

On the Cowling-Price theorem for $SU(1, 1)$

Mitsuhiko Ebata

Abstract

M. G. Cowling and J. F. Price showed a kind of uncertainty principle on Fourier analysis: If v and w grow very rapidly then the finiteness of $\|vf\|_p$ and $\|w\hat{f}\|_q$ implies that $f = 0$, where \hat{f} denotes the Fourier transform of f . We give an analogue of this theorem for $SU(1, 1)$.

1 Introduction

The Hardy theorem asserts that if a measurable function f on \mathbf{R} satisfies $|f(x)| \leq Ce^{-ax^2}$ and $|\hat{f}(y)| \leq Ce^{-by^2}$ and $ab > \frac{1}{4}$ then $f = 0$ (a.e.). Here we use the Fourier transform defined by $\hat{f}(y) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e^{\sqrt{-1}xy} dx$. M. G. Cowling and J. F. Price [3] generalized the Hardy theorem as follows: Suppose that $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and one of them is finite. If a measurable function f on \mathbf{R} satisfies $\|\exp\{ax^2\}f(x)\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R})} < \infty$ and $\|\exp\{by^2\}\hat{f}(y)\|_{L^q(\mathbf{R})} < \infty$ and $ab \geq 1/4$ then $f = 0$ (a.e.). The case where $p = q = \infty$ and $ab > 1/4$ is covered by the Hardy theorem. S. C. Bagchi and S. K. Ray [1] showed that if $ab > 1/4$, then the Hardy theorem is equivalent to the Cowling-Price theorem.

A. Sitaram and M. Sundari [10] obtained the Hardy theorem in the case of noncompact semisimple Lie groups with one conjugacy class of Cartan subgroups, $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ and Riemannian symmetric spaces of the noncompact type. Recently J. Sengupta [8] and M. Ebata et al. [5] obtained the Hardy theorem for all Lie groups of Harish-Chandra class and all connected semisimple Lie groups with finite center respectively. Also, M. Cowling, A. Sitaram and M. Sundari [4] gave another simple proof of the Hardy theorem for connected real semisimple Lie groups with finite center. On the other hand, S. C. Bagchi and S. K. Ray [1] obtained the Cowling-Price theorem for some Lie groups and M. Eguchi, S. Koizumi and K. Kumahara [6] also obtained the Cowling-Price theorem for motion groups. Further, J. Sengupta [9] obtained the Cowling-Price theorem on Riemannian symmetric spaces of the noncompact type. In this note, we prove the Cowling-Price theorem for $SU(1, 1)$ under the assumption that $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $ab > 1/4$.

2 Notation and preliminaries

If \mathcal{H} is a complex separable Hilbert space, $\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the Banach space comprised of all bounded operators on \mathcal{H} with operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$. For $T \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and

$1 \leq p < \infty$, we indicate its Schatten norm by $\|T\|_p$, that is, $\|T\|_p = (\text{tr}(T^*T)^{p/2})^{1/p}$, T^* being the adjoint operator of T . For a complex separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and a σ -finite measure space (X, μ) , we denote by $L^p(X, \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ the Banach space comprised of all $\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H})$ -valued L^p functions on X . Here the L^p -norm $\|F\|_{L^p(X, \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H}))}$ of $F \in L^p(X, \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ is given by the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\|F\|_{L^p(X, \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H}))} &= \left(\int_X \|F(x)\|_p^p d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p}, \quad (1 \leq p < \infty), \\ \|F\|_{L^\infty(X, \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H}))} &= \text{ess. sup}_{x \in X} \|F(x)\|_\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Here G denotes the matrix group $SU(1, 1)$, that is,

$$G = \left\{ g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} ; |\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 = 1, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C} \right\}.$$

For $\varepsilon = 0, 1$ and $\nu \in \mathbf{R}$, let

$$\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon, \nu} = \{ \varphi \in L^2(K) ; \varphi(k(\pm I)) = (\pm 1)^\varepsilon \varphi(k), k \in K \}.$$

We define the action $\pi_{\varepsilon, \nu}$ on $\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon, \nu}$ by

$$(\pi_{\varepsilon, \nu}(g)\varphi)(k) = e^{(\sqrt{-1}\nu - 1/2)t(g^{-1}k)} \varphi(k_{\theta(g^{-1}k)}).$$

Then $\pi_{\varepsilon, \nu}$ is a unitary representation on $\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon, \nu}$ and is called a principal series representation. Let $I_{\varepsilon, \nu}$ be the standard intertwining operator defined by Knapp and Stein. For each $\varepsilon = 0, 1$, it is satisfied that

$$I_{\varepsilon, \nu} \pi_{\varepsilon, \nu}(g) = \pi_{\varepsilon, -\nu}(g) I_{\varepsilon, \nu}$$

for all $\nu \in \mathbf{R}$ and $g \in G$. We also need another representation. For $\lambda \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, we put the discrete series representation $(\pi_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$.

For $f \in L^1(G)$, its Fourier transform on G is defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{F}^c f(\varepsilon, \nu) = \int_G f(g) \pi_{\varepsilon, \nu}(g) dg,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{F}^d f(\lambda) = \int_G f(g) \pi_\lambda(g) dg.$$

We write $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}^c, \mathcal{F}^d)$. If $f \in C_0^\infty(G)$, then the following inversion formula holds

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} f(g) &= \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^1 \int_0^\infty \text{tr}(\mathcal{F}^c f(\varepsilon, \nu) \pi_{\varepsilon, \nu}(g^{-1})) \mu(\varepsilon, \nu) d\nu \\ &+ \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}} d(\lambda) \{ \text{tr}(\mathcal{F}^d f(\lambda) \pi_\lambda(g^{-1})) \}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu(0, \nu) = \pi\nu \tanh \pi\nu$, $\mu(1, \nu) = \pi\nu \coth \pi\nu$ and $d(\lambda) = |\lambda|/(4\pi)$. For convenience we write $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^p(\mathfrak{a}^*) = L_\varepsilon^p(\mathfrak{a}^*, \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon, \nu}), \mu(\varepsilon, \nu)d\nu)$ and $L_\varepsilon^p(\mathfrak{a}^*) = L_\varepsilon^p(\mathfrak{a}^*, \mu(\varepsilon, \nu)d\nu)$.

If a function f satisfies $\|e^{a\sigma(g)^2}f(g)\|_{L^p(G)} \leq C$ for $a > 0$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we call that f is very rapidly decreasing. Such functions belong to $L^1(G)$. The Schwartz space on G is defined by

$$\mathcal{C}(G) = \{\phi \in C^\infty(G) ; \|\phi\|_{r,D,E} < \infty \text{ for all } r \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}, D, E \in U(\mathfrak{g}_c)\}$$

$$\text{where } \|\phi\|_{r,D,E} = \sup_{g \in G} |(1 + \sigma(g))^r \Xi(g)^{-1} \phi(D; g; E)|.$$

As is well known, the system of seminorms $\|\cdot\|_{r,D,E}$ makes $\mathcal{C}(G)$ into a Fréchet space.

Let $\mathcal{C}_c(\hat{G})$ be the set of operator valued functions $F : \{0, 1\} \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \oplus_{\varepsilon=0}^1 \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon, \nu})$ such that

- (i) $F(\varepsilon, \nu) \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon, \nu})$ for each $\varepsilon = 0, 1$, $\nu \in \mathbf{R}$
- (ii) $\nu \mapsto F(\varepsilon, \nu)$ is smooth on \mathbf{R}
- (iii) $I_{\varepsilon, \nu} F(\varepsilon, \nu) = F(\varepsilon, -\nu) I_{\varepsilon, \nu}$ for each $\varepsilon = 0, 1$, $\nu \in \mathbf{R}$
- (iv) $\sup_{\substack{\varepsilon=0,1, \nu \in \mathbf{R} \\ \ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbf{Z}(\varepsilon)}} \left| \left(\frac{d}{d\nu} \right)^r \langle F(\varepsilon, \nu) e_{\ell_2}, e_{\ell_1} \rangle \right| (1 + |\nu|)^{r_1} (1 + |\ell_1|)^{r_2} (1 + |\ell_2|)^{r_3} < \infty$
for all $r_1, r_2, r_3, r \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

The system of seminorms given by (iv) makes $\mathcal{C}_c(\hat{G})$ into a Fréchet space.

Let $\mathcal{C}_d(\hat{G})$ be the set of all $F : \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \oplus_{\lambda \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ such that

- (i) $F(\lambda) \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$
- (ii) $\sup_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\} \\ \ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbf{Z}_\lambda}} |(F(\lambda) \psi_{\ell_2}, \psi_{\ell_1})_\lambda| (1 + |\lambda|)^{r_1} (1 + |\ell_1|)^{r_2} (1 + |\ell_2|)^{r_3} < \infty$
for all $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

The system of seminorms given by (ii) makes $\mathcal{C}_d(\hat{G})$ into a Fréchet space. Put $\mathcal{C}(\hat{G}) = \mathcal{C}_c(\hat{G}) \oplus \mathcal{C}_d(\hat{G})$. Then $\mathcal{C}(\hat{G})$ is a Fréchet space in an obvious manner.

We put $\mathcal{S}^c = (\mathcal{F}^c)^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{S}^d = (\mathcal{F}^d)^{-1}$. Then they are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}^c F(g) &= \int_0^\infty \text{tr}(F(\varepsilon, \nu) \pi_{\varepsilon, \nu}(g^{-1})) \mu(\varepsilon, \nu) d\nu, \text{ for } F \in \mathcal{C}_c(\hat{G}), \\ \mathcal{S}^d F(g) &= \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}} d(\lambda) \text{tr}(F(\lambda) \pi_\lambda(g^{-1})), \text{ for } F \in \mathcal{C}_d(\hat{G}). \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 2.1 (cf. [7]) *The Fourier transform \mathcal{F} is a topological isomorphism from $\mathcal{C}(G)$ onto $\mathcal{C}(\hat{G})$. And its inverse transform is given by (2.3).*

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_c(G) &= \{\phi \in \mathcal{C}(G) ; \mathcal{F}^d \phi(\lambda) = 0, \lambda \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}, \\ \mathcal{C}_d(G) &= \{\phi \in \mathcal{C}(G) ; \mathcal{F}^c \phi(\varepsilon, \nu) = 0, \varepsilon = 0, 1, \nu \in \mathbf{R}\}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathcal{C}_{c,mn}(G)$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_{d,mn}(G)$) denote the subset of $\mathcal{C}_c(G)$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_d(G)$) consisting of the (m,n) -spherical functions.

Let $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$. If $m - n \in 2\mathbf{Z} + 1$, we set $\mathcal{C}_{c,mn}(\hat{G}) = \emptyset$. If $m - n \in 2\mathbf{Z}$, we choose ε so that $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}(\varepsilon)$ and let $\mathcal{C}_{c,mn}(\hat{G})$ be the set of C^∞ functions $F : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that

- (i) $F(-\nu) = c_n(\nu)^{-1} c_m(\nu) F(\nu)$ for each $\nu \in \mathbf{R}$,
- (ii) $\sup_{\nu \in \mathbf{R}} \left| (1 + |\nu|)^r \left(\frac{d}{d\nu} \right)^s F(\nu) \right| < \infty$ for all $r, s \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

The system of seminorms given by (ii) makes $\mathcal{C}_{c,mn}(\hat{G})$ into a Fréchet space.

Let $\mathcal{C}_{d,mn}(\hat{G})$ be the set of all functions $F : \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$F(\lambda) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \lambda \notin L(m, n).$$

We equip $\mathcal{C}_{d,mn}(\hat{G})$ with the topology induced by the system of seminorms $\|F\|_\ell = \sup_{\lambda \in L(m,n)} |F(\lambda)| (1 + |\lambda|)^\ell$ for $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then $\mathcal{C}_{d,mn}(\hat{G})$ becomes a Fréchet space. It is also known that $\mathcal{C}(G) \subseteq L^2(G)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{c,mn}(\hat{G}) \subseteq L_\varepsilon^p(\mathfrak{a}^*)$ for all $p \in [1, \infty]$.

For $f \in L^1(G)$, we define its (m,n) -spherical transforms $\mathcal{F}_{mn}^c f$ and $\mathcal{F}_{mn}^d f$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}_{mn}^c f)(\varepsilon, \nu) &= \int_G f(g) \Phi_{mn}^{\varepsilon, \nu}(g) dg, \\ (\mathcal{F}_{mn}^d f)(\lambda) &= \int_G f(g) \Psi_{mn}^\lambda(g) dg. \end{aligned}$$

For $\phi \in L_\varepsilon^1(\mathfrak{a}^*)$ and $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}(\varepsilon)$, we set

$$(\mathcal{S}_{mn}^c \phi)(g) = \int_0^\infty \phi(\nu) \Phi_{nm}^{\varepsilon, \nu}(g^{-1}) \mu(\varepsilon, \nu) d\nu.$$

For an arbitrary function $\phi : \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, we put

$$(\mathcal{S}_{mn}^d \phi)(g) = \sum_{\lambda \in L(m,n)} d(\lambda) \phi(\lambda) \Psi_{nm}^\lambda(g^{-1}).$$

PROPOSITION 2.2 (cf. [7]) *The (m,n) -spherical transform \mathcal{F}_{mn}^c (resp. \mathcal{F}_{mn}^d) is a topological isomorphism of $\mathcal{C}_{c,mn}(G)$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_{d,mn}(G)$) onto $\mathcal{C}_{c,mn}(\hat{G})$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_{d,mn}(\hat{G})$). And inverse transform of \mathcal{F}_{mn}^c (resp. \mathcal{F}_{mn}^d) is given by \mathcal{S}_{mn}^c (resp. \mathcal{S}_{mn}^d).*

For $\phi \in \mathcal{C}(G)$, we define the wave packets $\phi_{c,mn} \in \mathcal{C}_{c,mn}(G)$ and $\phi_{d,mn} \in \mathcal{C}_{d,mn}(G)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{c,mn}(g) &= \mathcal{S}_{mn}^c(\mathcal{F}_{mn}^c \phi)(g) = \int_0^\infty (\mathcal{F}_{mn}^c \phi)(\varepsilon, \nu) \Phi_{nm}^{\varepsilon, \nu}(g^{-1}) \mu(\varepsilon, \nu) d\nu, \\ \phi_{d,mn}(g) &= \mathcal{S}_{mn}^d(\mathcal{F}_{mn}^d \phi)(g) = \sum_{\lambda \in L(m,n)} d(\lambda) (\mathcal{F}_{mn}^d \phi)(\lambda) \Psi_{nm}^\lambda(g^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 2.3 (cf. [2]) *For each $\phi \in \mathcal{C}(G)$, there is a unique expansion*

$$\phi = \sum_{m,n \in \mathbf{Z}} \phi_{c,mn} + \sum_{m,n \in \mathbf{Z}} \phi_{d,mn}.$$

The series converges absolutely to ϕ in $\mathcal{C}(G)$, and the mappings $\phi \rightarrow \phi_{c,mn}$ and $\phi \rightarrow \phi_{d,mn}$ are continuous.

For a tempered distribution $T \in \mathcal{C}'(G)$, we define $T_{c,mn}, T_{d,mn} \in \mathcal{C}'(G)$ by

$$T_{c,mn}[\phi] = T[\phi_{c,mn}], \quad T_{d,mn}[\phi] = T[\phi_{d,mn}] \quad (\phi \in \mathcal{C}(G)).$$

Similarly, we also define $T_{mn} \in \mathcal{C}'(G)$ by

$$T_{mn}[\phi] = T[\phi_{mn}],$$

where ϕ_{mn} is (m, n) -spherical function in $\mathcal{C}(G)$.

PROPOSITION 2.4 (cf. [2]) *Retain the above notation.*

$$T = \sum_{m,n \in \mathbf{Z}} T_{c,mn} + \sum_{m,n \in \mathbf{Z}} T_{d,mn},$$

where the series converges absolutely to T in the weak topology of $\mathcal{C}'(G)$.

Here we give some lemmas.

LEMMA 2.5 (cf. [2]) *Let $T \in \mathcal{C}'(G)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{F}^c T_{c,mn} = \mathcal{F}_{mn}^c T, \quad \mathcal{F}^d T_{c,mn} = 0, \quad \mathcal{F}^c T_{d,mn} = 0, \quad \mathcal{F}^d T_{d,mn} = \mathcal{F}_{mn}^d T.$$

LEMMA 2.6 *Let f be very rapidly decreasing and (m, n) -spherical. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} (T_f)_{c,rs} &= \delta_{r,-m} \delta_{s,-n} (T_f)_{c,(-m)(-n)}, \\ (T_f)_{d,rs} &= \delta_{r,-m} \delta_{s,-n} (T_f)_{d,(-m)(-n)}, \end{aligned}$$

for $r, s \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Let $F \in L_\varepsilon^p(\mathfrak{a}^*)$ and fix $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}(\varepsilon)$. If we set

$$T_F[\Phi] = \int_0^\infty F(\nu) \Phi(\nu) \mu(\varepsilon, \nu) d\nu, \quad \text{for } \Phi \in \mathcal{C}_{c,mn}(\hat{G}),$$

then $T_F \in \mathcal{C}'_{c,mn}(\hat{G})$.

For an arbitrary function $F : \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, we put

$$T_F[\Phi] = \sum_{\lambda \in L(m,n)} d(\lambda) F(\lambda) \Phi(\lambda), \quad \text{for } \Phi \in \mathcal{C}_{d,mn}(\hat{G}).$$

Then $T_F \in \mathcal{C}'_{d,mn}(\hat{G})$.

LEMMA 2.7 *Let f be very rapidly decreasing and (m,n) -spherical, and $\mathcal{F}_{mn}^c f \in L_\varepsilon^1(\mathfrak{a}^*)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathcal{F}_{(-m)(-n)}^c T_f &= T_{(\mathcal{S}_{(-n)(-m)}^c \mathcal{F}_{(-n)(-m)}^c \check{f})^\vee}, \\ \mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathcal{F}_{(-m)(-n)}^d T_f &= T_{(\mathcal{F}_{(-n)(-m)}^d \mathcal{F}_{(-n)(-m)}^d \check{f})^\vee},\end{aligned}$$

where $\check{f}(g) = f(g^{-1})$.

PROPOSITION 2.8 *Let f be very rapidly decreasing and (m,n) -spherical, and $\mathcal{F}_{mn}^c f \in L_\varepsilon^1(\mathfrak{a}^*)$. Then*

$$f(g) = (\mathcal{S}_{mn}^c \mathcal{F}_{mn}^c f)(g) + (\mathcal{S}_{mn}^d \mathcal{F}_{mn}^d f)(g) \quad (\text{a.e.}).$$

3 The main theorem

We need the following lemma of Cowling-Price [3].

LEMMA 3.1 *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $A > 0$. Let g be an entire function such that*

$$\begin{aligned}|g(x + \sqrt{-1}y)| &\leq A e^{\pi x^2}, \\ \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |g(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} &\leq A.\end{aligned}$$

Then g is a constant function on \mathbf{C} . Moreover, if $p < \infty$ then $g = 0$.

By using Proposition 2.8, Lemma 3.1 and a similar argument of [6], we obtain the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.2 *Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. Let f be a (m,n) -spherical measurable function on G such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| e^{a\sigma(g)^2} f(g) \right\|_{L^p(G)} &\leq C, \\ \left\| e^{b\nu^2} (\mathcal{F}_{mn}^c f)(\varepsilon, \nu) \right\|_{L_\varepsilon^q(\mathfrak{a}^*)} &\leq C,\end{aligned}$$

for $C > 0$, $a > 0$ and $b > 0$. If $ab > 1/4$ then $f = 0$ (a.e.).

The following main theorem is an easy consequence of Proposition 3.2.

THEOREM 3.3 (the Cowling-Price theorem for $SU(1, 1)$) Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$.
Let f be a measurable function on G such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| e^{a\sigma(g)^2} f(g) \right\|_{L^p(G)} &\leq C, \\ \left\| e^{b\nu^2} \mathcal{F}^c f(\varepsilon, \nu) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^q_\varepsilon(\mathfrak{a}^*)} &\leq C_\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

for $C > 0$, $a > 0$ and $b > 0$. If $ab > 1/4$ then $f = 0$ (a.e.).

References

- [1] S. C. Bagchi and Swagato K. Ray, *Uncertainty Principle like Hardy's theorem on some Lie groups*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., **65** Ser. A (1998), pp. 289-302.
- [2] W. H. Barker *Tempered, Invariant, Positive-Definite Distributions on $SU(1, 1)/\{\pm 1\}$* , Illinois J Math. **28** (1984), pp. 83-102.
- [3] M. G. Cowling and J. F. Price, *Generalizations of Heisenberg's inequality*, Lecture Notes in Math. 992, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983, pp. 443-449.
- [4] M. Cowling, A. Sitaram and M. Sundari, *Hardy's Uncertainty Principle on semisimple groups*, Pacific J. Math. **192**, (2000), pp. 293-296.
- [5] M. Ebata, M. Eguchi, S. Koizumi and K. Kumahara, *A generalization of the Hardy theorem to semisimple Lie groups*, Proc. Japan Acad., **75**, Ser. A (1999), pp. 113-114.
- [6] M. Eguchi, S. Koizumi and K. Kumahara, *An L^p version of the Hardy theorem for motion groups*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (accepted).
- [7] L. Ehrenpreis and F. Mautner *Some properties of the Fourier transform on semisimple Lie groups III*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **90** (1959), pp. 431-484.
- [8] J. Sengupta, *An analogue of Hardy's theorem for semi-simple Lie groups*, (preprint).
- [9] J. Sengupta, *The uncertainty principle on Riemannian symmetric spaces of the noncompact type*, (preprint).
- [10] A. Sitaram and M. Sundari, *An analogue of Hardy's theorem for very rapidly decreasing functions on semi-simple Lie groups*, Pacific J. Math. **177** (1997), pp. 187-200.