

The Type of von Neumann Algebras Associated
with a Certain Transitive Groupoid

Shigeru YAMAGAMI

Department of Math., Ryukyu University

Abstract. For a certain transitive groupoid of homogeneous space of Lie group, we associate a Hilbert algebra and investigate the central decomposition and the type of its left von Neumann algebra. It is type I or type II according as a certain coset space of a group is finite or infinite.

§1. Introduction.

Let G be a (not necessarily connected) Lie group. If $G \times G$ is provided with a groupoid structure in a trivial manner (i.e., for $(g_1, g_2), (g_1', g_2') \in G \times G$, $(g_1, g_2)^{-1} = (g_2, g_1)$, and (g_1, g_2) is composable with (g_1', g_2') if and only if $g_2 = g_1'$ with the resulting composition equal to (g_1, g_2')), we can use Haar measure to form a convolution algebra $C_c^\infty(G \times G)$ and its regular representation on $L^2(G \times G)$. Then the generated von Neumann algebra is a type I factor and acts on $L^2(G \times G)$ as a standard representation. Although these facts are all trivial, if we take a quotient of $G \times G$ by a suitable subgroup of $G \times G$, the situation becomes rather complicated and the analysis of its

regular representation becomes an interesting problem.

In this paper, we will carry out the type analysis of the von Neumann algebra associated with a groupoid of this type. More precisely, let H be a closed subgroup of G and let $\underline{H}$ be a normal subgroup of H which contains the connected component of H . We form a closed subgroup D of $G \times G$:

$$(1) \quad D = \{(h_1, h_2) \in H \times H; h_1^{-1} h_2 \in \underline{H}\}.$$

Then $\Gamma = G \times G / D$ has the structure of groupoid induced from that of $G \times G$, which is transitive in the sense that the canonical equivalence relation of the groupoid is transitive (see [6],

for example). We assume that $\underline{H}$ has a unitary character $\chi_{\underline{H}}$ which is invariant under the action of H , i.e. $\chi(h h^{-1}) = \chi(h)$ for $h \in H, \underline{h} \in \underline{H}$.
~~We define a character χ_D of D defined by~~
~~(2) $\chi_D(a, b) = \chi(a^{-1}b)$ for $(a, b) \in D$.~~

Let ϕ be a C^∞ function on $G \times G$ which satisfies

$$(3) \quad \phi(g_1 a_1, g_2 a_2) = \Delta_{G, H}(a_1 a_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi_D(a_2, a_1) \phi(g_1, g_2)$$

for $(g_1, g_2) \in G \times G$, $(a_1, a_2) \in D$, and has a compact support modulo D . Here $\Delta_{G, H}(a) = |\det_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}} \text{Ada}|$ ($\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ denote Lie algebras of G and H respectively). We denote the set of all these ϕ 's by $\mathcal{O}$ and equip it with the following $*$ -algebra structure: For $\phi, \phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{O}$, $\phi_1 * \phi_2 \in \mathcal{O}$ and $\phi^* \in \mathcal{O}$ are defined by

$$(4) \quad (\phi_1 * \phi_2)(g_1, g_2) = \int_{G/\underline{H}} dg \phi_1(g_1, g) \phi_2(g, g_2),$$

$$(5) \quad \phi^*(g_1, g_2) = \overline{\phi(g_2, g_1)}$$

(see [1], for example, for the meaning of $\int_{G/\underline{H}}$). Furthermore, the following inner product makes $\mathcal{O}$ a (unimodular) Hilbert algebra:

$$(6) \quad (\phi|\phi) = \oint_{G \times G/D} dg_1 dg_2 |\phi(g_1, g_2)|^2.$$

We denote by σ'' (respect. σ') the left (respect. right) von Neumann algebra of this Hilbert algebra which acts on the L^2 -completion $L^2(\sigma)$ of σ .

Our first result is a concrete realization of the center of σ'' as a subalgebra of a convolution algebra over $H/\underline{H}$ (Theorem 1). Next, we work out the type analysis of σ'' under the assumption that the commutator subgroup of H is contained in $\underline{H}$; σ'' is of type I or type II according as the coset space H/S is finite or infinite (Theorem 2). Here S is a normal subgroup of H defined by (3.9). These results are closely related to Pukanszky's work [5] Chapter I. For example, a special case of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 reduces to Proposition I.2.1 in [5]. These group representation theoretical aspects of the present results will be discussed elsewhere.

§2. Description of the center of σ'' .

Main result of this section is a concrete realization of the center of σ'' . To do this, we need distributions (more precisely generalized sections of a line bundle) in order to express bounded linear operator on $L^2(\sigma)$ in kernel form. For this reason, we have restricted ourselves to Lie group, but the result itself may be valid for arbitrary locally compact groups. To begin with, we define some line bundles over $G/\underline{H}$: We regard G as a principal $\underline{H}$ -bundle by the right translation, and form a line bundle $B = G \times_{\underline{H}} \mathbb{C}$ over $G/\underline{H}$, where the action of $\underline{H}$ on $\mathbb{C}$ is given by $h \cdot z = \Delta_{G, H}(h)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \chi(h)z$, $h \in H$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$. We denote

by $\bar{B}$ the conjugate bundle of B ($\bar{B}$ is constructed as B if we replace the action of $\underline{H}$ on $\mathbb{C}$ by $h \cdot z = \Delta_{G,H}(h)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \overline{\chi(h)z}$). Since χ is H -invariant, for any $a \in H$, $B \ni g \cdot z \longmapsto ga \cdot z \in B$ gives rise to a bundle morphism of B and hence $H \times H$ acts on the exterior tensor product bundle $\bar{B} \boxtimes B$ (this is a line bundle over $G/\underline{H} \times G/\underline{H}$ with the fibre at (x,y) equal to $\bar{B}_x \otimes B_y$). In particular, restricting the action to the diagonal subgroup of $H \times H$, we get an action of H . Then the quotient $B_d = \bar{B} \boxtimes B/H$ is a line bundle over Γ , and there is a 1-1 correspondence between elements in $\mathcal{O}$ and support-compact C^∞ -sections of $B_d \rightarrow \Gamma$.

Lemma 1. For any $L \in \mathcal{O}''$, there is a generalized section $l \in C^{-\infty}(G/\underline{H} \times G/\underline{H}; \bar{B} \boxtimes B)$ (= dual space of $C_0^\infty(G/\underline{H} \times G/\underline{H}; B \boxtimes \bar{B})$, see [3] for the information of generalized section) such that

$$(7) \quad l(x_1 a, x_2 a)(a^{-1}, a^{-1}) = \Delta_{G,H}(a) l(x_1, x_2) \text{ for } a \in H,$$

and for $F \in \mathcal{O} \subset C^\infty(G/\underline{H} \times G/\underline{H}; \bar{B} \boxtimes B)$

$$(8) \quad (LF)(x_1, x_2) = \int_{x \in G/\underline{H}} l(x_1, x) F(x, x_2)$$

(both sides should be regarded as elements in $C^{-\infty}(G/\underline{H} \times G/\underline{H}; \bar{B} \boxtimes B)$).

Comments. (i) In (7), the action of $a \in H$ on l is through the bundle morphism of B described above.

(ii) In (8), for fixed x_2 , $x \mapsto F(x, x_2)$ has a compact support and therefore the pairing of $l(x_1, \cdot)$ with $F(\cdot, x_2)$ has meaning.

Proof. By Schwarz's kernel theorem, $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathcal{O}))$ is imbedded

into $C^{-\infty}(\Gamma \times \Gamma; B_d \boxtimes \bar{B}_d)$. On the other hand, $C^{-\infty}(\Gamma \times \Gamma; B_d \boxtimes \bar{B}_d)$ is identified with

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{K} = \{ \phi \in C^{-\infty}(G/H \times G/H \times G/H \times G/H; \bar{B} \boxtimes B \boxtimes B \boxtimes \bar{B}); \\ \phi(x_1 a_1, y_1 a_1; x_2 a_2, y_2 a_2) \cdot (a_1^{-1}, a_1^{-1}, a_2^{-1}, a_2^{-1}) \\ = \Delta_{G,H}(a_1, a_2) \phi(x_1, y_1; x_2, y_2) \text{ for } a_1, a_2 \in H \}$$

, so we have a continuous linear map κ of $\mathcal{Q}(L^2(\mathcal{O}))$ into $C^{-\infty}(G/H \times G/H \times G/H \times G/H; \bar{B} \boxtimes B \boxtimes B \boxtimes \bar{B})$, where $\mathcal{Q}(L^2(\mathcal{O}))$ is equipped with the weak operator topology and the space of generalized sections is topologized by the weak* topology.

Let δ be a generalized section of $B \boxtimes \bar{B}$ defined by

$$(10) \quad \langle \delta, f \rangle = \int_{x \in G/H} f(x, x)$$

for $f \in C_c^\infty(G/H \times G/H; \bar{B} \boxtimes B)$ and let ι be a linear mapping of $C^{-\infty}(G/H \times G/H; \bar{B} \boxtimes B)$ into $C^{-\infty}(G/H \times G/H \times G/H \times G/H; \bar{B} \boxtimes B \boxtimes B \boxtimes \bar{B})$ defined by

$$(11) \quad (\iota \phi)(x_1, y_1; x_2, y_2) = \phi(x_1, x_2) \otimes \delta(y_1, y_2) \\ \in \bar{B}_{x_1} \otimes B_{x_2} \otimes B_{y_1} \otimes \bar{B}_{y_2} \\ \cong \bar{B}_{x_1} \otimes B_{y_1} \otimes B_{x_2} \otimes \bar{B}_{y_2}.$$

Then ι is a topological imbedding of $C^{-\infty}(G/H \times G/H; \bar{B} \boxtimes B)$ into a closed subspace $\mathcal{L}$ of $C^{-\infty}(G/H \times G/H \times G/H \times G/H; \bar{B} \boxtimes B \boxtimes B \boxtimes \bar{B})$. Since $\kappa(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{L}$ by (3), (4), and since $\mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{L}$ is a closed subspace, we have $\kappa(\mathcal{O}'') \subset \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{L}$. Now set $l = \iota^{-1} \cdot \kappa(L)$ for a given $L \in \mathcal{O}''$. Then l satisfies (8) by construction and (7) follows from

$$(12) \quad \delta(x_1 a, x_2 a)(a^{-1}, a^{-1}) = \Delta_{G,H}(a) \delta(x_1, x_2), \quad a \in H. \quad \square$$

In the same way as in Lemma 1, given $R \in \mathcal{O}'$, we can find a generalized section $r \in C^{-\infty}(G/H \times G/H; \bar{B} \boxtimes B)$ such that

$$(13) \quad r(x_1 a, x_2 a)(a^{-1}, a^{-1}) = \Delta_{G,H}(a) r(x_1, x_2)$$

and

$$(14) \quad (RF)(x_1, x_2) = \int_{x \in G/H} F(x_1, x) r(x, x_2).$$

Lemma 2. If $L \in \mathcal{O}'' \cap \mathcal{O}'$, then

$$\text{supp } L \subset \{(x, xa); x \in G/H, a \in H\}.$$

Proof. In (8) and (14), let $L = R$;

$$(15) \quad \int_{x \in G/H} l(x_1, x) F(x, x_2) = \int_{x \in G/H} F(x_1, x) r(x, x_2)$$

for $F \in \mathcal{O} \subset C^{\infty}(G/H \times G/H; \bar{B} \boxtimes B)$. Take $x_0 \in G/H$ and an open neighborhood U of x_0 such that $Ua_1 \cap Ua_2 \neq \emptyset$ ($a_1, a_2 \in H$) implies $a_1^{-1}a_2 \in H$. If we choose F so that the support of F (considered as a section of $\bar{B} \boxtimes B$) is contained in $\bigcup_{a \in H} (Ua \times Ua)$, then the right hand side of (15) vanishes when $x_1 \notin \bigcup_{a \in H} \bar{U}a$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{x \in G/H} \int_{x_2 \in G/H} l(x_1, x) F(x, x_2) \phi(x_2) \\ &= \int_{x \in U} l(x_1, x) \int_{x_2 \in G/H} F(x, x_2) \phi(x_2) \end{aligned}$$

for $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(U, \bar{B})$, because the support of $x \mapsto \int_{x_2 \in G/H}$

$F(x, x_2) \phi(x_2)$ is contained in U . In view of the density of

$\{x \mapsto \int_{x_2 \in G/H} F(x, x_2) \phi(x_2)\}$ in $C_c(U, \bar{B})$, we have, from

these facts, that $l(x_1, x_2) = 0$ if $x_1 \notin \bigcup_{a \in H} \bar{U}a$ and $x_2 \in U$.

Since U can be chosen arbitrarily small, we obtain the desired result. $\square$

Lemma 3. Let X be a C^∞ -manifold with a nowhere vanishing C^∞ measure dx and $k(x, x')$ be a distribution on $X \times X$ with its support contained in $\{(x, x) \in X \times X; x \in X\}$. Suppose that $C_c^\infty(X) \ni \xi \mapsto (K\xi)(x) = \int_X dx' k(x, x') \xi(x')$ yields a bounded linear operator in $L^2(X)$. Then there exists a bounded measurable function f on X such that $(K\xi)(x) = f(x)\xi(x)$.

Proof. We will show that K commutes with multiplication operators in $L^2(X)$. Take an open ball $U \subset X$ and a sequence $\{\phi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ in $C_c^\infty(U)$ such that $\phi_n \nearrow 1$ on U . Then for any $\xi \in C_c^\infty(X)$, the support of which does not intersect with the boundary of U , $\phi_n \xi|_U = \xi|_U$ and therefore $K\phi_n \xi = \phi_n K\xi$ for sufficiently large n . Since K is bounded, taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $Km_U = m_U K$, where m_U is the multiplication operator by characteristic function of U . As U is an arbitrary open ball, K commutes with every multiplication operator. Thus K itself is a multiplication operator. $\square$

By applying this lemma to 1 in Lemma 2, we can find $l_a \in L^\infty(G/H)$ for every $a \in H$ which satisfies

$$(16) \quad l_{a\underline{h}} = \Delta_{G,H}(\underline{h})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \chi(\underline{h})^{-1} l_a \quad \text{for } \underline{h} \in \underline{H},$$

$$(17) \quad \int_{(x_1, x_2) \in G/H \times G/H} l(x_1, x_2) f_1(x_1) \overline{f_2(x_2)} \\ = \sum_{a \in H/H} \int_{x \in G/H} l_a(x) f_1(x) (\overline{f_2(xa)} a^{-1})$$

for $f_1, f_2 \in C_c^\infty(G/H; B)$ ($\sum_{a \in H/H}$ means that summation is taken over a representative of coset space H/H , which does not depend on a special choice of representative by (16)).

Symbolically, (17) is written as

$$(18) \quad \int_{x_2 \in G/H} l(x_1, x_2) f(x_2)$$

$$= \sum_{a \in H/\underline{H}} l_a(x_1)(f(x,a)a^{-1}),$$

for $f \in C_c^\infty(G/\underline{H}; \bar{B})$. Similarly, there exists $r_a \in L^\infty(G/\underline{H})$ for each $a \in H$, which satisfies

$$(19) \quad r_{ah} = \Delta_{G,H}(h)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \chi(h) r_a, \quad h \in \underline{H},$$

$$(20) \quad \int_{x_1 \in G/\underline{H}} f(x_1) r(x_1, x_2) \\ = \sum_{a \in H/\underline{H}} r_a(x_2) (f(x_2 a) a^{-1}),$$

for $f \in C_c^\infty(G/\underline{H}; B)$, and the equation (15) is reduced to

$$(21) \quad \sum_{b \in H/\underline{H}} l_b(x_1) F(x_1 b, x_2) (b^{-1}, 1) \\ = \sum_{b \in H/\underline{H}} F(x_1, x_2 b) (1, b^{-1}) r_b(x_2).$$

Lemma 4. l_a is a constant function on $G/\underline{H}$, and as a function of $a \in H$, it is a class function; $l_a = l_{bab^{-1}}$, for $a, b \in H$.

Proof. Take $x_0 \in G/\underline{H}$, $a \in H$, and a neighborhood U of x_0 as in the proof of Lemma 2. If, in (21), we choose F such that $\text{supp } F \subset \bigcup_{b \in H/\underline{H}} (U \times U)(1, a)(b, b)$, then for $x_1 \in U$ and for $x_2 \in Uc$ (c is an arbitrary element in H)

$$(22) \quad \text{r.h.s.} = r_c^{-1} l_a(x_2) F(x_1, x_2 c^{-1} a) (1, a^{-1} c)$$

and

$$(23) \quad \text{l.h.s.} = l_a^{-1} l_c(x_1) F(x_1 a^{-1} c, x_2) (c^{-1} a, 1) \\ = \Delta_{G,H}(a^{-1} c) l_a^{-1} l_c(x_1) F(x_1, x_2 c^{-1} a) (1, a^{-1} c)$$

Thus comparing (22) and (23),

$$(24) \quad r_c^{-1} l_a(x_2) = \Delta_{G,H}(a^{-1} c) l_a^{-1} l_c(x_1)$$

for $x_1 \in U$, $x_2 \in Uc$. Since a, c are arbitrary, we have obtained the desired conclusion. $\square$

At this place, we claim that the converse of Lemma 4 holds. To be precise, let $\{l_a\}_{a \in H}$ be a function on H which is a class function;

$$(25) \quad l_{ab} = l_{ba}, \quad a, b \in H,$$

and is $\underline{H}$ -covariant;

$$(26) \quad l_{a\underline{h}} = \Delta_{G,H}(\underline{h})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \chi(\underline{h})^{-1} l_a, \quad \underline{h} \in H.$$

Further suppose that

$$(27) \quad F(x_1, x_2) \longmapsto \sum_{a \in H/\underline{H}} l_a F(x_1 a, x_2) (a^{-1}, 1)$$

for $F \in \mathcal{O}$, gives rise to a bounded linear operator L in $L^2(\mathcal{O})$. Then we have

Lemma 5. L belongs to $\mathcal{O}'' \cap \mathcal{O}'$.

Proof. Let $f, F \in \mathcal{O}$. We must show that $L(f * F) = f * LF$ and $L(F * f) = LF * f$. These equalities follow from a direct computation. $\square$

Comment. Conditions (25) and (26) insure that (27) is a well-defined map of $\mathcal{O}$ into $C^{-\infty}(\Gamma, B_d)$.

Lemma 6. Retain the assumptions before Lemma 5 and suppose that $l_a \neq 0$ for some $a \in H$. Then a satisfies the following conditions.

(*) If $b \in H$ commutes with a modulo $\underline{H}$, i.e., $a^{-1}b^{-1}ab \in \underline{H}$, then $\chi(a^{-1}b^{-1}ab) = 1$.

(**) The cardinality of the conjugate class of a in $H/\underline{H}$ is finite.

Proof. Here we use the original definition of $\mathcal{O}$; elements in $\mathcal{O}$ are C^∞ -functions on $G \times G$ (see (3)). Then the operation of L on $\mathcal{O}$ is expressed as

$$(28) \quad (LF)(g_1, g_2) = \sum_{a \in H/H} 1_a F(g_1 a, g_2)$$

for $g_1, g_2 \in G$, $F \in \mathcal{O}$. Take a section $\phi \in C_c^\infty(G/H \times G/H ; \bar{B} \boxtimes B)$ whose support is contained in a sufficiently small neighborhood of $([1], [1])$ and use the same letter ϕ to denote the corresponding function on $G \times G$. Then $\sum_{a \in H/H} \Delta_{G,H}(a)^{-1} \phi(g_1 a, g_2 a)$ defines an element in $\mathcal{O}$, and if we use this function as F in (28), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (29) \quad |(LF)(g_1, g_2)|^2 &= \sum_{a, b, a', b'} \Delta_{G,H}(aa')^{-1} \bar{1}_b 1_{b'} \overline{\phi(g_1 b a, g_2 a)} \phi(g_1 b' a', g_2 a') \\ &= \sum_{a, b} |1_b|^2 \Delta_{G,H}(a)^{-2} |\phi(g_1 b a, g_2 a)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

(the support of ϕ should be assumed to be so small that $\overline{\phi(g_1 b a, g_2 a)}$ and $\phi(g_1 b' a', g_2 a')$ have overlapping supports if and only if $a = a'$ and $b = b'$). Integrating (29) over $G \times G/D$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (LF|LF) &= \int_{G/H \times G/H} dg_1 dg_2 \sum_b |1_b|^2 |\phi(g_1 b, g_2)|^2 \\ &= \sum_b \Delta_{G,H}(b) |1_b|^2 \int_{G/H \times G/H} dg_1 dg_2 |\phi(g_1, g_2)|^2 \\ &= \sum_b \Delta_{G,H}(b) |1_b|^2 (F|F). \end{aligned}$$

From this, we have

$$(30) \quad \sum_a \Delta_{G,H}(a) |1_a|^2 \leq \|L\|^2.$$

Now, in view of (25), boundedness of L implies the condition (*). To prove (**), let $b \in H$ be such that $a^{-1} b^{-1} a b \in H$. Then by (25), (26),

$$l_a = l_b^{-1} l_{ab} = l_{aa}^{-1} l_{bab} = \chi(a^{-1} b^{-1} ab)^{-1} l_a$$

and (**) holds. □

Before stating Theorem in this section, we need some more definitions concerning the Hilbert algebra of $H/\underline{H}$. Consider a function l on H with the following properties

$$(31) \quad l(h\underline{h}) = \Delta_{G,H}(\underline{h})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \chi(\underline{h})^{-1} l(h), \quad h \in H, \underline{h} \in \underline{H},$$

$$(32) \quad \text{support of } l \text{ is compact modulo } \underline{H}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{Q}$ the totality of such functions. $\mathcal{Q}$ is furnished with $*$ -algebra structure : for $l_1, l_2, l \in \mathcal{Q}$,

$$(33) \quad (l_1 * l_2)(a) = \sum_{b \in H/\underline{H}} l_1(b) l_2(b^{-1}a),$$

$$(34) \quad l^*(a) = \Delta_{G,H}(a)^{-1} \overline{l(a^{-1})}.$$

For $l \in \mathcal{Q}$, define a linear operator $\phi(l)$ on $\mathcal{O}$ by

$$(35) \quad (\phi(l)F)(g_1, g_2) = \sum_{a \in H/\underline{H}} l(a) F(g_1 a, g_2)$$

for $F \in \mathcal{O}$. Since the relevant summation is finite, $\phi(l)$ is bounded with respect to L^2 -norm and can be extended to a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathcal{O})$ by continuity, which is also denoted by $\phi(l)$. A direct computation shows that the correspondence $l \mapsto \phi(l)$ is a faithful $*$ -representation of

$\mathcal{Q}$ on $L^2(\mathcal{O})$, and its range is contained in $\mathcal{O}''$. Let S be the set of $a \in H$ which satisfies (*), (**) in Lemma 6 and set $\mathcal{C} = \{l \in \mathcal{Q}; l(ab) = l(ba) \text{ for } a, b \in H \text{ and the support of } l \text{ is contained in } S\}$. Since $\phi(\mathcal{C}) = \phi(\mathcal{Q}) \cap \mathcal{O}'' \cap \mathcal{O}'$ by Lemma 5 and Lemma 6, $\mathcal{C}$ forms a $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{Q}$ and is commutative.

Theorem 1. The center of the left von Neumann algebra of $\mathcal{O}$ is generated by $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$.

Corollary. The left von Neumann algebra of $\mathcal{O}$ is a factor if only if $S = \underline{H}$.

Before the proof of Theorem 1, we will give some preparatory discussions. First we remark that the $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{B}$, described in (31)-(34), becomes a Hilbert algebra by the inner product

$$(36) \quad (1|1) = \sum_{a \in H/\underline{H}} \Delta_{G,H}(a) |1(a)|^2, \quad 1 \in \mathcal{B}.$$

We construct an isometry of $L^2(\mathcal{B})$ into $L^2(\mathcal{O})$ which intertwines Φ . Take an approximate δ -function $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$; $(\xi|\xi) = 1$ and ξ is supported by a sufficiently small neighborhood of $(1,1)D \in G \times G/D$. Define a linear map I of $\mathcal{B}$ into $L^2(\mathcal{O})$ by

$$(37) \quad I(1)(g_1, g_2) = \sum_{a \in H/\underline{H}} 1(a) \xi(g_1 a, g_2), \quad 1 \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Then, by a computation as in the proof of Lemma 6, we have

$$(38) \quad (I(1)|I(1)) = (1|1), \quad 1 \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Since $I(1) = \Phi(1)\xi$, I intertwines Φ . Now we will show that Φ can be extended to a normal homomorphism of $\mathcal{B}''$ into $\mathcal{O}''$. Set

(39) $\mathcal{M} = \{L \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathcal{O})) ; \text{there is a function } l \text{ on } H \text{ satisfying (31) such that } (LF)(g_1, g_2) = \sum_{a \in H/\underline{H}} l(a) F(g_1 a, g_2) \text{ for } F \in \mathcal{O} \}$.

Then $\mathcal{M}$ becomes a von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}''$ and makes $\overline{I(\mathcal{B})}$ invariant ($\overline{I(\mathcal{B})}$ denotes the L^2 -closure of $I(\mathcal{B})$).

Furthermore, the restriction of $\mathcal{M}$ to the subspace $\overline{I(\mathcal{B})}$ yields an isomorphism of $\mathcal{M}$ onto $\mathcal{M} \overline{I(\mathcal{B})}$, and the induced

algebra $\mathcal{M} \overline{I(\mathcal{B})}$ is transferred into a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}''$ by the isometry I . Thus we get a normal isomorphism Ψ of $\mathcal{M}$ into $\mathcal{B}''$. Since $\Psi(\phi(\mathcal{B})) = \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is weakly dense in $\mathcal{B}''$, we conclude that Ψ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{M}$ onto $\mathcal{B}''$ and the restriction of Ψ^{-1} to $\mathcal{B}$ coincides with ϕ . In other words, we can extend ϕ to $\mathcal{B}''$ as a normal homomorphism and $\phi(\mathcal{B}'') = \mathcal{M}$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Since $\sigma'' \cap \sigma' \subset \mathcal{M}$, we need to prove that $\mathcal{C}'' = \phi^{-1}(\sigma'' \cap \sigma')$. By Lemma 5, $\mathcal{C}'' \subset \phi^{-1}(\sigma'' \cap \sigma')$. For the reverse inclusion, we will show that $\mathcal{C}' \subset \phi^{-1}(\sigma'' \cap \sigma')'$. Let K be a bounded linear operator in $L^2(\mathcal{B})$. Then K can be expressed in a matrix form ;

$$(40) \quad (Kf)(a) = \sum_{a' \in H/H} \Delta_{G,H}(a') k(a, a') f(a')$$

where k (call the matrix function of K) is a function of $H \times H$ satisfying

$$(41) \quad k(a\underline{h}, a'\underline{h}') = \Delta_{G,H}(\underline{h}\underline{h}')^{-\frac{1}{2}} \chi(\underline{h}^{-1}\underline{h}') k(a, a'),$$

here $\underline{h}, \underline{h}' \in \underline{H}$. For $L \in \sigma'' \cap \sigma'$, we can find a function l on H which satisfies (25), (26) and represents L by the relation (28). Then a direct computation shows that the matrix functions of $K\phi^{-1}(L)$ and $\phi^{-1}(L)K$ are given by

$$(42) \quad \sum_{a \in H/H} \Delta_{G,H}(a) l(a) k(a_1, aa_2),$$

$$(43) \quad \sum_{a \in H/H} l(a) k(a^{-1}a_1, a_2).$$

Thus $K \in \phi^{-1}(\sigma'' \cap \sigma')$ if and only if (42) = (43) for $a_1, a_2 \in H$. By Lemma 6, we can find a family $\{l_\alpha\}$ of elements in $\mathcal{C}$, with pair-wise disjoint support, such that

$$(44) \quad 1(a) = \sum_{\alpha} 1_{\alpha}(a).$$

Now suppose that K commutes with $\mathcal{C}$. Then K satisfies

(42) = (43) with 1 replaced by 1_{α} , and therefore it holds for

$1 = \sum_{\alpha} 1_{\alpha}$ (Note that the summations in (42), (43) are absolutely convergent.). In other words, K commutes with $\phi(\sigma'' \cap \sigma')$.

This shows that $\mathcal{C}' \subset \phi^{-1}(\sigma'' \cap \sigma')'$ and the proof of Theorem 1 is completed. $\square$

§3. Type analysis of σ'' .

In this section, we assume that

(C) the commutator subgroup of H is contained in $\underline{H}$.

Then the condition (**) is trivially satisfied and the set S (see before Theorem 1) is characterized as follows :

$$(45) \quad S = \{a \in H ; \chi(a^{-1}b^{-1}ab) = 1 \text{ for all } b \in H\}.$$

From this, we see that S is a normal subgroup of H . To investigate the type of σ'' , we first give an explicit central decomposition of σ'' . Set

$$(46) \quad \hat{S}_{\chi} = \{\eta ; \eta \text{ is a unitary character of } S \text{ whose restriction to } H \text{ is equal to } \chi\}.$$

By point-wise multiplication, $\hat{S}_{\chi}$ is an $(S/\underline{H})^{\wedge}$ -principal homogeneous space (The irreducible decomposition of $\text{Ind}_{\underline{H} \uparrow S} \chi$ shows that $\hat{S}_{\chi}$ is non-empty). For each $\eta \in \hat{S}_{\chi}$, we can construct a Hilbert algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\eta}$ as in §1, here we use S, η in place of $\underline{H}, \chi$. Take $f \in \mathcal{O}$ and set

$$(47) \quad f_{\eta}(g_1, g_2) = \sum_{a \in S/\underline{H}} \Delta_{G, H}(a)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \eta(a)^{-1} f(g_1 a, g_2).$$

(Note that $S/\underline{H} \ni [a] \mapsto [(a,1)] \in D_S/D$ is an isomorphism, where D_S is the 'D' for S.) Then $f_\eta \in \mathcal{O}_\eta$ and one sees that $\{\hat{f} = \{f_\eta\}_\eta \in \hat{S}_X ; f \in \mathcal{O}\}$ forms a continuous field $\{\mathcal{O}_\eta\}_{\eta \in \hat{S}_X}$ of Hilbert algebras, by a routine calculation. Also a direct computation shows that

$$(48) \quad (F|F) = \int_{\hat{S}_X} d\eta (F_\eta|F_\eta)_\eta \quad \text{for } F \in \mathcal{O},$$

where $(\cdot|\cdot)_\eta$ is the inner product in $\mathcal{O}_\eta$ and $d\eta$ is a Haar measure through identification $\hat{S}_X \cong (S/\underline{H})^\wedge$. Note that $d\eta$ is unique up to positive constant. Furthermore, by applying Theorem 1 to $\mathcal{O}_\eta$, one sees that $\mathcal{O}''$ is a factor and hence the above decomposition is central (see [2], p.191 Corollaire). Summarizing these considerations, we have :

Proposition. Through $\mathcal{O} \ni f \mapsto \hat{f} = \{f_\eta\}_\eta \in \hat{S}_X$, the Hilbert algebra $\mathcal{O}$ is identified with the direct integral of Hilbert algebras, $\int_{\hat{S}_X}^\oplus d\eta \mathcal{O}_\eta$, and the center of $\mathcal{O}''$ is identified with the algebra of diagonalizable operators $L^\infty(\hat{S}_X)$.

Theorem 2. Under the condition (C), the left von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{O}''$ is of type II if H/S is infinite and it is of type I otherwise.

Proof. By Proposition, it suffices to prove Theorem when $\mathcal{O}''$ is a factor, i.e., $S = \underline{H}$. Then $\mathcal{B}''$ is a factor by Corollary to Theorem 1. Let $\tau_{\mathcal{O}}$ (resp. $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$) be the canonical trace of $\mathcal{O}''$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}''$) associated with the Hilbert algebra structure. By (38),

$$(49) \quad \tau_{\mathcal{O}}(\phi(1)**\phi(1)) = \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(1**1), \quad \text{for } 1 \in \mathcal{B}''.$$

From this, one sees that σ'' is of type II if $\mathcal{B}''$ is so. As $\mathcal{B}''$ is a finite factor, it is of type II if $\dim L^2(\mathcal{B}) = |H/\underline{H}| = \infty$. Thus we have showed that σ'' is of type II if $|H/\underline{H}| = \infty$. Now suppose that $|H/\underline{H}| < \infty$. In this case, we can regard $L^2(\sigma)$ as a subspace of $L^2(G/\underline{H} \times G/\underline{H})$ and the projection P to $L^2(\sigma)$ is given by

$$(50) \quad (PF)(g_1, g_2) = |H/\underline{H}|^{-1} \sum_{a \in H/\underline{H}} \Delta_{G, H}(a)^{-1} F(g_1 a, g_2 a),$$

for $F \in C_c^\infty(G/\underline{H} \times G/\underline{H}; \bar{B} \otimes B)$. Through this identification, we see that $\sigma'' \subset \mathcal{B}(L^2(G/\underline{H})) \otimes 1$ and τ_σ coincides with the restriction to σ'' of the canonical trace of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G/\underline{H}))$. In particular, the image of τ_σ is discrete and hence σ is of type I. This completes the proof of Theorem 2. $\square$

References.

- [1] P. Bernat et al., Représentations des groupes de Lie résoluble, Dunod, Paris(1972).
- [2] J. Dixmier, Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace Hilbertien, Gauthier-Villars, Paris(1969).
- [3] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, Geometric Asymptotics, AMS(1977).
- [4] E. Kaniuth, Der Typ der regulären Darstellung diskreter Gruppen, Math. Ann. 182(1969), 334-339.
- [5] L. Pukanszky, Unitary representations of solvable Lie groups, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 4(1971), 464-608.
- [6] J. Renault, A groupoid approach to C*-algebras, Lecture Notes in Math., 793, Springer(1980).