

CAPELLI IDENTITIES, DEGENERATE SERIES AND HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS*

TOSHIO OSHIMA[†]

ABSTRACT. The Capelli identity is extended to the case of minors. Related differential operators in the generalized identities give the annihilator of the degenerate principal series for $GL(n)$ and characterizes the image of the Poisson transform of the hyperfunctions on several boundaries of $GL(n)$. Hypergeometric functions are defined through realizations of some special sections of the degenerate principal series and the realizations on boundaries of $GL(n)$ generalize Gelfand's hypergeometric functions. Related Radon transforms for Grassmannians are examined.

1. Generalized Capelli identities and related operators

The classical Capelli identity can be considered as a *quantization* of the formula $\det {}^tAB = \det A \cdot \det B$ in the linear algebra. We *quantize* more general identities

$$(1.1) \quad \det \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^n x_{\nu i} y_{\nu j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}} = \sum_{1 \leq \nu_1 < \dots < \nu_m \leq n} \det (x_{\nu_i j})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}} \cdot \det (y_{\nu_i j})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}}$$

for $2mn$ commutative variables $x_{\nu i}$ and $y_{\nu i}$ with $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq \nu \leq n$ (cf. [Si, II §5 Theorem 9]), where the left hand side of (1.1) is zero if $m > n$, and we get

Theorem 1.1. (Generalized Capelli identities) *Let $I = \{i_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq m}$ and $J = \{j_\ell\}_{1 \leq \ell \leq m}$ be sequences of positive integers. Then*

$$(1.2) \quad \det \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^n x_{\nu i_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\nu j_\ell}} + (m - \ell) \delta_{i_k j_\ell} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq m \\ 1 \leq \ell \leq m}} \\ = \begin{cases} \sum_{1 \leq \nu_1 < \dots < \nu_m \leq n} \det (x_{\nu_p i_q})_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq m \\ 1 \leq q \leq m}} \cdot \det \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\nu_p j_q}} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq m \\ 1 \leq q \leq m}} & \text{if } m \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{if } m > n. \end{cases}$$

Here δ_{ij} is the Kronecker symbol and for a matrix $A = (A_{ij}) = (A_{ij})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}}$ with (i, j) -components A_{ij} in an associative algebra, we define

$$(1.3) \quad \det A = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \text{sgn}(\sigma) A_{\sigma(1)1} A_{\sigma(2)2} \cdots A_{\sigma(m)m},$$

* A talk on a symposium on Representation Theory held at Okinawa, December 12–15, 1995.

[†]Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, Komaba, Tokyo 153, JAPAN

where $\mathfrak{S}_m$ is the m -th symmetric group.

Using the Laplace expansion of the determinant with respect to the first column, the theorem is proved by induction because (1.2) is a formula for arbitrary minors.

Remark 1.2. If $m = n$, Theorem 1.1 is reduced to the Capelli identity

$$\det \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^n x_{\nu i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\nu j}} + (m-j)\delta_{ij} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n} = \det (x_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n} \cdot \det \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{ij}} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n}.$$

Put $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) = \text{Lie}(GL(n, \mathbb{C}))$. Let $U(\mathfrak{g})$ be the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is naturally identified with the n^2 -dimensional vector space of $n \times n$ -matrices whose components are complex numbers. Let E_{kl} denote the element of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ whose (i, j) -component equals $\delta_{ki}\delta_{lj}$. We put $E_i = E_{ii}$ for simplicity. Put $\mathfrak{a} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}E_i$, $\mathfrak{n} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \mathbb{C}E_{ji}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{n}} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \mathbb{C}E_{ij}$.

Definition 1.3. Let $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$ and $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_m\}$ be sequences of positive integers with $1 \leq i_{\mu} \leq n$ and $1 \leq j_{\nu} \leq n$. Then for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ we put

$$(1.4) \quad D_{IJ}(\lambda) = \det \left(E_{i_{\mu} j_{\nu}} + (\lambda + m - \nu)\delta_{i_{\mu} j_{\nu}} \right)_{1 \leq \mu \leq m, 1 \leq \nu \leq m}.$$

We naturally identify E_{ij} with the left invariant vector field on $G = GL(n, \mathbb{C})$. Then E_{ij} is of the form

$$(1.5) \quad E_{ij} = \sum_{\nu=1}^n x_{\nu i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\nu j}}$$

under the coordinates $(x_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n} \in G$ and the left hand side of (1.2) is identified with $D_{IJ}(0)$ and moreover it becomes $D_{IJ}(\lambda)$ under the automorphism of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ satisfying $E_{ij} \mapsto E_{ij} + \lambda\delta_{ij}$. Hence we call $D_{IJ}(\lambda)$ *generalized Capelli operators*.

We quote some fundamental properties of $D_{IJ}(\lambda)$ from [O4, §2]:

Theorem 1.4. i) $D_{\sigma(I)\sigma'(J)}(\lambda) = \text{sgn}(\sigma)\text{sgn}(\sigma')D_{IJ}(\lambda)$ for $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathfrak{S}_m$.

ii) For an ordered set of indices $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$ and for $k \in I$, we define $\iota_k^I(I) = \{i'_1, \dots, i'_m\}$ by

$$i'_p = \begin{cases} \ell & \text{if } i_p = k, \\ i_p & \text{if } i_p \neq k, \end{cases}$$

for $p = 1, \dots, m$. Moreover we put $\iota_k^I(I) = \emptyset$ if $k \notin I$. Then

$$[E_{kt}, D_{IJ}(\lambda)] = D_{\iota_k^I(I)J}(\lambda) - D_{I\iota_k^I(J)}(\lambda).$$

Here we define $D_{IJ}(\lambda) = 0$ if $\#I \neq \#J$.

iii) Suppose $I = \{i_1 < \dots < i_m\}$ and $J = \{j_1 < \dots < j_m\}$. Then

$$D_{IJ}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 \bmod \bar{\mathfrak{n}}U(\mathfrak{g}) + U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n} & \text{if } I \neq J, \\ \prod_{\nu=1}^m (E_{i_{\nu} i_{\nu}} + \lambda + \nu - 1) \bmod U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n} & \text{if } I = J. \end{cases}$$

The following lemma says that the power of matrices of $(D_{IJ})_{IJ}$ also have the same property as in Theorem 1.4 i) and ii).

Lemma 1.5. Fix a positive number m with $1 \leq m \leq n$. Let $F(i)_{IJ}$ be elements of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ which satisfy

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} F(i)_{\sigma(I)\sigma'(J)} &= \text{sgn}(\sigma) \text{sgn}(\sigma') F(i)_{IJ} \quad \text{for } \sigma, \sigma' \in \mathfrak{S}_m, \\ [E_{kt}, F(i)_{IJ}] &= F(i)_{i'_k(I)J} - F(i)_{Ii'_k(J)} \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1$ and 2 . Here I and J are ordered sets of m positive integers being smaller or equal to n and we put $F(i)_{IJ} = 0$ if $\#I \neq \#J$. Then $F(3)_{IJ} = \sum_V F(1)_{IV} F(2)_{VJ}$ also satisfies (1.6) with $i = 3$. Here the sum runs over all sets $V = \{\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_m\}$ of indices with $1 \leq \nu_1 < \nu_2 < \dots < \nu_m \leq n$.

Viewing the above lemma, it is interesting to study the power of $(D_{IJ}(\lambda))_{IJ}$.

Definition 1.6. We define

$$\begin{aligned} F_{ij}^1(\lambda) &= E_{ij} + \lambda \delta_{ij}, \\ \left(F_{ij}^k(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} &= \left(F_{ij}^1(\lambda_1) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} \cdots \left(F_{ij}^1(\lambda_k) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.7. i) $F_{ij}^k(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ is a symmetric function of $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$.

ii) The action of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$:

$$[E_{\mu\nu}, F_{ij}^k] = F_{\mu j}^k \delta_{\nu i} - F_{i\nu}^k \delta_{\mu j}.$$

iii) A formula related to the Bruhat decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{ij}^k(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) &\equiv 0 \pmod{U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}} \quad \text{if } i > j, \\ F_{ij}^k(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) &\equiv 0 \pmod{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}U(\mathfrak{g})} \quad \text{if } i < j, \\ F_{ii}^k(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) &\equiv F_{ii}^{k-1}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-1}) \cdot (E_i + \lambda_k + i - 1) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\nu=1}^{i-1} F_{\nu\nu}^{k-1}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-1}) \pmod{U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}}, \\ F_{ii+1}^k(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) &\equiv F_{ii+1}^{k-1}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-1})(E_{i+1} + \lambda_k + i) \\ &\quad + E_{ii+1} F_{ii}^{k-1}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-1}) \pmod{U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

iv) Let $\Theta = \{0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_L = n\}$ be a sequence of positive integers. Put $n_0 = 0$ and define

$$\mathcal{J}_\mu = U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n} + \sum_{\nu=1}^L \sum_{j=n_{\nu-1}+1}^{n_\nu} U(\mathfrak{g})(E_j + \lambda_\nu + n_{\nu-1})$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& F_{ii}^k(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \\
& \equiv \begin{cases} 0 & \text{mod } \mathcal{J}_\mu \text{ if } i \leq n_k, \\ \prod_{\nu=1}^k (\lambda_\nu - \lambda_{k+1} + n_{\nu-1} - n_\nu) & \text{mod } \mathcal{J}_\mu \text{ if } n_k < i \leq n_{k+1}, \end{cases} \\
& F_{ii+1}^k(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \\
& \equiv \prod_{\nu=1}^{\ell-1} (\lambda_\nu - \lambda_\ell + n_{\nu-1} - n_\nu - n_{\ell-1} + i) \prod_{\nu=\ell+1}^k (\lambda_\nu - \lambda_\ell - n_{\ell-1} + i) E_{ii+1} \\
& \text{mod } \mathcal{J}_\mu \text{ if } n_{\ell-1} < i < n_\ell \text{ and } k \geq \ell.
\end{aligned}$$

2. Degenerate principal series of $GL(n)$ (global version)

Let G be $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ or $U(p, q)$ with $p \geq q$ or $GL(m, \mathbb{H})$ or $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$, where $n = p + q$ and $n = 2m$. Our argument in the sequel is valid for the direct product of these groups but for simplicity we assume this. We will mainly consider the case when $G \neq GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ but the results also hold for $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ with some obvious modifications which we will give if necessary.

Then G is a real form of (a direct product of some copies of) $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ and its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined by the following involutions:

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.1) \quad \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \ni X & \mapsto \begin{cases} \bar{X} & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}), \\ -I_{pq} {}^t \bar{X} I_{pq} & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}(p, q), \\ -J_m \bar{X} J_m & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(m, \mathbb{H}), \end{cases} \\
\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \ni (X, Y) & \mapsto (\bar{Y}, \bar{X}) \quad \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}),
\end{aligned}$$

where I_{pq} is the permutation matrix representing $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & q & q+1 & \dots & p & p+1 & \dots & p+q \\ p+q & \dots & p+1 & q+1 & \dots & p & q & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and J_m is the direct product of m -copies of $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Moreover $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is identified with a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ by the map $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \ni X \mapsto (X, \bar{X})$. Then the element of the quaternion number field $\mathbb{H}$ is identified with a 2×2 complex matrix by

$$(2.2) \quad \mathbb{H} \ni a + bi + cj + dk \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a + bi & c + di \\ -c + di & a - bi \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We choose maximally split Cartan subalgebras $\mathfrak{j}$ by

$$(2.3) \quad \mathfrak{j} = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{R} E_i & \text{if } G = GL(n, \mathbb{R}), \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{R} E_i + \mathbb{R} \sqrt{-1} E_i & \text{if } G = GL(n, \mathbb{C}), \\ \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{R} (E_{2i-1} + E_{2i}) + \mathbb{R} \sqrt{-1} (E_{2i-1} - E_{2i}) & \text{if } G = GL(m, \mathbb{H}), \\ \sum_{i=1}^q \mathbb{R} (E_i - E_{n+1-i}) + \mathbb{R} \sqrt{-1} (E_i + E_{n+1-i}) \\ \quad + \sum_{i=q+1}^{2n-q} \mathbb{R} \sqrt{-1} E_i & \text{if } G = U(p, q) \end{cases}$$

so that they are compatible with our arguments in §1. Then $K = G \cap U(n)$ is a maximal compact subgroup of G and $\theta : G \ni g \mapsto {}^t\bar{g}^{-1}$ or $\mathfrak{g} \ni X \mapsto -{}^t\bar{X}$ is the Cartan involution.

Put $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{P}} = \mathfrak{j} \cap \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{j} \cap \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$. Since the complexification $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{j}_{\mathbb{C}})$ of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{j})$ is $(\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{a})$ (or the direct sum of its two copies), we define a fundamental system as in §1 and a compatible fundamental system $\Phi(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{P}})$ for the restricted root system for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{P}})$. Then the restricted root systems are of type $A_{n-1}^1, A_{n-1}^2, A_{m-1}^4, BC_q^{2(p-q), 2, 1}$ and $C_q^{2, 1}$, respectively, where the superscripts represent the multiplicities of roots in the order according to the length of roots.

Let P_{Ξ} be the compatible parabolic subgroup of G with the Langlands decomposition $P_{\Xi} = M_{\Xi}A_{\Xi}N_{\Xi}$ and the Levi decomposition $P_{\Xi} = L_{\Xi}N_{\Xi}$ with $L_{\Xi} = M_{\Xi}A_{\Xi}$.

The identity component of M_{Ξ} is denoted by M_{Ξ}^0 and we put $\bar{N}_{\Xi} = \theta(N_{\Xi})$. The Lie algebras of $K, M_{\Xi}, A_{\Xi}, N_{\Xi}, \bar{N}_{\Xi}$ and L_{Ξ} are denoted by $\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{m}_{\Xi}, \mathfrak{a}_{\Xi}, \mathfrak{n}_{\Xi}, \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\Xi}$ and $\mathfrak{l}_{\Xi}$, respectively and then $\mathfrak{a}_{\Xi} \subset \mathfrak{j} \subset \mathfrak{a}, \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{j} = \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \text{Lie}(N_{\Xi}) \subset \mathfrak{n}$. Note that $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ should be replaced by $\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$, respectively, if $G = GL(n, \mathbb{C})$.

Fix an irreducible finite dimensional representation (δ, V_{δ}) of M_{Ξ} . For any element ξ of the complex dual $\mathfrak{a}_{\Xi}^*$ of $\mathfrak{a}_{\Xi}$, we have an irreducible representation $(\tau_{\delta, \xi}, V_{\delta})$ of P_{Ξ} by $P \ni man \mapsto \delta(m)a^{\xi} \in V_{\delta}$ for $m \in M_{\Xi}, a \in A_{\Xi}$ and $n \in N_{\Xi}$. Then we define the space of hyperfunction sections of (degenerate) principal series of G :

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{B}(G/P_{\Xi}; \delta, \xi) = \{f \in \mathcal{B}(G) \otimes V_{\delta}; f(gp) = \tau_{\delta, \xi}(p^{-1})f(g) \text{ for } p \in P_{\Xi}\}.$$

Here $\mathcal{B}(G)$ is the space of hyperfunctions on G and they are left G -modules by $(\pi_g f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$ for $g \in G$.

Let $d(\delta, \xi)$ denote the lowest weight of the representation $\tau_{\delta, \xi}$ of L_{Ξ} with respect to the order defined above. Then $d(\delta, \xi) \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and $d(\delta, \xi) = d\delta + \xi$, where $d\delta$ is the lowest weight of δ and we identified $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{a}^*$ by the Killing form of $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Put

$$(2.5) \quad d(\delta, \xi) = \sum_{i=1}^n d(\delta, \xi)_i e_i.$$

Here the right hand side is replaced by $\sum_{i=1}^n d(\delta, \xi)_i (e_i, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^n d(\delta, \xi)'_i (0, e_i)$ if $G = GL(n, \mathbb{C})$.

Theorem 2.1. *For an irreducible finite dimensional representation δ of M_{Ξ} we define the sequence $\Theta = \{n_1 < \dots < n_L = n\}$ of positive integers so that for any i with $1 \leq i \leq n$*

$$(2.6) \quad \text{there exists } k \text{ with } n_{k-1} < i < n_k \\ \text{if and only if } d(\delta, \xi)_i = d(\delta, \xi)_{i+1} \text{ for any } \xi \in \mathfrak{a}_{\Xi}^*,$$

where $n_0 = 0$ as in §1. Then any $u \in \mathcal{B}(G/P_{\Xi}; \delta, \xi)$ satisfies

$$(2.7) \quad D_{IJ}(-\lambda_k - n_{k-1})u = 0 \\ \text{for } \#I = \#J = n - n_k + n_{k-1} + 1 \text{ and } k = 1, \dots, L,$$

$$(2.8) \quad F_{ij}^L(-\lambda_1 - n_0, \dots, -\lambda_L - n_{L-1})u = 0 \text{ for } i, j = 1, \dots, n$$

by putting

$$(2.9) \quad \lambda_\nu = d(\delta, \xi)_{n_\nu} \quad \text{for } \nu = 1, \dots, L.$$

Remark 2.2. We give examples in the case when P_Ξ is maximal and δ is trivial. Then M_Ξ is characterized by a unique positive simple root α_k whose root space is not contained in $\mathfrak{m}_\Xi$. Note that $\dim \alpha_k^* = 2$. Then

$$(2.10) \quad \Theta = \begin{cases} \{k, n\} & \text{if } G = GL(n, \mathbb{R}), \\ \{k, n\} & \text{if } G = GL(n, \mathbb{C}), \\ \{2k, 2m\} & \text{if } G = GL(m, \mathbb{H}), \\ \{q, 2q\} & \text{if } G = U(q, q) \text{ and } k = q, \\ \{k, p+q-k, p+q\} & \text{if } G = U(p, q) \text{ and } 2k < p+q, \end{cases}$$

Moreover $\lambda_2 = 0$ if $G = U(p, q)$ and $2k < p+q$. Note that in the case of the maximal parabolic subgroup defining Shilov boundary of the symmetric space G/K with $G = U(p, q)$, the above number k equals q .

We give another realization of $\mathcal{B}(G/P_\Xi; \delta, \xi)$. Put $Z(\mathfrak{a}_p) = K \cap \exp \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}_p$ whose elements are, in our case, diagonal matrices with diagonal components ± 1 . Then the Cartan subgroup J of G which is the centralizer of $\mathfrak{j}$ equals $Z(\mathfrak{a}_p) \exp \mathfrak{j}$. Note that $J \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^\ell \times \exp \mathfrak{j}$, where

$$(2.11) \quad \ell = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } G = GL(n, \mathbb{R}), \\ 0 & \text{if } G = GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \text{ or } GL(m, \mathbb{H}) \text{ or } U(p, q). \end{cases}$$

Since M_Ξ is a linear group, the representation $\delta = (\tau_\delta, V_\delta)$ of M_Ξ has a unique highest weight vector $v_\delta \in V_\delta$ up to constant multiple. Similarly the dual $\delta^* = (\tau_{\delta^*}, V_{\delta^*})$ of δ has a unique highest weight vector v_δ^* with $\langle v_\delta^*, v_\delta \rangle = 1$. Then the map

$$\mathcal{B}(G/P_\Xi; \delta, \xi) \ni f \mapsto \langle v_\delta^*, f \rangle \in \mathcal{B}(G)$$

is an injective G -homomorphism whose image equals

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(G/P_\Xi; \delta, \xi)_n &= \{u \in \mathcal{B}(G); Xu = 0 \text{ for } X \in \mathfrak{n}, \\ Hu &= \langle d\delta + \xi, H \rangle \text{ for } H \in \mathfrak{a}, \dim U(\mathfrak{m}_\Xi)u < \infty, \\ u(gz) &= \epsilon_\delta(z)^{-1}u(g) \text{ for } z \in Z(\mathfrak{a}_p)\} \end{aligned}$$

and its inverse is given by $\mathcal{B}(G/P_\Xi; \delta, \xi)_n \ni \phi \mapsto \phi \otimes v_\delta$. Here $\epsilon_\delta : Z(\mathfrak{a}_p) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ is defined so that $\tau_\delta(z)v_\delta = \epsilon_\delta(z)v_\delta$ and the last condition given by ϵ_δ is necessary only when M_Ξ is not connected, which means $G = GL(n, \mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 2.4. Fix a function f in $\mathcal{B}(G/P_\Xi; \delta, \xi)_n$. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_L) \in \mathbb{C}^L$ and let $\Theta = \{n_1 < \dots < n_L = n\}$ be a sequence of positive integers. Assume

$$(2.13) \quad \lambda_k = d(\delta, \xi)_i \quad \text{if } n_{k-1} < i \leq n_k,$$

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} (\lambda_i + n_i) - (\lambda_j + n_{j-1}) &\notin \{1, \dots, \max\{n_i - n_{i-1}, n_j - n_{j-1}\} - 1\} \\ &\text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq L. \end{aligned}$$

If f satisfies (2.7) or (2.8), then

$$(2.15) \quad E_{ii+1}f = 0 \quad \text{for } n_{k-1} < i < n_k \text{ and } k = 1, \dots, L.$$

3. Representations on symmetric spaces

In this section we review on representations realized on symmetric spaces of non-compact type and their characterization by differential equations. We consider a general real connected semisimple Lie group G . The notation used here is in general restricted only in this section. The statements in this section are known results or at least a reformulation or an easy consequence of known facts (cf. [H3], [K-], [Ko], [KR], [O1], [Sn1] etc.) and this section can be read without referring to other sections.

Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of G modulo the center of G , θ be the corresponding Cartan involution and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ be the corresponding Cartan decomposition of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G . Fix a maximal abelian subspace $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $\mathfrak{p}$. Let $\Sigma(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ be the set of the roots defined by the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and fix a positive system $\Sigma(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}})^+$. We denote its Weyl group by $W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and the fundamental system by $\Phi(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}})$, and the half of the sum of the positive roots counting their multiplicities is denoted by ρ . Let $G = KAN$ be the Iwasawa decomposition of G corresponding to $\Sigma(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}})^+$. Then $P = MAN$ is a minimal parabolic subgroup of G . Here M is the centralizer of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in K . We denote by $\mathfrak{k}$, $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ the Lie algebras of K , M and N , respectively.

Let $U(\mathfrak{g})$ be the universal enveloping algebra of the complexification $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, which we identify with the algebra of left invariant differential operators on G . In general, for a subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, we denote by $U(\mathfrak{l})$ the universal enveloping algebra of the complexification $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $\mathfrak{l}$. Let $S(\mathfrak{g})$ be the symmetric algebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then the map sym of symmetrization of $S(\mathfrak{g})$ to $U(\mathfrak{g})$ defines a K -linear bijection. By the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ we identify the space $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})$ of polynomial functions on the complexification $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $\mathfrak{p}$ with the symmetric algebra of $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p})^K$ be the space of all K -invariant polynomials on $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ be the space of all K -harmonic polynomials on $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then we have the following K -linear bijection

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p})^K \otimes U(\mathfrak{k}) &\cong U(\mathfrak{g}) \\ h \otimes p \otimes k &\mapsto \text{sym}(h) \otimes \text{sym}(p) \otimes k \end{aligned}$$

because of the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ and the K -linear bijection $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p})^K \ni h \otimes p \mapsto hp \in \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p})$ studied by [KR].

We denote by $\mathcal{A}(G)$ and $\mathcal{B}(G)$ the space of real analytic functions and that of hyperfunctions on G , respectively. Then they are left G -modules by $(\pi_g f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$ for $g \in G$ and the functions f in the spaces. We write $\mathcal{A}(G)_K$ for the space of all the K -finite elements of $\mathcal{A}(G)$.

By the decomposition

$$(3.2) \quad U(\mathfrak{g}) = nU(\mathfrak{n} + \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \oplus U(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \oplus U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}$$

coming from the Iwasawa decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}} + \mathfrak{n}$, we define $D_{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \in U(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for $D \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ so that $D - D_{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \in nU(\mathfrak{n} + \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}) + U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}$ and put $\gamma(D) = e^{-\rho} \circ D_{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \circ e^{\rho}$. Here e^{ρ} is the function on A defined by $e^{\rho}(a) = a^{\rho}$.

Note that the kernel of the restriction of γ to the space of all the K -invariants $U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ equals $U(\mathfrak{g})^K \cap U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}$ and the restriction defines the Harish-Chandra isomorphism

$$(3.3) \quad \bar{\gamma} : \mathcal{D}(G/K) \simeq U(\mathfrak{g})^K / (U(\mathfrak{g})^K \cap U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}})^W$$

onto the space $U(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}})^W$ of all the $W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ -invariants in $U(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Here $\mathbb{D}(G/K)$ is the ring of invariant differential operators on G/K . Note also that $\text{sym}(O(\mathfrak{p})^K)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{D}(G/K)$ through γ and $\bar{\gamma}$ as K -modules.

Identifying $U(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ with the space of polynomial functions on the complex dual $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we put

$$(3.4) \quad \gamma_{\lambda}(D) = \gamma(D)(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}$$

for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ and $D \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. Now we define

$$(3.5) \quad J_{\lambda} = U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k} + \sum_{p \in O(\mathfrak{p})^K} U(\mathfrak{g})(\text{sym}(p) - \gamma_{\lambda}(\text{sym}(p)))$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{A}(G/K; \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}) = \{u \in \mathcal{A}(G); Du = 0 \text{ for } D \in J_{\lambda}\}$$

and put $\mathcal{A}(G/K; \mathcal{M}_{\lambda})_K = \mathcal{A}(G)_K \cap \mathcal{A}(G/K; \mathcal{M}_{\lambda})$. Here $\mathcal{A}(G/K; \mathcal{M}_{\lambda})$ is naturally a subspace of the space $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ of real analytic functions on G/K because the function in $\mathcal{A}(G/K; \mathcal{M}_{\lambda})$ is right K -invariant. Now we can state our basic theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Fix $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ and define a bilinear form

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{A}(G/K; \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (h, u) &\mapsto \langle h, u \rangle = (\text{sym}(h)u)(e) \end{aligned}$$

and for a subspace V of $\mathcal{A}(G/K; \mathcal{M}_{\lambda})$, put

$$(3.8) \quad H(V) = \{h \in \mathcal{H}; \langle h, u \rangle = 0 \text{ for } u \in V\}.$$

- i) The bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is K -invariant and non-degenerate.
- ii) If V is a subspace of $\mathcal{A}(G/K; \mathcal{M}_{\lambda})_K$, then

$$V = \{u \in \mathcal{A}(G/K; \mathcal{M}_{\lambda})_K; \langle h, u \rangle = 0 \text{ for } h \in H(V)\}.$$

- iii) There are natural bijections between the following sets of modules.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{V}(\lambda) &= \{V \subset \mathcal{A}(G/K; \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}); V \text{ is a close subspace of } C^{\infty}(G) \text{ and } G\text{-invariant}\}, \\ \mathfrak{V}(\lambda)_K &= \{V_K \subset \mathcal{A}(G/K; \mathcal{M}_{\lambda})_K; V_K \text{ is a } \mathfrak{g}\text{-invariant subspace}\}, \\ \mathfrak{J}(\lambda) &= \{J \supset J_{\lambda}; J \text{ is a left ideal of } U(\mathfrak{g})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the bijections are given by

$$(3.9) \quad \mathfrak{V}(\lambda) \ni V \mapsto V \cap \mathcal{A}(G)_K \in \mathfrak{V}(\lambda)_K,$$

$$(3.10) \quad \mathfrak{V}(\lambda)_K \ni V_K \mapsto J_{\lambda} + \sum_{p \in H(V_K)} U(\mathfrak{g})\text{sym}(p) \in \mathfrak{J}(\lambda),$$

$$(3.11) \quad \mathfrak{J}(\lambda) \ni J \mapsto \{u \in \mathcal{A}(G); Du = 0 \text{ for } D \in J\} \in \mathfrak{V}(\lambda).$$

The G -module

$$(3.12) \quad \mathcal{B}(G/P; \mathcal{L}_\lambda) = \{f \in \mathcal{B}(G); f(gman) = a^{\lambda-\rho} f(g) \quad \text{for } (g, m, a, n) \in G \times M \times A \times N\}$$

is the space of hyperfunction sections of spherical principal series of G parametrized by $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$. Put $\mathcal{A}(G/P; \mathcal{L}_\lambda) = \mathcal{B}(G/P; \mathcal{L}_\lambda) \cap \mathcal{A}(G)$. Define the K -fixed element $K \times A \times N \ni (k, a, n) \mapsto 1_\lambda(kan) = a^{\lambda-\rho}$ of $\mathcal{A}(G/P; \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$ and put $P_\lambda(g) = 1_{-\lambda}(g^{-1})$. By the G -invariant bilinear form

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(G/P; \mathcal{L}_\lambda) \times \mathcal{A}(G/P; \mathcal{L}_{-\lambda}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (\phi, f) &\mapsto \langle \phi, f \rangle_\lambda = \int_K \phi(k) f(k) dk \end{aligned}$$

with the normalized Haar measure dk on K , we have the Poisson transform

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_\lambda : \mathcal{B}(G/P; \mathcal{L}_\lambda) &\rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G) \\ \phi &\mapsto \mathcal{P}_\lambda \phi(g) = \langle \pi_{g^{-1}} \phi, 1_{-\lambda} \rangle_\lambda = \int_K \phi(gk) dk \\ &= \int_K \phi(k) P_\lambda(k^{-1}g) dk. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is known that the image of $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$ is contained in $\mathcal{A}(G/K; \mathcal{M}_\lambda)$ because $D\mathcal{P}_\lambda = \gamma_\lambda(D)\mathcal{P}_\lambda$ for $D \in U(\mathfrak{g})^K$.

For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ and $w \in W(\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p})$, let $A(\lambda, w)$ denote the intertwining operator of $\mathcal{B}(G/P; \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$ to $\mathcal{B}(G/P; \mathcal{L}_{w\lambda})$, which meromorphically depends on λ and satisfies $(A(\lambda, w)\phi)(g) = \int_{\bar{N}_w} \phi(g\bar{w}n_w) dn_w$ if ϕ is continuous and moreover if $\text{Re}\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle < 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p})^+$. Here $\bar{w} \in K$ is a representative of w , $\bar{N}_w = \theta(N) \cap \bar{w}^{-1}N\bar{w}$ and dn_w is the Haar measure on $\bar{N}_w$ normalized by $\int_{\bar{N}_w} 1_{-2\rho}(n_w) dn_w = 1$.

For $\alpha \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p})$ and $w \in W(\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p})$, we put

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \Sigma(\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p})_o^+ &= \{\alpha \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p})^+; \frac{\alpha}{2} \notin \Sigma(\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p})\}, \\ \Sigma(w) &= \{\alpha \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p})_o^+; -w\alpha \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p})^+\}, \\ e_\alpha(\lambda) &= \left\{ \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda_\alpha}{4} + \frac{m_\alpha}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda_\alpha}{4} + \frac{m_\alpha}{4} + \frac{m_{2\alpha}}{2}\right) \right\}^{-1}, \\ e(\lambda) &= \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p})_o^+} e_\alpha(\lambda), \quad e_w(\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma(w)} e_\alpha(\lambda), \\ d_\alpha(\lambda) &= \frac{\Gamma(m_\alpha + m_{2\alpha})}{\Gamma(\frac{m_\alpha}{2} + \frac{m_{2\alpha}}{2})} 2^{1 - \frac{\lambda_\alpha}{2} - \frac{m_\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda_\alpha}{2}\right), \\ d(\lambda) &= \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p})_o^+} d_\alpha(\lambda), \quad d_w(\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma(w)} d_\alpha(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where m_α is the multiplicity of the root α and $\lambda_\alpha = 2 \frac{\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}$.

It is known that the regularized intertwining operators

$$(3.16) \quad \tilde{A}(w, \lambda) = d_w(-\lambda) A(w, \lambda)$$

depend holomorphically on $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$. Note that $\tilde{A}(w, \lambda)$ may be zero for some λ .

The following theorem is the main result in [K-].

Theorem 3.2. Fix $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$. Let w be an element of W with the minimal length which satisfies

$$(3.17) \quad \operatorname{Re}\langle w\lambda, \alpha \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p})^+.$$

i) $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$ defines a topological G -isomorphism of $\mathcal{A}(G/P; \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$ onto $\mathcal{A}(G/K; \mathcal{M}_\lambda)$ if and only if $e(\lambda) \neq 0$.

ii) $\operatorname{Im} A(\lambda, w)$ and $\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{P}_\lambda$ are G -isomorphic under the Poisson transform

$$(3.18) \quad \mathcal{P}_{w\lambda} : \mathcal{B}(G/P; \mathcal{L}_{w\lambda}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}(G/K; \mathcal{M}_\lambda).$$

Remark 3.3. i) Since the image of the intertwining operator $A(\lambda, w)$ is always closed (cf. [O4, Lemma 7.3]), Theorem 3.1 says that the image of the Poisson transform $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$ of $\mathcal{B}(G/P; \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$ is always characterized as the space of solutions of a system of differential operators corresponding to suitable elements of $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

ii) Suppose $e(\lambda) \neq 0$. Let $\mathcal{D}'(G)$ and $C^\infty(G)$ denote the space of distributions and that of C^∞ -functions on G , respectively. Then (cf. [OS])

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P}_\lambda(\mathcal{B}(G/P; \mathcal{L}_\lambda) \cap \mathcal{D}'(G)) \\ &= \{u \in \mathcal{A}(G/K; \mathcal{M}_\lambda); \text{there exist } C \text{ and } k \text{ with } |u(g)| \leq C \exp k|g|\}, \\ & \mathcal{P}_\lambda(\mathcal{B}(G/P; \mathcal{L}_\lambda) \cap C^\infty(G)) \\ &= \{u \in \mathcal{A}(G/K; \mathcal{M}_\lambda); \text{there exist } k \text{ such that for any } D \in U(\mathfrak{k}) \\ & \quad \text{we can choose } C_D > 0 \text{ with } |\pi_D u(g)| \leq C_D \exp k|g|\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $U(\mathfrak{k})$ is the universal enveloping algebra of the complexification of $\mathfrak{k}$ and $|g| = \langle H, H \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$ with the Killing form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ if $g \in K \exp HK$ with $H \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}$. Note that $U(\mathfrak{k})$ in the above may be replaced by $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Theorem 3.4. i) The map

$$(3.19) \quad \mathcal{H} \ni h \mapsto \pi_{\operatorname{sym}(h)} 1_\lambda \in \mathcal{A}(G/P; \mathcal{L}_\lambda)_K$$

is K -linear. It is bijective if and only if $e(-\lambda) \neq 0$.

ii)

$$(3.20) \quad \gamma_\lambda(D) = \pi_D(1_{-\lambda})(e) \quad \text{for } D \in U(\mathfrak{g}).$$

iii) Putting

$$(3.21) \quad \mathcal{H}_\lambda = \{h \in \mathcal{H}; \gamma_\lambda(\operatorname{sym}(\operatorname{Ad}(k)h)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } k \in K\}$$

and

$$(3.22) \quad \bar{J}_\lambda = J_\lambda + \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}_\lambda} U(\mathfrak{g}) \operatorname{sym}(h),$$

we have

$$(3.23) \quad \text{Im } \mathcal{P}_\lambda = \{u \in \mathcal{A}(G); Du = 0 \text{ for } D \in \bar{J}_\lambda\}.$$

For a subset Ξ of $\Phi(\mathfrak{a}_p)$, let W_Ξ be a subgroup of $W(\mathfrak{a}_p)$ generated by reflections with respect to the elements of Ξ and put $P_\Xi = PW_\Xi P$. Let $P_\Xi = M_\Xi A_\Xi N_\Xi$ be the Langlands decomposition of P_Ξ with $A_\Xi \subset A$. For an element μ of the complex dual $\mathfrak{a}_{\Xi, \mathbb{C}}^*$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}_\Xi$ of A_Ξ , the space of hyperfunction sections of spherical degenerate series is defined by

$$(3.24) \quad \mathcal{B}(G/P_\Xi; \mathcal{L}_{\Xi, \mu}) = \{f \in \mathcal{B}(G); f(gman) = a^{\rho - \mu} f(g) \\ \text{for } (g, m, a, n) \in G \times M_\Xi \times A_\Xi \times N_\Xi\}.$$

Then as in the case of the minimal parabolic subgroup, we can define Poisson transform

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{\Xi, \mu} : \mathcal{B}(G/P_\Xi; \mathcal{L}_{\Xi, \mu}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G) \\ \phi &\mapsto (\mathcal{P}_{\Xi, \mu} \phi)(g) = \langle \pi_{g^{-1}} \phi, 1_{\Xi, -\mu} \rangle_{\Xi, \mu} \\ &= \int_K \phi(gk) dk = \int_K \phi(k) P_{\Xi, \mu}(k^{-1}g) dk. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\Xi, \mu}$ is the bilinear form of $\mathcal{B}(G/P_\Xi; \mathcal{L}_{\Xi, \mu}) \times \mathcal{A}(G/P_\Xi; \mathcal{L}_{\Xi, -\mu})$ defined on the integral over K , $1_{\Xi, \mu}(kman) = a^{\rho - \mu}$ for $(k, m, a, n) \in K \times M_\Xi \times A_\Xi \times N_\Xi$ and $P_{\Xi, \mu}(g) = 1_{\Xi, \mu}(g^{-1})$.

Now we remark

Lemma 3.5. *We have naturally*

$$(3.26) \quad \mathcal{B}(G/P_\Xi; \mathcal{L}_{\Xi, \mu}) \subset \mathcal{B}(G/P; \mathcal{L}_{\mu + \rho(\Xi)}),$$

$$(3.27) \quad \text{Im } \mathcal{P}_{\Xi, \mu} = \text{Im } \mathcal{P}_{\mu - \rho(\Xi)}.$$

Here we identify $\mathfrak{a}_p$ with its dual by the Killing form and define $\rho_\Xi = \rho|_{\mathfrak{a}_\Xi}$ and $\rho(\Xi) = \rho - \rho_\Xi$.

Corollary 3.6. i) $\mathcal{P}_{\Xi, \mu}$ is injective if $e(\mu + \rho(\Xi)) \neq 0$. In particular, the Poisson transform $\mathcal{P}_{\rho_\Xi} : \mathcal{B}(G/P_\Xi) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(G/K; \mathcal{M}_\rho)$ is injective.

ii) If $e(-\mu + \rho(\Xi))e(\mu + \rho(\Xi)) \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{B}(G/P_\Xi; \mathcal{L}_{\Xi, \mu})$ is irreducible.

Remark 3.7. i) The calculation of $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$ in (3.21) is equivalent to the determination of the kernel of $P^\gamma(\lambda)$ defined by [Ko, §4].

ii) Under the notation in Lemma 3.5

$$(3.28) \quad [\mathcal{H}_{\mu + \rho(\Xi)} : \delta] \geq [\text{Ind}_M^K \mathbf{1} : \delta] - [\text{Ind}_{M_\Xi(K)}^K \mathbf{1} : \delta] \quad \text{for } \delta \in \hat{K}$$

and the equality holds if and only if $\mathcal{P}_{\Xi, \mu}$ is injective.

Many statements in this section can be generalized to line bundles (cf. [Sn1]) or vector bundles over G/K but we will omit here.

4. Poisson transforms¹

In this section we study the realization of degenerate principal series on the Riemannian symmetric space G/K . For simplicity we mention a result here when $G = GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ but a similar result holds in other cases (cf. [OSn]) where $G = GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ and $GL(n, \mathbb{H})$ and also in the case of sections of a homogeneous line bundles over $U(p, q)/U(p) \times U(q)$.

Given a positive integer L and a sequence of positive integers $\Theta = \{n_1, \dots, n_L\}$ with $0 = n_0 < n_1 < \dots < n_L = n$, we define a parabolic subgroup

$$(4.1) \quad P_\Theta = \left\{ p = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & & & 0 \\ * & g_2 & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ * & * & \dots & g_L \end{pmatrix} \in GL(n, \mathbb{R}); g_k \in GL(n_k - n_{k-1}, \mathbb{R}) \right\}.$$

Note that the subgroup $P_{\{1, \dots, n\}} = \{(x_{ij}) \in G; x_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } i < j\}$ is a minimal parabolic subgroup of G , which will be simply denoted by P .

For $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_L) \in \mathbb{C}^L$, we define a G -submodule

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{B}(G/P_\Theta; \mu) = \{f \in \mathcal{B}(G); f(xp) = \tau_{\Theta, \mu}(p^{-1})f(x) \text{ for } p \in P_\Theta\}$$

belonging to degenerate spherical principal series of G , where

$$(4.3) \quad \tau_{\Theta, \mu}(p) = |\det g_1|^{-\mu_1} \dots |\det g_L|^{-\mu_L} \text{ for } p \in P_\Theta$$

is the character of P_Θ under the expression of p in (4.1).

Now we introduce the Poisson kernel

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_\Theta^\mu(x) &= \Phi_{n_1}(x)^{\xi_1} \dots \Phi_{n_L}(x)^{\xi_L}, \\ \Phi_m(x) &= \sum_{1 \leq \nu_1 < \dots < \nu_m \leq n} \left| \det \left(x_{i\nu_j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}} \right|^2, \\ 2\xi_k &= (\mu_k + n_{k-1}) - (\mu_{k+1} + n_{k+1}) \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, L-1, \\ 2\xi_L &= \mu_L + n_{L-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\Phi_\Theta^\mu(p x k) = \tau_{\Theta, -\mu - 2\rho(\Theta)}(p) \Phi_\Theta^\mu(x) \quad \text{for } p \in P_\Theta \text{ and } k \in K$$

and therefore we have a G -homomorphism

$$(4.5) \quad \mathcal{P}_\Theta^\mu : \mathcal{B}(G/P_\Theta; \mu) \ni f \mapsto (\mathcal{P}_\Theta^\mu f)(x) = \int_K f(xk) dk = \int_K f(k) \Phi_\Theta^\mu(k^{-1}x) dk \in \mathcal{B}(G/K).$$

Note that $\mathcal{B}(G/P_\Theta; \mu) \subset \mathcal{B}(G/P; \zeta)$ with $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ defined by $\zeta_p = \mu_q$ for $n_{q-1} < p \leq n_q$. The map $\mathcal{P}_{\{1, \dots, n\}}^\zeta$ was studied in [K-] (cf. §3) and for a generic ζ it is injective and the image is the totality of the real analytic functions u on G/K which satisfy

$$(4.6) \quad D_k u = \chi_\zeta(D_k) u \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Here $\mathcal{D}(G/K) = \mathbb{C}[D_1, \dots, D_n]$ with $\text{ord } D_k = k$.

¹Most parts in this section are taken from [O4, §5].

Theorem 4.1. i) Any $u \in \text{Im } \mathcal{P}_\Theta^\mu$ is real analytic and satisfies

$$(4.7) \quad D_{IJ}(-\mu_k - n_{k-1})u = 0$$

for $\#I = \#J = n - n_k + n_{k-1} + 1$ and $k = 1, \dots, L$

and

$$(4.8) \quad F_{ij}^L(-\mu_1 - n_0, \dots, -\mu_L - n_{L-1})u = (D_k - \chi_\zeta(D_k))u = 0$$

for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and $k = 1, \dots, L - 1$.

ii) If the condition

$$(4.9) \quad (\mu_i + n_i) - (\mu_j + n_{j-1} + 1) \notin \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots\} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq L$$

holds, $\mathcal{P}_\Theta^\mu$ is a topological G -isomorphism onto the subspace of $C^\infty(G/K)$ which is the totality of the solutions of the system of equations (4.7) or equivalently (4.8).

Example 4.2. Suppose $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and $P = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \in G \right\}$. Then the natural action on $\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^1 = (\mathbb{C}^2 - \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^\times \ni \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix}$ is given by the left multiplication. The fixed point group with respect to $i = z_1/z_2$ equals $SO(2)$ and the symmetric space $G/SO(2)$ is identified with the upper half plane $H_+ = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x+yi \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^1; y > 0 \right\}$. Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{y} & \frac{x}{\sqrt{y}} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{y}i + \frac{x}{\sqrt{y}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x + yi \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \in H_+ \subset \mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^1,$$

we have $\Psi_1 = (\sqrt{y})^2 + (\frac{x}{\sqrt{y}})^2 = \frac{x^2+y^2}{y}$. Hence if $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0$, we get the usual Poisson kernel $\Phi_{\{1,2\}}^\mu = \frac{y}{x^2+y^2}$ for H_+ and Theorem 4.1 says the isomorphism of the space of harmonic functions on H_+ onto that of hyperfunctions on the circle $G/P \simeq \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\} \subset \mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^1$.

Example 4.3. Suppose $\Theta = \{n\}$. Then $P_\Theta = G$ and $\mathcal{B}(G/P_\Theta; \mu) = \mathbb{C}|\det x|^\mu$ for generic $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and we have the equality (cf. Theorem 1.4 iii):

$$D_{\{1, \dots, n\}\{1, \dots, n\}}(\lambda)|\det x|^\mu = (\mu + \lambda)(\mu + \lambda + 1) \cdots (\mu + \lambda + n - 1)|\det x|^\mu.$$

If we put $\lambda = 0$ and $\mu = s + 1$, this corresponds to Cayley's formula

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{ij}} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} (\det x)^{s+1} = (s+1)(s+2) \cdots (s+n)(\det x)^s$$

in view of the Capelli identity. Note that this equality defines the b -function of $\det x$ and hence μ is the meromorphic parameter of $|\det x|^\mu$ and its poles are contained in $\{-1, -2, -3, \dots\}$ (cf. [B], [Sm]).

Remark 4.4. i) Theorem 4.1 in the case $\Theta = \{1, n\}$ is given in [O1], where it is conjectured that in general there exists a system of operators D satisfying a suitable condition for $\gamma(D)$ and characterizing the image of the Poisson transform. When $G = GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, the conjecture corresponds to Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 2.1.

ii) If $\text{Re } \mu_j < \text{Re } \mu_{j+1} + 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, L - 1$, then (4.9) is valid.

iii) In [J1] and [J2] some differential equations characterizing $\text{Im } \mathcal{P}_\Theta^0$ are given, which are less explicit than ours.

iv) Let $w_\Theta \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ with $\text{Ad}(w_\Theta)\bar{\mathfrak{n}} \cap \mathfrak{n} = \text{Lie}(P_\Theta) \cap \mathfrak{n}$. As is mentioned in §3, $\text{Im } \mathcal{P}_\Theta^\mu = \text{Im } \mathcal{P}_{\{1, \dots, n\}}^{\zeta'_j}$ with $\zeta'_j = \zeta_{w_\Theta(j)} + w_\Theta(j) - j$ and hence for $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$, it is interesting to study the system of differential equations characterizing $\text{Im } \mathcal{P}_{\{1, \dots, n\}}^\eta$.

5. Hypergeometric functions²

In general, suppose G is a linear reductive Lie group and moreover suppose that we are given three closed subgroups P_Θ , Q_1 and Q_2 of G and their finite dimensional representations (τ_Θ, V_Θ) , (τ_1, V_1) and (τ_2, V_2) , respectively, which satisfy the conditions

(5.1) P_Θ is a parabolic subgroup of G ,

(5.2) the double cosets $Q_j \backslash G/P_\Theta$ have open cosets for $j = 1$ and 2 .

Define the degenerate principal series as in §2:

$$B(G/P_\Theta; \tau_\Theta) = \{\phi(g) \in B(G) \otimes V_\Theta; \phi(gp) = \tau_\Theta(p^{-1})\phi(g) \text{ for } p \in P_\Theta\}.$$

Let $P_\Theta = L_\Theta N_\Theta$ be a Levi decomposition of P_Θ . Fix a Cartan involution θ of G with $\theta(L_\Theta) = L_\Theta$. Then $K = \{g \in G; \theta(g) = g\}$ is a maximal compact subgroup of G . Let V_Θ^* be the dual space of V_Θ and let τ_Θ^* be the representation of P_Θ on V_Θ^* such that

$$(5.3) \quad B(G/P_\Theta; \tau_\Theta) \times \mathcal{A}(G/P_\Theta; \tau_\Theta^*) \ni (\phi, \psi) \mapsto \langle \phi, \psi \rangle_\Theta = \int_K \langle \phi(k), \psi(k) \rangle dk \in \mathbb{C}$$

defines a G -invariant bilinear form. Note that $(\tau_\Theta^*, V_\Theta^*)$ is the tensor product of the contragredient representation of τ_Θ and the character $\det(\text{Ad})$ of P_Θ on $\mathfrak{n}_\Theta = \text{Lie}(N_\Theta)$, and that if $\langle \phi(k), \psi(k) \rangle$ is an integrable functions on K ,

$$(5.4) \quad \langle \phi, \psi \rangle_\Theta = \int_{N_\Theta} \langle \phi(n), \psi(n) \rangle dn$$

with a suitably normalized Haar measure dn on $\bar{N}_\Theta = \theta(N_\Theta)$.

Definition 5.1. For given functions $\phi \in B(G/P_\Theta; \tau_\Theta) \otimes V_1$ and $\psi \in B(G/P_\Theta; \tau_\Theta^*) \otimes V_2$ satisfying

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi(q_1 x) &= \tau_1(q_1)\phi(x) \quad \text{for } q_1 \in Q_1, \\ \psi(q_2 x) &= \tau_2(q_2)\psi(x) \quad \text{for } q_2 \in Q_2, \end{aligned}$$

we call a $V_1 \otimes V_2$ -valued function

$$(5.6) \quad \Phi_{\phi, \psi}(x) = \int_K \langle \phi(xk), \psi(k) \rangle dk$$

on G a *hypergeometric function*.

By the G -invariance of the bilinear form we have

$$(5.7) \quad \Phi_{\phi, \psi}(q_1 x q_2) = \tau_1(q_1)\tau_2(q_2^{-1})\Phi_{\phi, \psi}(x) \quad \text{for } (q_1, q_2) \in Q_1 \times Q_2$$

and for elements D of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C} \otimes \text{Lie}(G)$

$$(5.8) \quad \pi_D(\Phi_{\phi, \psi}) = 0 \quad \text{if } \pi_D(\phi) = 0.$$

Note that the following map defines a G -homomorphism:

$$(5.9) \quad B(G/P_\Theta; \tau_\Theta) \ni f \mapsto (\mathcal{T}_\psi f)(x) = \int_K \langle f(xk), \psi(k) \rangle dk \in B(G/Q_2; \tau_2).$$

²This section is extracted from [O4, §6].

Example 5.2. Suppose P_Θ is a minimal parabolic subgroup. If Q_1 and Q_2 are a maximal compact subgroup, the integral representations of the corresponding hypergeometric functions are Eisenstein integrals. In particular, if τ_1 and τ_2 are trivial representations, we have the integral representations of zonal spherical functions.

Now we will consider the case when $G = GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ and furthermore P_Θ and Q_2 are parabolic subgroups. In particular, we examine the case when they are maximal, namely, $\Theta = \{\ell, n\}$ and $Q_2 = P_{\{k, n\}}$. Suppose $\ell < k < n$ and $n = m\ell$ with a positive integer m satisfying $m > 1$. To assure the existence of the nontrivial intertwining operator (5.9), we assume $\tau_\Theta = \tau_{\mu, 0}$ with $\mu = (k, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^2$. In this case, the intertwining operator $A(\lambda, w)$ in §3 with $w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & \ell & \ell+1 & \ell+2 & \cdots & k & k+1 & \cdots & n \\ k-\ell+1 & k-\ell+2 & \cdots & k & 1 & 2 & \cdots & k-\ell & k+1 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix}$ converges for any continuous function $f \in \mathcal{B}(G/P_\Theta; \tau_\Theta)$. Note that the corresponding kernel function is a measure whose support is the compact double coset in $Q_2 \backslash G/P_\Theta$ and $\bar{N}_w = \{(x_{ij}) \in G; x_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \text{ if } i \geq \ell \text{ or } j \leq \ell \text{ or } j > k\}$.

Lemma 5.3. *The intertwining operator $A(\lambda, w)$ defines a G -homomorphism*

$$(5.10) \quad \mathcal{T}_w : \mathcal{B}(G/P_{\{\ell, n\}}; (k, 0)) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G/P_{\{k, n\}}; (\ell, 0)).$$

$$\phi \mapsto \int_{O(k) \times I_{n-k}} \phi(gk) dk$$

Through the anti-automorphism $G \ni g \mapsto g^{-1} \in G$, $\mathcal{B}(G/P_{\{\ell, n\}}; (k, 0))$ is canonically identified with the space of hyperfunctions ϕ on the ℓn -dimensional manifold

$$(5.11) \quad M(\ell, n) = \left\{ (t_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} t_{11} & \cdots & t_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ t_{\ell 1} & \cdots & t_{\ell n} \end{pmatrix}; t_{ij} \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \text{rank}(t_{ij}) = \ell \right\}$$

which satisfy

$$(5.12) \quad \phi(g(t_{ij})) = |\det g|^{-k} \phi((t_{ij})) \quad \text{for } g \in GL(\ell, \mathbb{R}).$$

Similarly $\mathcal{B}(G/P_{\{k, n\}}; (\ell, 0))$ is canonically identified with the space of hyperfunctions Φ on the kn -dimensional manifold

$$(5.13) \quad M(k, n) = \left\{ (y_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} y_{11} & \cdots & y_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_{k1} & \cdots & y_{kn} \end{pmatrix}; y_{ij} \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \text{rank}(y_{ij}) = k \right\}$$

which satisfy

$$(5.14) \quad \Phi(g(y_{ij})) = |\det g|^{-\ell} \Phi((y_{ij})) \quad \text{for } g \in GL(k, \mathbb{R}).$$

Denoting $GL(\ell, \mathbb{R})_+ = \{g \in GL(\ell, \mathbb{R}); \det g > 0\}$, we choose $Q_1 = GL(\ell, \mathbb{R})_+ \times \cdots \times GL(\ell, \mathbb{R})_+ \subset GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ and define τ_1 by

$$\tau_1(g) = (\det g_1)^{-\alpha_1} \cdots (\det g_m)^{-\alpha_m} \quad \text{for } g = (g_1, \dots, g_m) \in Q_1,$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m$ with the condition

$$(5.15) \quad \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_m + k = 0.$$

Let $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_m) \in \{1, -1\}^m$. Using a function

$$(5.16) \quad |s|_{\epsilon_p}^{\alpha_p} = \begin{cases} |s|^{\alpha_p} & \text{if } \epsilon_p s > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \epsilon_p s \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

on $\mathbb{R}$, we give a function

$$(5.17) \quad \phi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\prod_{p=1}^m \left| \det(t_{ij})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq \ell \\ (p-1)\ell < j \leq p\ell}} \right|_{\epsilon_p}^{\alpha_p} + \prod_{p=1}^m \left| \det(t_{ij})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq \ell \\ (p-1)\ell < j \leq p\ell}} \right|_{-\epsilon_p}^{\alpha_p} \right)$$

in Definition 5.1, which belongs to $\mathcal{B}(G/P_{\{\ell, n\}}; (k, 0))$.

Put $x_{w(i)w(j)} = y_{ij}$ for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then in $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{pmatrix} t_{11} & \dots & t_{1k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ t_{\ell 1} & \dots & t_{\ell k} \\ & 1 & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} w^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} y_{11} & \dots & y_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_{k1} & \dots & y_{kn} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{\nu=1}^k t_{1\nu} x_{\nu 1} & \dots & \sum_{\nu=1}^k t_{1\nu} x_{\nu n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{\nu=1}^k t_{\ell\nu} x_{\nu 1} & \dots & \sum_{\nu=1}^k t_{\ell\nu} x_{\nu n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \end{pmatrix} w^{-1}$$

and we have our hypergeometric function

$$(5.19) \quad \Phi(\alpha, \epsilon; x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\ell(k-\ell)}} \prod_{p=1}^m \left| \det \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^k t_{i\nu} x_{\nu j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq \ell \\ (p-1)\ell < j \leq p\ell}} \right|_{\epsilon_p}^{\alpha_p} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq \ell < j \leq k} dt_{ij}$$

with the convention

$$(5.20) \quad t_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \quad \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq \ell.$$

Theorem 5.4. *The hypergeometric function $\Phi(\alpha, \epsilon; x)$ on $M(k, n) = \{(x_{ij}); x_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n, \text{rank}(x_{ij}) = k\}$ satisfies the following equations.*

i) A right $GL(\ell, \mathbb{R})_+ \times \dots \times GL(\ell, \mathbb{R})_+$ -invariance:

$$\Phi(xg) = \left(\prod_{p=1}^m (\det g_p)^{\alpha_p} \right) \Phi(x) \quad \text{for } g = g_1 \otimes \dots \otimes g_m \text{ with } g_p \in GL(\ell, \mathbb{R})_+.$$

ii) A left $GL(k, \mathbb{R})$ -invariance:

$$\Phi(gx) = |\det g|^{-\ell} \Phi(x) \quad \text{for } g \in GL(k, \mathbb{R}).$$

iii) Generalized Capelli operators:

$$(5.21) \quad \det \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_\mu j_\nu}} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq \mu \leq \ell+1 \\ 1 \leq \nu \leq \ell+1}} \Phi(x) = 0$$

for $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{\ell+1} \leq k$ and $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{\ell+1} \leq n$.

The two invariances in Theorem 5.4 are infinitesimally as follows:

(5.22)

$$\sum_{i=1}^k x_{i,\mu+\ell p} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_{i,\nu+\ell p}} = \alpha_p \delta_{\mu\nu} \Phi \quad \text{for } 1 \leq \mu \leq \ell, 1 \leq \nu \leq \ell, 0 \leq p < m,$$

(5.23)

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^n x_{i\nu} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_{j\nu}} = -\ell \delta_{ij} \Phi \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq k.$$

Remark 5.5. i) Note that $GL(n, \mathbb{R})/P_{\{\ell, n\}} \simeq O(n)/O(\ell) \times O(n - \ell)$. Hence the definition of $\Phi(\alpha, \epsilon; x)$ is an integration of a function on $O(n)/O(\ell) \times O(n - \ell)$ over its submanifold $O(k)/O(\ell) \times O(k - \ell)$, which is a Radon transform on real Grassmannians (cf. [H4, Chap. 1]).

ii) Suppose $\ell = 1$. The integration can be rewritten as

$$(5.24) \quad \Phi(\alpha, \epsilon; x) = C \int_{t_1^2 + \dots + t_k^2 = 1} \prod_{j=1}^n \left| \sum_{p=1}^k t_p x_{pj} \right|_{\epsilon_p}^{\alpha_p} \hat{\omega}$$

with a suitable constant C and

$$(5.25) \quad \hat{\omega} = \sum_{p=1}^k (-1)^{p+1} t_p dt_1 \wedge dt_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dt_{p-1} \wedge dt_{p+1} \wedge \dots \wedge dt_k.$$

This integral representation coincides with the one given in [G] and the corresponding equations in Theorem 5.4 with $\ell = 1$ are same as in [GG]. This hypergeometric function is also studied by [A].

For the analysis of hypergeometric functions in the case when $G = P_{\{\ell, n\}}$ and $Q_2 = P_{\{k, n\}}$, the following theorem is essential.

Theorem 5.6. *The intertwining operator in Lemma 5.3 is the topological G -isomorphism onto the solution space $V_{k,n}^\ell$ of the system (5.21) under our realization using (5.13) and (5.14) if*

$$(5.26) \quad 0 < \ell < k < n \quad \text{and} \quad \ell + k < n.$$

Corollary 5.7. *Let Q be a closed subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ and let (τ, V) be a finite dimensional representation of Q . If (5.26) holds, the integral transformation $\mathcal{R} : \phi \mapsto \int_{SO(k)} \phi(kx) dk$ is a bijection between $S(\ell, n; \tau)$ and $S(k, n; \ell, \tau)$. Here $S(\ell, n; \tau)$ is the space of V -valued hyperfunctions ϕ on $M(\ell, n)$ satisfying (5.12) and*

$$(5.27) \quad \phi(tg) = \tau(g^{-1})\phi(t) \quad \text{for } g \in Q.$$

Moreover $S(k, n; \ell, \tau)$ is the space of V -valued hyperfunctions $\Phi(x)$ on $M(k, n)$ satisfying the equations given in Theorem 5.4 ii) and iii) and

$$(5.28) \quad \Phi(xg) = \tau(g^{-1})\Phi(x) \quad \text{for } g \in Q.$$

In this corollary 'hyperfunctions' can be replaced by 'Schwartz's distributions' or ' C^∞ -functions' or 'real analytic functions', which is clear by our way of the proof.

Lastly we give other examples of Q_1 for the same P_Θ and Q_2 .

Example 5.9. For $A = (A_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ with $n = m\ell$ and for $\mu = 1, \dots, m$ and $\nu = 1, \dots, m$, put

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}); M_{\mu\nu}(A) = 0 \text{ if } \mu \neq \nu - 1\}, \\ u_2 &= \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}); M_{\mu\nu}(A) = 0 \text{ if } \mu > \nu, \\ M_{\nu, \nu+i}(A) &= M_{\nu+1, \nu+i+1}(A) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m-2 \text{ and } \nu = 1, \dots, m-i-1\}, \\ M_{\mu\nu}(A) &= (A_{(\mu-1)\ell+i, (\nu-1)\ell+j})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq \ell \\ 1 \leq j \leq \ell}}. \end{aligned}$$

If Q_1 equals the nilpotent group $\exp u_1$ or $\exp u_2$, condition (5.2) is valid and we can define hypergeometric functions with respect to the character of Q_1 which has $m-1$ continuous parameters. When $\ell = 1$, the hypergeometric functions correspond to those discussed in [GRS] and [KHT].

REFERENCES

- [A] K. Aomoto, *On the structure of integrals of power products of linear functions*, Sc. Papers Coll. Gen Education, Univ. of Tokyo **27** (1977), 49–61.
- [BV] N. Berline and M. Vergne, *Equations du Hua et noyau de Poisson*, Lect. Notes in Math. **880** (1981), 1–51, Springer.
- [B] I. N. Bernstein, *The analytic continuation of generalized functions with respect to a parameter*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **6** (1972), 273–285.
- [C1] A. Capelli, *Über die Zurückführung der Cayley'schen Operation Ω auf gewöhnliche Polar-Operationen*, Math. Ann. **29** (1887), 331–338.
- [C2] ———, *Sur les opérations dans la théorie des formes algébriques*, Math. Ann. **37** (1890), 1–37.
- [F] P. Funk, *Über eine geometrische Anwendung der Abelschen Integralgleichung*, Math. Ann. **77** (1916), 129–135.
- [G] I. M. Gelfand, *General theory of hypergeometric functions*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **33** (1986), 573–577.
- [GG] I. M. Gelfand and S. I. Gelfand, *Generalized hypergeometric equations*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **33** (1986), 643–646.
- [GGR] I. M. Gelfand, M. I. Graev and R. Rosu, *The problem of integral geometry and intertwining operators for a pair of real Grassmannian manifolds*, J. Operator Theory **12** (1984), 339–383.
- [GRS] I. M. Gelfand, V. S. Retakh and V. V. Serganova, *Generalized airy functions, Schubert cells and Jordan groups*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **298** (1988), 17–21.
- [GK] S. G. Gindikin and F. I. Karpelevič, *Plancherel measure of Riemannian symmetric spaces of non-positive curvature*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **1** (1967), 28–32.
- [Go1] F. Gonzalez, *Invariant differential operators and the range of the Radon D-plane transform*, Math. Ann. **287** (1990), 627–635.
- [Go2] ———, *On the Range of the Radon transform of Grassmann manifolds*, preprint, to appear in J. Funct. Anal.
- [Gr1] E. Grinberg, *On the images of Radon transforms*, Duke Math. J. **52** (1985), 939–972.
- [Gr2] ———, *Radon transforms on higher rank Grassmannians*, J. of Diff. Geom. **24** (1986), 53–68.
- [H1] S. Helgason, *Differential operators on homogeneous spaces*, Acta Math. **102** (1959), 239–299.
- [H2] ———, *A duality for symmetric spaces with applications to group representations*, Advances in Math. **5** (1970), 1–154.
- [H3] ———, *A duality for symmetric spaces with applications to group representations, II, Differential equations and Eigenspace representations*, Advances in Math. **22** (1976), 187–219.

- [H4] ———, *Geometric Analysis on Symmetric Spaces*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 39, American Mathematical Society, 1994.
- [Jf] F. John, *Bestimmung einer Funktion aus ihren Integralen über gewisse Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Math. Ann. **109** (1934), 488–520.
- [J1] K. D. Johnson, *Generalized Hua operators and parabolic subgroups, The case of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ and $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$* , Trans. A. M. S. **281** (1984), 417–429.
- [J2] ———, *Generalized Hua operators and parabolic subgroups*, Ann. of Math. **120** (1984), 477–495.
- [Ka] T. Takeuchi, *Range characterization of Radon transforms on S^n and $P^n \mathbb{R}$* , J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **33** (1993), 215–228.
- [K–] M. Kashiwara, A. Kowata, K. Minemura, K. Okamoto, T. Oshima and M. Tanaka, *Eigenfunctions of invariant differential operators on a symmetric space*, Ann. of Math. **107** (1978), 1–39.
- [KO] M. Kashiwara and T. Oshima, *Systems of differential equations with regular singularities and their boundary value problems*, Ann. of Math. **106** (1977), 145–200.
- [KHT] H. Kimura, Y. Haraoka and K. Takano, *The generalized confluent hypergeometric functions*, Proc. Japan Acad. **68A** (1992), 290–295.
- [Kr] A. Korányi, *Hua-type integrals, hypergeometric functions and symmetric polynomials*, International symposium in memory of Hua Loo Keng, vol. II, Analysis, Science Press, Beijing and Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991, pp.169–180.
- [KM] A. Korányi and P. Malliavin, *Poisson formula and compound diffusion associated to an overdetermined elliptic system on the Siegel half plane of rank two*, Acta Math. **134** (1975), 185–209.
- [Ko] B. Kostant, *On the existence and irreducibilities of certain series of representations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1969), 627–642.
- [KR] B. Kostant and S. Rallis, *Orbits and Lie group representations associated to symmetric spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **93** (1971), 753–809.
- [L] M. Lassale, *Les équations de Hua d'un domaine borné symétrique de type tube*, Invent. math. **77** (1984), 129–161.
- [O1] T. Oshima, *Boundary value problems for various boundaries of symmetric spaces*, RIMS Kôkyûroku, Kyoto Univ. **281** (1976), 211–226. (Japanese)
- [O2] ———, *A realization of Riemannian symmetric spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **30** (1978), 117–132.
- [O3] ———, *Multiplicities of representations on homogeneous spaces*, Abstracts from the conference 'Harmonic Analysis on Lie Groups held at Sandbjerg Gods', August 26–30, 1991, edited by N. V. Pedersen, Mathematical Institute, Copenhagen University, 1991.
- [O4] ———, *Generalized Capelli identities and boundary value problems for $GL(n)$* , preprint, 1995, UTMS 95-44, Dept. of Mathematical Sciences, Univ. of Tokyo (to appear in the Proceeding of symposiums on Algebraic Analysis held at Katata and RIMS, Kyoto Univ., June/July 1995, World Scientific).
- [OS] T. Oshima and J. Sekiguchi, *Eigenspaces of invariant differential operators on an affine symmetric space*, Invent. Math. **57** (1980), 1–81.
- [OSn] T. Oshima and N. Shimeno, in preparation.
- [R] J. Radon, *Über die Bestimmung von Funktionen durch ihre Integralwerte längs gewisser Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Ber. Berh. Sächs. Akad. Wiss. Leipzig. Math.-Nat. kl. **69** (1917), 262–277.
- [Si] I. Satake, *Linear Algebra*, Marcel Dekker, 1975.
- [Sm] M. Sato, *The theory of prehomogeneous vector spaces*, notes by T. Shintani, Sûgaku no Ayumi **15-1** (1970), 181–227. (Japanese)
- [Sh] H. Sekiguchi, *The Penrose transform for certain non-compact homogeneous manifolds of $U(n, n)$* , PhD thesis presented to Univ. of Tokyo, 1995.
- [Sn1] N. Shimeno, *Eigenspaces of invariant differential operators on a homogeneous line bundle on a Riemannian symmetric space*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. 1A **37** (1990), 201–234.
- [Sn2] ———, *Boundary value problems for the Shilov boundary of a bounded symmetric domain of tube type*, preprint, to appear in J. Funct. Anal..
- [We] H. Weyl, *The Classical Groups*, 2nd ed., Princeton University Press, 1946.