

Norm computation and analytic continuation of holomorphic discrete series

Ryosuke Nakahama

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo

1 Introduction: Holomorphic discrete series of $SU(1, 1)$

Let $D := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < 1\}$. Then $\tilde{G} := \widetilde{SU}(1, 1)$ (universal covering group) acts on $\mathcal{O}(D)$ by

$$\tau_\lambda \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \right) f(w) := (cw + d)^{-\lambda} f\left(\frac{aw + b}{cw + d}\right)$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. This action preserves the sesquilinear form

$$\langle f, h \rangle_\lambda := \frac{\lambda - 1}{\pi} \int_D f(w) \overline{h(w)} (1 - |w|^2)^{\lambda-2} dw,$$

that is, $\langle \tau_\lambda(g)f, \tau_\lambda(g)h \rangle_\lambda = \langle f, h \rangle_\lambda$ holds for any $g \in \tilde{G}$. When $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 1$, this form converges for any polynomial f, h , and therefore τ_λ defines a unitary representation of $\tilde{G}$ when $\lambda > 1$. This is called the holomorphic discrete series representation.

On the other hand, if $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq 1$, this integral diverges for any non-zero function f . However, when $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 1$ and $f = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m w^m$, we can compute as

$$\|f\|_\lambda^2 = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{m!}{(\lambda)_m} |a_m|^2 \quad \text{where} \quad (\lambda)_m := \lambda(\lambda+1) \cdots (\lambda+m-1),$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda-1}{\pi} \int_D w^m \bar{w}^n (1 - |w|^2)^{\lambda-2} dw \\ &= \frac{\lambda-1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} r^{m+n} e^{i\theta(m-n)} (1 - r^2)^{\lambda-2} r d\theta dr \\ &\stackrel{r^2=s}{=} (\lambda-1) \delta_{mn} \int_0^1 s^m (1-s)^{\lambda-2} ds \\ &= \delta_{mn} (\lambda-1) B(m+1, \lambda-1) = \delta_{mn} \frac{\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(\lambda)}{\Gamma(m+\lambda)} = \delta_{mn} \frac{m!}{(\lambda)_m}. \end{aligned}$$

This expression is available even when $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq 1$, and positive definite for $\lambda > 0$. Therefore τ_λ defines a unitary representation of $\tilde{G}$ when $\lambda > 0$.

This example shows that if once the norm is explicitly computed, we can treat the analytic continuation of the holomorphic discrete series representation.

2 Holomorphic discrete series of general Hermitian Lie group

Let G be a Hermitian simple Lie group, that is, its maximal compact subgroup K has a non-discrete center. We assume that G has a complexification $G^{\mathbb{C}}$. We denote their Lie algebras by $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{k}$, $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Then we can take $z \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k})$ (the center of $\mathfrak{k}$) such that the eigenvalues of $ad(z)$ are $+\sqrt{-1}$, 0 , $-\sqrt{-1}$. We denote the corresponding eigenspace decomposition by

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{p}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{k}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{p}^-.$$

We denote $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{p}^+$, $r = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} G$. Then there exists a domain $D \subset \mathfrak{p}^+$ such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G/K & \longrightarrow & G^{\mathbb{C}}/K^{\mathbb{C}}P^- \\ \downarrow \wr & & \uparrow \exp \\ D & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{p}^+ \end{array}$$

Let (τ, V) be a holomorphic representation of $K^{\mathbb{C}}$, and let χ be the character of $\tilde{K}^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\chi(\exp(-2t\sqrt{-1}z)) = e^{rt}$. Then we have the following isomorphism

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G/K, \tilde{G} \times_{\tilde{K}} (V \otimes \chi^{-\lambda})) \simeq \mathcal{O}(D, V)$$

where $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G/K, \tilde{G} \times_{\tilde{K}} (V \otimes \chi^{-\lambda}))$ is the space of holomorphic sections, and $\mathcal{O}(D, V)$ is the space of V -valued holomorphic functions on D . $\tilde{G}$ acts on $\mathcal{O}(D, V)$ by the form

$$\tau_{\lambda}(g)f(w) = \chi(\mu(g^{-1}, w))^{\lambda} \tau(\mu(g^{-1}, w))^{-1} f(g^{-1}w)$$

for $g \in G$, $w \in D$, where $\mu : \tilde{G} \times D \rightarrow \tilde{K}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a continuous map satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(gh, w) &= \mu(g, hw)\mu(h, w) & (g, h \in \tilde{G}, w \in D), \\ \mu(k, w) &= k & (k \in \tilde{K}, w \in D). \end{aligned}$$

This action preserves the following norm:

$$\|f\|_{\lambda, \tau}^2 := \frac{c_{\lambda}}{\pi^n} \int_D (\tau(B(w)^{-1})f(w), f(w))_{\tau} \chi(B(w))^{\lambda-p} dw \quad (f \in \mathcal{O}(D, V))$$

where p is an integer determined from $\mathfrak{g}$, and $B : D \rightarrow \tilde{K}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a continuous map satisfying

$$\mu(g, w)B(w)\mu(g, w)^* = B(gw) \quad (g \in \tilde{G}, w \in D)$$

where $*$ is the inverse of the Cartan involution of $\tilde{K}^{\mathbb{C}}$. This norm can be zero for any holomorphic function f , but if λ is sufficiently large, this does not occur.

Example 2.1. Let $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_r \\ -I_r & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $J' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_r \\ I_r & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and set

$$G = \{g \in GL(2r, \mathbb{C}) : gJ^t g = J, gJ' = J' \bar{g}\} \simeq Sp(r, \mathbb{R}),$$

Then we have

$$D = \{w \in \text{Sym}(r, \mathbb{C}) : I - ww^* \text{ is positive definite.}\},$$

and $\tilde{G}$ acts on $\mathcal{O}(D, V)$ by

$$\tau_\lambda \left(\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \right) f(w) := \det(Cw + D)^{-\lambda} \tau({}^t(Cw + D)) f((Aw + B)(Cw + D)^{-1}).$$

This preserves

$$\|f\|_{\lambda, \tau}^2 := \frac{c_\lambda}{\pi^n} \int_D (\tau((I - ww^*)^{-1})f(w), f(w))_\tau \det(I - ww^*)^{\lambda - (r+1)} dw.$$

We define another norm on $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{p}^+, V)$.

$$\|f\|_{F, \tau}^2 := \frac{1}{\pi^n} \int_{\mathfrak{p}^+} |f(w)|_\tau^2 e^{-|w|^2} dw \quad (f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{p}^+, V)),$$

where $|w|$ is a suitable K -invariant norm on $\mathfrak{p}^+$.

Let $W \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{p}^+, V)$ be an irreducible subspace under the K -action

$$(\hat{\tau}(k)f)(w) := \tau(k)f(k^{-1}w) \quad (k \in K^\mathbb{C}, f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{p}^+, V), w \in \mathfrak{p}^+).$$

Then since $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda, \tau}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{F, \tau}$ are both K -invariant, the ratio of two norms are constant on W . The goal of this talk is to calculate this ratio under some conditions.

Remark 2.2 (Known results). *For several settings, this is already done by*

- *B. Ørsted (1980) for $G = SU(r, r)$, scalar type.*
- *J. Faraut and A. Korányi (1990) for G any Hermitian Lie group, scalar type.*
- *B. Ørsted and G. Zhang (1994, 1995) for $G = Sp(r, \mathbb{R})$, $V = (\mathbb{C}^r)^\vee$, $G = SU(r, r)$, $V = \mathbb{C} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}^r$, $G = SO^*(4r)$, $V = (\mathbb{C}^{2r})^\vee$.*
- *S. Hwang, Y. Liu and G. Zhang (2004) for $G = SU(n, 1)$, $V = \bigwedge^p(\mathbb{C}^n)^\vee \boxtimes \mathbb{C}$, $\bigwedge^q \mathbb{C}^n \boxtimes \mathbb{C}$.*

3 Main result

We assume that G is of tube type. Then there exists an $e \in \mathfrak{p}^+$ such that

$$-[e, \vartheta e] \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}), \quad -[e, [\vartheta e, e]] = 2e,$$

where ϑ is the Cartan involution of $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$. Using this, we define

$${}^cG := \text{Int}(e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}(e - \vartheta e)})G, \quad L := {}^cG \cap K^\mathbb{C}, \quad K_L := L \cap K.$$

We take a maximal abelian subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{k}$. We write the root system by

$$\Delta(\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{h}^\mathbb{C}) = \Delta_{\mathfrak{p}^+} \cup \Delta_{\mathfrak{k}^\mathbb{C}} \cup \Delta_{\mathfrak{p}^-} \subset (\mathfrak{h}^\mathbb{C})^\vee$$

in the usual fashion. We define the positive system $\Delta^+ \subset \Delta(\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{h}^\mathbb{C})$ such that $\Delta^+ \supset \Delta_{\mathfrak{p}^+}$. Then there exist roots $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r\} \subset \Delta_{\mathfrak{p}^+}$ such that, for a irreducible unitary representation $V_{-\mu}$ of K with lowest weight $-\mu \in (\mathfrak{h}^\mathbb{C})^\vee$, $V_{-\mu}$ has a K_L -invariant vector (K_L -spherical) if and only if $-\mu$ is of the form

$$-\mu = -(m_1\gamma_1 + \dots + m_r\gamma_r), \quad m_j \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_r.$$

Let $\mathfrak{a}_l := \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{l}$. Then $(\mathfrak{a}_l)^\vee$ is spanned by $\{\gamma_1|_{\mathfrak{a}_l}, \dots, \gamma_r|_{\mathfrak{a}_l}\}$.

For a representation V of $K^\mathbb{C}$, we denote by $\bar{V}$ the complex conjugate representation of V with respect to the real form L . For $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbb{C}^r$ and $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_r) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^r$, we write

$$(\mathbf{s})_{\mathbf{m}} := \prod_{j=1}^r \left(s_j - \frac{d}{2}(j-1) \right)_{m_j}$$

where d is the integer satisfying $n = r + \frac{d}{2}r(r-1)$. Now we state the main theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (τ, V) be an irreducible finite dimensional complex representation of $K^\mathbb{C}$ with restricted lowest weight $-\frac{k_1}{2}\gamma_1 - \dots - \frac{k_r}{2}\gamma_r|_{\mathfrak{a}_l}$. We assume $\tau|_{K_L}$ still remains irreducible. Let $W \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{p}^+, V)$ be a K -irreducible subspace. If all the K_L -spherical irreducible subspaces in $W \otimes \bar{V}$ have the same lowest weight $-n_1\gamma_1 - \dots - n_r\gamma_r$, then for any $f \in W$,*

$$\frac{\|f\|_{\lambda, \tau}^2}{\|f\|_{F, \tau}^2} = \frac{(\lambda)_{\mathbf{k}}}{(\lambda)_{\mathbf{n}}} = \frac{1}{(\lambda + \mathbf{k})_{\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{k}}}$$

holds under the suitable choice of c_λ .

Example 3.2. *Let $G = Sp(r, \mathbb{R})$. Then we have $K = U(r)$, $L = GL(r, \mathbb{R})$, $K_L = O(r)$, and $\mathfrak{a}_l = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{h}$. We identify $(\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{h})^\vee \simeq \mathbb{R}^r$ such that $-(m_1, \dots, m_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r$ is a lowest weight of a representation of K if and only if $m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_r$, $m_j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have $\gamma_j = 2\mathbf{e}_j$.*

We set $V := V_{-(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_k, 0, \dots, 0)} = \bigwedge^k (\mathbb{C}^r)^\vee$. Then this remains irreducible when restricted to K_L , and $\bar{V} \simeq V$ holds. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{p}^+, V) &\simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_r \geq 0 \\ m_j \in \mathbb{Z}}} V_{-2\mathbf{m}} \otimes V_{-(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_k, 0, \dots, 0)} \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_r \geq 0 \\ m_j \in \mathbb{Z}}} \bigoplus_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} V_{-(2\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{e}_{i_1 \dots i_k})} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{e}_{i_1 \dots i_k}$ is the vector whose i_j -component is 1 and 0 otherwise, and $V_{-\nu} = \{0\}$ if ν is not dominant. $V_{-(2\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{e}_{i_1 \dots i_k})} \otimes \bar{V}$ is decomposed as

$$V_{-(2\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{e}_{i_1 \dots i_k})} \otimes \bar{V} \simeq \bigoplus_{j_1 < \dots < j_k} V_{-(2\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{e}_{i_1 \dots i_k} + \mathbf{e}_{j_1 \dots j_k})},$$

but since $V_{-\nu}$ is K_L -spherical if and only if $-\nu \in (2\mathbb{Z})^r$, the only K_L -spherical subspace in $V_{-(2\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{e}_{i_1 \dots i_k})} \otimes \bar{V}$ is $V_{-2(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{e}_{i_1 \dots i_k})}$. Therefore, for $f \in V_{-(2\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{e}_{i_1 \dots i_k})}$, we have

$$\frac{\|f\|_{\lambda, \tau_{\mathbf{e}_{12 \dots k}}}^2}{\|f\|_{F, \tau_{\mathbf{e}_{12 \dots k}}}^2} = \frac{(\lambda)_{\mathbf{e}_{12 \dots k}}}{(\lambda)_{\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{e}_{i_1 \dots i_k}}} = \frac{1}{(\lambda + \mathbf{e}_{12 \dots k})_{\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{e}_{i_1 \dots i_k} - \mathbf{e}_{12 \dots k}}}.$$

Thus the norm

$$\|f\|_{\lambda, \tau_{\mathbf{e}_{12 \dots k}}}^2 = \sum_{\substack{m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_r \geq 0 \\ m_j \in \mathbb{Z}}} \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \frac{(\lambda)_{\mathbf{e}_{12 \dots k}}}{(\lambda)_{\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{e}_{i_1 \dots i_k}}} \|f_{-(2\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{e}_{i_1 \dots i_k})}\|_{F, \tau_{\mathbf{e}_{12 \dots k}}}^2$$

is continued meromorphically, and for $0 \leq k \leq r-1$ it becomes a unitary representation if and only if

$$\lambda \in \left\{ \frac{k}{2}, \frac{k+1}{2}, \dots, \frac{r-1}{2} \right\} \cup \left(\frac{r-1}{2}, \infty \right),$$

and when $\lambda = \frac{l}{2}$ ($l = k, \dots, r-1$) the corresponding representation space is

$$\mathcal{H}_\lambda(D, V) = \sum_{\substack{m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_l \geq 0 \\ m_{l+1} = \dots = m_r = 0 \\ m_j \in \mathbb{Z}}}^{\oplus} \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq l} V_{-(2\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{e}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k})}.$$

Remark 3.3. Our result can be applied for

- $G = Sp(r, \mathbb{R})$, $V = \bigwedge^k(\mathbb{C}^r)^\vee$.
- $G = SO^*(4r)$, $V = S^k(\mathbb{C}^{2r})^\vee$, $S^k(\mathbb{C}^{2r})$.
- $G = SU(r, r)$, $V = W^\vee \boxtimes \mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{C} \boxtimes W$ (W : any irreducible representation of $U(r)$).
- $G = Spin_0(2, 2s)$, $V = \mathbb{C} \boxtimes V_{-(k, \dots, k, \pm k)}$ ($k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$).
- $G = Spin_0(2, 2s+1)$, $V = \mathbb{C} \boxtimes V_{-(\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2})}$ (spin representation).

4 Proof for $G = Sp(r, \mathbb{R})$ case

In this section we prove the theorem for $G = Sp(r, \mathbb{R})$ case. In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} K &= U(r), \quad L = GL(r, \mathbb{R}), \quad K_L = O(r), \quad \mathfrak{p}^+ = \text{Sym}(r, \mathbb{C}), \\ D &= \{w \in \text{Sym}(r, \mathbb{C}) : I - ww^* \text{ is positive definite}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let (τ, V) be an irreducible unitary representation of K , and let $W \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{p}^+, V)$ be a K -irreducible subspace under the action

$$(\hat{\tau}(k)f)(w) := \tau(k)f(k^{-1}wk^{-1}) \quad (k \in K^\mathbb{C}, f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{p}^+, V), w \in \mathfrak{p}^+).$$

For $f \in W$ we compute the ratio

$$\frac{\|f\|_{\lambda, \tau}^2}{\|f\|_{F, \tau}^2} = \frac{\frac{c_\lambda}{\pi^n} \int_D (\tau((I - ww^*)^{-1})f(w), f(w))_\tau \det(I - ww^*)^{\lambda - (r+1)} dw}{\frac{1}{\pi^n} \int_{\mathfrak{p}^+} |f(w)|_\tau^2 e^{-\text{tr}(ww^*)} dw}$$

under the condition

- V has the lowest weight $-\mathbf{k} = (-k_1, \dots, -k_r)$.
- $V|_{K_L} = V|_{O(r)}$ still remains irreducible.
- All the $K_L = O(r)$ -spherical irreducible subspaces in $W \otimes \bar{V} \simeq W \otimes V$ have the same lowest weight $-2\mathbf{n} = (-2n_1, \dots, -2n_r)$.

We set $\|f\|_{\lambda,\tau}^2/\|f\|_{F,\tau}^2 =: R_{W,\lambda}$, and compute this ratio.

As a preparation, we set

$${}^tB := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ b_{r1} & b_{r2} & \cdots & b_{rr} \end{pmatrix} \in GL(r, \mathbb{R}) : b_{jj} > 0, j = 1, \dots, r \right\},$$

$$\Omega := \{x \in \text{Sym}(r, \mathbb{R}) : \text{positive definite}\}$$

Then we have a bijection ${}^tB \rightarrow \Omega$, $b \mapsto b^t b$. Also, for $x \in \Omega$ and $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbb{C}^r$, we set

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{s}}(x) := \Delta_1(x)^{s_1-s_2} \Delta_2(x)^{s_2-s_3} \cdots \Delta_{r-1}(x)^{s_{r-1}-s_r} \Delta_r(x)^{s_r}$$

where $\Delta_k(x) := \det((x_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq k})$. For example, for $b = (b_{ij}) \in {}^tB$ we have $\Delta_{\mathbf{s}}(b^t b) = b_{11}^{2s_1} b_{22}^{2s_2} \cdots b_{rr}^{2s_r}$. Also we set

$$\Gamma_{\Omega}(\mathbf{s}) := \int_{\Omega} e^{-\text{tr}(x)} \Delta_{\mathbf{s}}(x) \det(x)^{-\frac{r+1}{2}} dy.$$

This integral converges if $\text{Re } s_j > \frac{1}{2}(j-1)$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\Omega}(\mathbf{s}) &= 2^{\frac{n+r}{2}} \int_{{}^tB} e^{-\text{tr}(b^t b)} \Delta_{\mathbf{s}}(b^t b) \det(b^t b)^{-\frac{r+1}{2}} b_{11}^r b_{22}^{r-1} \cdots b_{rr} db \\ &= 2^{\frac{n+r}{2}} \int_{{}^tB} e^{-\sum_{i \geq j} b_{ij}^2 b_{11}^{2s_1} b_{22}^{2s_2} \cdots b_{rr}^{2s_r}} (b_{11} b_{22} \cdots b_{rr})^{-(r+1)} b_{11}^r b_{22}^{r-1} \cdots b_{rr} db \\ &= 2^{\frac{n+r}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^r \int_0^{\infty} e^{-b_{jj}^2 b_{jj}^{2s_j-j}} db_{jj} \prod_{i \geq j} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-b_{ij}^2} db_{ij} \\ &= (2\pi)^{\frac{n-r}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma\left(s_j - \frac{j-1}{2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore we have

$$\frac{\Gamma_{\Omega}(\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{m})}{\Gamma_{\Omega}(\mathbf{s})} = \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{\Gamma\left(s_j + m_j - \frac{j-1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(s_j - \frac{j-1}{2}\right)} = \prod_{j=1}^r \left(s_j - \frac{j-1}{2}\right)_{m_j} = (\mathbf{s})_{\mathbf{m}}.$$

Now we start the proof. Let $K_W(z, w) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{p}^+ \times \overline{\mathfrak{p}^+}, \text{End}(V))$ be the reproducing kernel of W with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{F,\tau}$. Then the ratio $R_{W,\lambda}$ is computed as

$$R_{W,\lambda} = \frac{c_{\lambda} \int_D \text{Tr}_V(\tau((I - ww^*)^{-1}) K_W(w, w)) \det(I - ww^*)^{\lambda-(r+1)} dw}{\int_{\mathfrak{p}^+} \text{Tr}_V(K_W(w, w)) e^{-\text{tr}(ww^*)} dw}.$$

To proceed the computation, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *For any integrable function f on $\mathfrak{p}^+$, we have*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{p}^+} f(w) dw = c \int_{\Omega} \int_K f(kx^{\frac{1}{2}} t k) dk dx$$

where c is a constant.

Proof. By the integral formulas

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathfrak{p}^+} f(w)dw &= c' \int_K \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty f(ka^t k) \prod_{i < j} |a_i^2 - a_j^2| \prod_{j=1}^r a_j da_1 \cdots da_r dk, \\ \int_\Omega f(x)dx &= c'' \int_{K_L} \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty f(k't^t k') \prod_{i < j} |t_i - t_j| dt_1 \cdots dt_r dk'\end{aligned}$$

where $a = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_r)$, $t = \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_r)$, we can deduce the lemma by putting $a_j^2 = t_j$. $\square$

By this lemma, this is equal to

$$R_{W,\lambda} = \frac{c_\lambda \int_{\Omega \cap (I-\Omega)} \int_K \text{Tr}_V \left(\tau((I - kxk^*)^{-1}) K_W(kx^{\frac{1}{2}} t k, kx^{\frac{1}{2}} t k) \right) \det(I - x)^{\lambda-(r+1)} dk dx}{\int_\Omega \int_K \text{Tr}_V \left(K_W(kx^{\frac{1}{2}} t k, kx^{\frac{1}{2}} t k) \right) e^{-\text{tr}(x)} dk dx}.$$

Since the reproducing kernel satisfies

$$K_W(kz^t k, k^{*-1} w \bar{k}^{-1}) = \tau(k) K_W(z, w) \tau(k^{-1}) \quad (z, w \in \mathfrak{p}^+, k \in K^\mathbb{C}),$$

we have, for $k \in K$ and $x \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned}K_W(kx^{\frac{1}{2}} t k, kx^{\frac{1}{2}} t k) &= \tau(k) K_W(x^{-\frac{1}{4}} x x^{-\frac{1}{4}}, x^{\frac{1}{4}} I x^{\frac{1}{4}}) \tau(k^{-1}) \\ &= \tau(k) \tau(x^{-\frac{1}{4}}) K_W(x, e) \tau(x^{\frac{1}{4}}) \tau(k^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tr}_V \left(K_W(kx^{\frac{1}{2}} t k, kx^{\frac{1}{2}} t k) \right) &= \text{Tr}_V(K_W(x, I)), \\ \text{Tr}_V \left(\tau((I - kxk^*)^{-1}) K_W(kx^{\frac{1}{2}} t k, kx^{\frac{1}{2}} t k) \right) &= \text{Tr}_V \left(\tau((I - x)^{-1}) K_W(x, I) \right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$R_{W,\lambda} = \frac{c_\lambda \int_{\Omega \cap (I-\Omega)} \text{Tr}_V \left(\tau((I - x)^{-1}) K_W(x, I) \right) \det(I - x)^{\lambda-(r+1)} dk dx}{\int_\Omega \text{Tr}_V(K_W(x, I)) e^{-\text{tr}(x)} dk dx}.$$

Now we set

$$\begin{aligned}B_{W,\lambda} &:= \int_{\Omega \cap (I-\Omega)} \text{Tr}_V \left(\tau((I - x)^{-1}) K_W(x, I) \right) \det(I - x)^{\lambda-(r+1)} dk dx, \\ \Gamma_W &:= \int_\Omega \text{Tr}_V(K_W(x, I)) e^{-\text{tr}(x)} dk dx\end{aligned}$$

so that $R_{W,\lambda} = c_\lambda B_{W,\lambda} / \Gamma_W$. Now, we regard $K_W(x, I) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{p}^+, \text{End}(V))$ as a function of x . We define the action $\tilde{\tau}$ of $K^\mathbb{C}$ on $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{p}^+, \text{End}(V))$ by

$$(\tilde{\tau}(k)F)(x) := \tau(k)F(k^{-1}x^t k^{-1})\tau(t k) \quad (k \in K^\mathbb{C}, F \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{p}^+, \text{End}(V)), x \in \mathfrak{p}^+).$$

Then $K_W(x, I)$ is K_L -invariant under $\tilde{\tau}$. Now we use the following isomorphism

$$(\tilde{\tau}, \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{p}^+, \text{End}(V))) \simeq (\hat{\tau} \otimes \bar{\tau}, \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{p}^+, V) \otimes \bar{V}),$$

where $(\bar{\tau}, \bar{V})$ is the complex conjugate of (τ, V) as a representation of L . In this case $L = GL(r, \mathbb{R})$, and we have $(\bar{\tau}, \bar{V}) \simeq (\tau, V)$. Then under this isomorphism $K_W(x, I)$ sits in $W \otimes V$. Since all the K_L -spherical component in this tensor product has the lowest weight $-2\mathbf{n}$, we conclude that $K_W(x, I)$ sits in $V_{-2\mathbf{n}}$, that is, there exists a function $F \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{p}^+, \text{End}(V))$ such that, for any $b = (b_{ij})_{1 \leq j \leq i \leq r} \in {}^tB$,

$$(\tilde{\tau}(b)F)(x) = b_{11}^{-2n_1} b_{22}^{-2n_2} \cdots b_{rr}^{-2n_r} F(x) = \Delta_{\mathbf{n}}(b^{-1}{}^t b^{-1})F(x),$$

and

$$\int_{K_L} (\tilde{\tau}(k)F)(x) dk = K_W(x, I).$$

By using F , we rewrite $B_{W,\lambda}$ and Γ_W .

$$B_{W,\lambda} = \int_{\Omega \cap (I-\Omega)} \text{Tr}_V (\tau((I-x)^{-1})F(x)) \det(I-x)^{\lambda-(r+1)} dx,$$

$$\Gamma_W = \int_{\Omega} \text{Tr}_V (F(x)) e^{-\text{tr}(x)} dx.$$

From now we calculate $B_{W,\lambda}$. For $y \in \Omega$, we set

$$I(y) := \int_{\Omega \cap (y-\Omega)} \text{Tr}_V (\tau((y-x)^{-1})F(x)) \det(y-x)^{\lambda-(r+1)} dx$$

so that $I(e) = B_{W,\lambda}$. We take $b \in {}^tB$ such that $y = b{}^t b$, and set $x = bz{}^t b$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I(y) &= \int_{\Omega \cap (I-\Omega)} \text{Tr}_V (\tau((b(I-z){}^t b)^{-1})F(bz{}^t b)) \det(b(I-z){}^t b)^{\lambda-(r+1)} \det(b{}^t b)^{\frac{r+1}{2}} dz \\ &= \int_{\Omega \cap (I-\Omega)} \text{Tr}_V (\tau((I-z)^{-1})\tau(b^{-1})F(bz{}^t b)\tau({}^t b^{-1})) \det(I-z)^{\lambda-(r+1)} \det(b{}^t b)^{\lambda-\frac{r+1}{2}} dz \\ &= \int_{\Omega \cap (I-\Omega)} \text{Tr}_V (\tau((I-z)^{-1})F(z)) \Delta_{\mathbf{n}}(b{}^t b) \det(I-z)^{\lambda-(r+1)} \det(b{}^t b)^{\lambda-\frac{r+1}{2}} dz \\ &= I(e) \Delta_{\mathbf{n}}(y) \det(y)^{\lambda-\frac{r+1}{2}} = B_{W,\lambda} \Delta_{\lambda+\mathbf{n}}(y) \det(y)^{-\frac{r+1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we calculate $\int_{\Omega} I(y) e^{-\text{tr}(y)} dy$ by two ways.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} I(y) e^{-\text{tr}(y)} dy &= B_{W,\lambda} \int_{\Omega} e^{-\text{tr}(y)} \Delta_{\lambda+\mathbf{n}}(y) \det(y)^{-\frac{r+1}{2}} dy = B_{W,\lambda} \Gamma_{\Omega}(\lambda + \mathbf{n}), \\ \int_{\Omega} I(y) e^{-\text{tr}(y)} dy &= \iint_{x \in \Omega, y-x \in \Omega} e^{-\text{tr}(y)} \text{Tr}_V (\tau((y-x)^{-1})F(x)) \det(y-x)^{\lambda-(r+1)} dx dy \\ &= \iint_{x \in \Omega, z \in \Omega} e^{-\text{tr}(x+z)} \text{Tr}_V (\tau(z^{-1})F(x)) \det(z)^{\lambda-(r+1)} dx dz \\ &= \text{Tr}_V \left(\int_{\Omega} e^{-\text{tr}(x)} F(x) dx \int_{\Omega} e^{-\text{tr}(z)} \tau(z^{-1}) \det(z)^{\lambda-(r+1)} dz \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_{\Omega} e^{-\text{tr}(z)} \tau(z^{-1}) \det(z)^{\lambda-(r+1)} dz$ commutes with K_L -action and $V|_{K_L}$ is irreducible, this is the scalar operator on V . Moreover, for a lowest weight vector $v \in V$ and $z = b{}^t b \in \Omega$ ($b \in {}^tB$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau(z^{-1})v, v)_{\tau} &= (\tau({}^t b^{-1} b^{-1})v, v)_{\tau} = |\tau(b^{-1})v|_{\tau}^2 \\ &= (b_{11}^{k_1} \cdots b_{rr}^{k_r})^2 |v|_{\tau}^2 = \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}(b{}^t b) |v|_{\tau}^2 = \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}(z) |v|_{\tau}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\Omega} e^{-\operatorname{tr}(z)} \tau(z^{-1}) \det(z)^{\lambda-(r+1)} dz v, v \right)_{\tau} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} e^{-\operatorname{tr}(z)} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}(z) \det(z)^{\lambda-(r+1)} dz |v|_{\tau}^2 = \Gamma_{\Omega} \left(\lambda + \mathbf{k} - \frac{r+1}{2} \right) |v|_{\tau}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\int_{\Omega} e^{-\operatorname{tr}(z)} \tau(z^{-1}) \det(z)^{\lambda-(r+1)} dz = \Gamma_{\Omega} \left(\lambda + \mathbf{k} - \frac{r+1}{2} \right) \operatorname{id}_V$, and

$$B_{W,\lambda} = \frac{\Gamma_{\Omega} \left(\lambda + \mathbf{k} - \frac{r+1}{2} \right)}{\Gamma_{\Omega}(\lambda + \mathbf{n})} \operatorname{Tr}_V \left(\int_{\Omega} e^{-\operatorname{tr}(x)} F(x) dx \right) = \frac{\Gamma_{\Omega} \left(\lambda + \mathbf{k} - \frac{r+1}{2} \right)}{\Gamma_{\Omega}(\lambda + \mathbf{n})} \Gamma_W.$$

Thus we have

$$R_{W,\lambda} = c_{\lambda} \frac{\Gamma_{\Omega} \left(\lambda + \mathbf{k} - \frac{r+1}{2} \right)}{\Gamma_{\Omega}(\lambda + \mathbf{n})}.$$

Now we determine $c_{\lambda} := \frac{\Gamma_{\Omega}(\lambda + \mathbf{k})}{\Gamma_{\Omega}(\lambda + \mathbf{k} - \frac{r+1}{2})}$. Then we have

$$R_{W,\lambda} = \frac{\Gamma_{\Omega}(\lambda + \mathbf{k})}{\Gamma_{\Omega}(\lambda + \mathbf{n})} = \frac{\Gamma_{\Omega}(\lambda + \mathbf{k})}{\Gamma_{\Omega}(\lambda)} \frac{\Gamma_{\Omega}(\lambda)}{\Gamma_{\Omega}(\lambda + \mathbf{n})} = \frac{(\lambda)_{\mathbf{k}}}{(\lambda)_{\mathbf{n}}}.$$

References

- [1] J. Faraut and A. Korányi, *Analysis on symmetric cones*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1994.
- [2] S. Hwang, Y. Liu and G. Zhang, *Hilbert spaces of tensor-valued holomorphic functions on the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$* . Pacific J. Math. **214** (2004), no. 2, 303–322.
- [3] B. Ørsted, *Composition series for analytic continuations of holomorphic discrete series representations of $SU(n, n)$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **260** (1980), no. 2, 563–573.
- [4] B. Ørsted and G. Zhang, *Reproducing kernels and composition series for spaces of vector-valued holomorphic functions on tube domains*. J. Funct. Anal. **124** (1994), no. 1, 181–204.
- [5] B. Ørsted and G. Zhang, *Reproducing kernels and composition series for spaces of vector-valued holomorphic functions*. Pacific J. Math. **171** (1995), no. 2, 493–510.