tag{8.a}\\
\left\|\dot{\sigma}_{P} \wedge \ddot{\sigma}_{P}\right\| & \leq C_{2} t^{2 \nu-4} e^{2 s_{1} t} \quad \text { for } t \geq t_{0} \tag{8.b}
\end{align*}
$$

It will then follow that

$$
\frac{\left\|\dot{\sigma}_{P} \wedge \ddot{\sigma}_{P}\right\|}{\left\|\dot{\sigma}_{P}\right\|^{2}} \leq C_{3} t^{-2} \text { for } t \geq t_{0}
$$

Since this is integrable on $[0, \infty)$, we may conclude $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ is finite as desired.
We establish Equation (8.a) by noting that we have the following estimate:

$$
\left\|\dot{\sigma}_{P}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\dot{\phi}_{i}\right|^{2} \geq\left|\dot{\phi}_{s_{1}, \nu-1}\right|^{2}=\left\{s_{1} t^{\nu-1}+(\nu-1) t^{\nu-2}\right\}^{2} e^{2 s_{1} t}
$$

$$
\geq s_{1}^{2} t^{2(\nu-1)} e^{2 s_{1} t} \text { for } t \text { sufficiently large. }
$$

When dealing with $[0, \infty)$, we may take $t_{0}=1$. However, when dealing with $(-\infty, 0]$, we must take $t_{0} \ll 0$ to ensure that the term $s_{1} t^{\nu-1}$ dominates the term $(\nu-1) t^{\nu-2}$ since these terms might have opposite signs and cancellation could occur.

We may compute that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\dot{\sigma}_{P} \wedge \ddot{\sigma}_{P}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{i<j}\left(\dot{\phi}_{i} \ddot{\phi}_{j}-\dot{\phi}_{j} \ddot{\phi}_{i}\right)^{2} \tag{8.c}
\end{equation*}
$$

The assumption $s_{1}>a_{1}$ shows that the maximal term in this sum occurs when $\phi_{i}=\phi_{s_{1}, \nu-1}$ and $\phi_{j}=\phi_{s_{1}, \nu-2}$ and thus

$$
\left\|\dot{\sigma}_{P} \wedge \ddot{\sigma}_{P}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\left\{\dot{\phi}_{s_{1}, \nu-1} \ddot{\phi}_{s_{1}, \nu-2}-\dot{\phi}_{s_{1}, \nu-2} \ddot{\phi}_{s_{1}, \nu-1}\right\}^{2} \text { for } t \geq t_{0}
$$

We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\phi}_{s_{1}, \nu-1}=\left(s_{1} t^{\nu-1}+(\nu-1) t^{\nu-2}\right) e^{s_{1} t} \\
& \ddot{\phi}_{s_{1}, \nu-1}=\left(s_{1}^{2} t^{\nu-1}+2 s_{1}(\nu-1) t^{\nu-2}+(\nu-1)(\nu-2) t^{\nu-3}\right) e^{s_{1} t} \\
& \dot{\phi}_{s_{1}, \nu-2}=\left(s_{1} t^{\nu-2}+(\nu-2) t^{\nu-3}\right) e^{s_{1} t} \\
& \ddot{\phi}_{s_{1}, \nu-2}=\left(s_{1}^{2} t^{\nu-2}+2 s_{1}(\nu-2) t^{\nu-3}+(\nu-2)(\nu-3) t^{\nu-4}\right) e^{s_{1} t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\phi}_{s_{1}, \nu-1} & \ddot{\phi}_{s_{1}, \nu-2}-\dot{\phi}_{s_{1}, \nu-2} \ddot{\phi}_{s_{1}, \nu-1} \\
= & \left\{\left(s_{1} t^{\nu-1}+(\nu-1) t^{\nu-2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(s_{1}^{2} t^{\nu-2}+2 s_{1}(\nu-2) t^{\nu-3}+(\nu-2)(\nu-3) t^{\nu-3}\right)\right\} e^{2 s_{1} t} \\
- & \left\{\left(s_{1} t^{\nu-2}+(\nu-2) t^{\nu-3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(s_{1}^{2} t^{\nu-1}+2 s_{1}(\nu-1) t^{\nu-2}+(\nu-1)(\nu-2) t^{\nu-3}\right)\right\} e^{2 s_{1} t}
\end{aligned}
$$

The leading terms cancel:

$$
\left\{\left(s_{1} t^{\nu-1} s_{1}^{2} t^{\nu-2}\right)-\left(s_{1} t^{\nu-2} s_{1}^{2} t^{\nu-1}\right)\right\} e^{2 s_{1} t}=0 .
$$

The remaining terms are $O\left(t^{2 \nu-4} e^{2 s_{1} t}\right)$ as desired; Equation (8.b) now follows. This shows $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ is finite if $s_{1}>a_{1}$.
Case II: Suppose $a_{1}>s_{1}$. Choose the complex root $\mu_{1}=a_{1}+b_{1} \sqrt{-1}$ to have maximal multiplicity $\nu$ among all the complex roots $\mu \in \mathcal{R}$ with $\Re(\mu)=a_{1}$. The dominant term in Equation (8.c) occurs when $\phi_{i}=\phi_{\mu_{1}, \nu-1}$ and $\phi_{j}=\tilde{\phi}_{\mu_{1}, \nu-1}$. Differentiating powers of $t$ lowers the order in $t$ and give rise to lower order terms. Thus we may ignore these derivatives and use the computations performed in Section 3 to see:

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
C_{1} t^{2 \nu-2} e^{2 a_{1} t} & \leq\left\|\dot{\sigma}_{P}\right\|^{2} \leq C_{2} t^{2 \nu-2} e^{2 a_{1} t} & \text { for } t \geq t_{0} \\
\left(\dot{\phi}_{i} \ddot{\phi}_{j}-\dot{\phi}_{j} \ddot{\phi}_{i}\right)^{2} \geq C_{3} t^{4(\nu-1)} e^{4 a_{1} t} & \text { for } t \geq t_{0}
\end{array}
$$

We may now conclude that $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]=\infty$.
Case III: The difficulty comes when $a_{1}=s_{1}$. If $\mu_{1}$ is a complex root of multiplicity at least as great as the multiplicity of $s_{1}$, the $\left\{\phi_{\mu_{1}, \nu-1}, \tilde{\phi}_{\mu_{1}, \nu-1}\right\}$ terms dominate the computation and the argument given above in Case II implies $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ is infinite. On the other hand, if all the complex roots with $\Re(\mu)=s_{1}$ have multiplicity less than the multiplicity of $s_{1}$, then the $\phi_{s_{1}, \nu-1}$ terms dominate the computation and the argument given above in Case I shows that $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]$ is finite.

We conclude this section with an example where the multiplicity plays a crucial role and where our previous results are not applicable.

Example 8.1 Let $P(\phi)=\phi^{(n)}$ for $n \geq 2$. Then $\mathcal{R}=\{0\}$ and 0 is a root of multiplicity $n$. We have $\mathcal{S}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{\phi_{1}:=1, \phi_{2}:=t, \ldots, \phi_{n}:=t^{n-1}\right\}$. Since $t \in \mathcal{S}, \sigma_{P}$ is a proper map of infinite length on $[0, \infty)$ and on $(-\infty, 0]$. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\dot{\sigma}_{P}\right|^{2} \geq C_{1} t^{2 n-2}, \text { and } \\
& \sum_{i<j}\left(\ddot{\phi}_{i} \dot{\phi}_{j}-\ddot{\phi}_{j} \dot{\phi}_{i}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad=\sum_{i<j}((i-1)(i-2)(j-1)-(j-1)(j-2)(i-1))^{2} t^{2(i+j-3)} \\
& \quad \leq C_{2} t^{2(2 n-4)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently $|\kappa| \leq C_{3}\left(t^{2 n-4} / t^{2 n-2}\right)$ for $|t| \geq 1$. This is integrable so $\kappa_{+}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]<\infty$ and $\kappa_{-}\left[\sigma_{P}\right]<\infty$.
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