

ON THE UNITARY REPRESENTATIONS OF $SO(n, m)$. The regular integral case.

Susana A. Salamanca-Riba
New Mexico State University
Las Cruces, NM USA

In this manuscript I want to discuss the problem of classifying the irreducible unitary representations of $SO(m, n)$. At present I can attack this problem only when the infinitesimal character of the representation is sufficiently regular. However, in order to avoid some unpleasant technicalities I shall assume that the group in question is the identity component of $SO(m, n)$ and the infinitesimal character of the representation is regular and integral.

To be more precise, if G is any reductive real Lie group I denote the Lie algebra of G by $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and the complexification of the latter by $\mathfrak{g}$ and use likewise notation for other groups. Let K be the maximal Compact subgroup of G , $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_0$, the Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and $U(\mathfrak{g})$, the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. If $\mathfrak{q}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ which is invariant under the Cartan involution θ , L its Levi factor, that is, its normalizer in G and (λ, V) , a representation of L , Zuckerman [1977], has constructed a class of $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ modules $\mathfrak{R}_q(\lambda)$ of K -finite $\mathfrak{q}$ -intertwining homomorphisms of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ into V . If λ is unitary and under certain conditions, Vogan ([1984]) showed that $\mathfrak{R}_q(\lambda)$ is also unitary. We denote this representation by $A_q(\lambda)$. The result that I want to consider is the following

Theorem 1. Let $G=SO_0(n, m)$ and (π, H) be an irreducible unitary representation of G with regular integral infinitesimal character. then its Harish-Chandra (H-C) module X is isomorphic to a Zuckerman module $A_q(\lambda)$, where λ is one dimensional.

This is a particular case of a conjecture of Vogan [1986], which states that if X is an irreducible unitary H-C module of a real reductive Lie group with integral infinitesimal character, then X is isomorphic to a Zuckerman module $A_q(\lambda)$ (where λ is not necessarily one dimensional). In the sufficiently regular case the conjecture says that λ is one dimensional.

I have already proved this conjecture in the regular integral case, when G is $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$, $SU(p, q)$ and $Sp(n, \mathbb{R})$ [1988] and again, the generalization to the regular case is not too difficult to do and will be part of a future paper. Other people, Enright [1979], Speh [1981], Baldoni Silva-Barbasch [1983], Barbasch [1987], Barbasch-Vogan [1985] and Vogan [1986] among others, have contributed to partial results of this conjecture.

The basic tools for the proof of this theorem are some necessary and sufficient conditions (D. A. VOGAN-G. ZUCKERMAN [1984]) for the lowest K-type (LKT) of the modules $A_q(\lambda)$ -this is a representation of K occurring in X, which minimizes a certain norm defined on $K^\wedge$ - and a necessary condition for any K-type of a unitary representation namely, Parthasarathy's Dirac operator inequality (see A. Borel-N. Wallach [1980] and S.A. Salamanca-Riba [1988])

The proof is by contradiction. We first assume that X is an irreducible H-C module of G equipped with a non-zero Hermitian form and that the LKT of X fails to satisfy the necessary and sufficient conditions previously mentioned. Using this information one tries to show that the representation fails to satisfy Parthasarathy's inequality on this K-type, and therefore conclude that X is not unitary. For a generic K-type this is technically very messy and unpractical, and one is forced to try to find another K-type of X such that the signature of the Hermitian form restricted to these two K-types is indefinite. Here is where the hard technical problem of the paper lies.

To do this we need to reduce the problem to H-C modules of proper reductive subgroups whose LKT's have a very simple form and Parthasarathy's inequality is very easy to test. Studying the failure of this inequality a little closer one can find a list of candidates of K-types where the Hermitian form will be indefinite.

Here is how the reduction step is achieved: Vogan [1979] (see also [1981]) attaches to a LKT of an H-C module a representation (π^M, X^M) of a proper reductive subgroup M, such that X is isomorphic to a Zuckerman module $\mathfrak{X}_s(\pi^M)$, where M is the normalizer in G of s. Using this result and Vogan [1984], I prove the following

Theorem 2. Let $G=SO_0(n,m)$ and X, an irreducible H-C module which is not a Zuckerman module $A_q(\lambda)$ and assume X is endowed with a non-zero Hermitian form. Then the following hold

- (a) There are a θ -stable parabolic subalgebra s' and a representation $(\pi^{M'}, V^{M'})$ of $M'=N_G(s')$ such that $X \cong \mathfrak{X}_{s'}(\pi^{M'})$.
- (b) $V^{M'}$ is endowed with a Hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^{M'}$
- (c) There are lowest $(M \cap K)$ -types $(LK_{M \cap K}, T)$ δ, δ' of $\pi^{M'}$ such that the restriction of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^{M'}$ to $V(\delta) \oplus V(\delta')$ is indefinite.
- (d) Set $\mu = \mathfrak{X}_{s \cap K}(\delta)$ and $\mu' = \mathfrak{X}_{s \cap K}(\delta')$. then μ and μ' are K-types of X

Having a representation satisfying (a)-(d) Vogan shows (see S. A. Salamanca-Riba [1988], Theorem 5.8) that the signature of $<, >$ on $V(\mu) \oplus V(\mu')$ is equal to the signature of $<, >^{M'}$ on $V(\delta) \oplus V(\delta')$, which proves Theorem 1.

In most cases $M'=M$ and M' is always a product of the form

$M'=U(r_1, s_1) \times U(r_2, s_2) \times \dots \times U(r_t, s_t) \times SO_0(n', m')$, where if $n=2p$ or $2p+1$, and $m=2q$ or $2q+1$, then $n'=p-2\sum r_i$ and $m'=q-2\sum s_i$. This reduces the problem to the case when G is $U(p, q)$ and a proper subgroup $SO_0(n', m')$ where n' and n and m' and m have the same parity.

References.

M.W. Baldoni Silva-D. Barbasch

[1983] The unitary spectrum for real rank one Lie groups. *Invent. Math.* 72. 27-55

D. Barbasch

[1987] Unipotent representations for complex semisimple groups II. Preprint

D. Barbasch-D. A. Vogan Jr.

[1985] Unipotent representations for complex semisimple groups. *Ann. Math.* 121. 41-110

A. Borel-N. Wallach

[1980] *Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups and representations of reductive groups*. Annals of Mathematics Studies Vol. 94. Princeton University Press.

T. J. Enright

[1979] Relative Lie algebra cohomology and unitary representations of complex Lie groups. *Duke Math. J.* 46, 513-525

S. A. Salamanca-Riba

[1988] On the unitary dual of some classical Lie groups. *Comp. Math.* 68. 251-303

B. Speh

[1981] Unitary representations of $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ and the cohomology of congruence subgroups. In: J. Carmona and M. Vergne (Eds.) *Non Commutative harmonic analysis and Lie groups*. LNM Vol. 880 pp483-505

D. A. Vogan Jr.

[1979] The algebraic structure of the representations of semisimple Lie groups I. Ann. Math. 109. 1-60.

[1981] *Representations of real reductive Lie groups*. Birkäuser, Boston-Basel-Stuttgart.

[1984] Unitarizability of certain series of representations. Annals Math. 120.141-187.

[1986] The unitary dual for $GL(n)$ over an archimedian field. Inv. Math. 83, 449-505

D.A. VOGAN JR.-G. ZUCKERMAN

[1984] Unitary representations with non-zero cohomology. Comp. Math 53. 51-90

G. ZUCKERMAN

[1977] On construction of representations by derived factors. Handwritten Notes