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#### Abstract

We discuss the two-dimensional McKay correspondence from the view point of Hilbert schemes.


## 0. Introduction

There is a whole series of apparently unrelated phenomena that are governed by the so-called ADE Dynkin diagram scheme. It is widely believed that, despite the diverse nature of the objects concerned, there must be some hidden reasons for these coincidences. The ADE Dynkin diagrams provide a classification of the following types of objects:
(1) simple singularities (rational double points) of complex surfaces,
(2) finite subgroups of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$,
(3) simple Lie groups and simple Lie algebras,
(4) the following three finite simple groups, the derived group $\mathbb{F}_{24}^{\prime}$ of the Fischer $\mathbb{F}_{24}$, the Baby monster $\mathbb{B}$ and the Monster $\mathbb{M}$ are related with $E_{6}, E_{7}$ and $E_{8}$ respectively.
Meanwhile there are three outstanding McKay observations. The first McKay observation made in November, 1978 was concerned with the so-called moonshine, and the second in December, 1978 with the connection between the above items (1) and (2), while the third in February, 1979 with the above item (4). It is the second McKay observation that we discuss in this article, which we refer to as the McKay correspondence. The purpose of this article is to discuss the McKay correspondence in detail, partially based on [IN99].

For a given finite subgroup $G$ of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, the McKay correspondence is incorporated into a quiver, called the McKay quiver, in the quotient of the coinvariant algebra. The McKay quiver gives the Dynkin diagram of the exceptional set of the corresponding simple singularity ADE. Moreover, the natural strata of the exceptional set are understood via the subquivers of the McKay quiver, which are easily described by directed Dynkin diagrams (See Figures 7-16). The McKay correspondence is also understood as a natural

[^0]bijective correspondence in the irreducible decomposition of a certain coherent sheaf over the $G$-orbit Hilbert scheme. Any extended Dynkin diagram of ADE is realized by a quiver in the symmetric algebra extending the McKay quiver.

The present article is organized as follows. In section one we recall the simple singularities, the McKay correspondence and related notions. In section two we recall the notion of $G$-orbit Hilbert schemes. For a finite subgroup $G$ of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, the $G$-orbit Hilbert scheme is a minimal resolution of the quotient $\mathbb{A}^{2} / G$ by [IN99]. In section three we recall the main theorem of [IN99] and state a new theorem which sharpens the main theorem. In section 4 we prove the McKay correspondence for $D_{5}$ in full detail, partially based on [IN99]. We introduce the (extended) McKay quiver, and the subquivers of it so that we will have a transparent overview of the strata of the exceptional set of the $G$-orbit Hilbert scheme. In section 5 we briefly discuss $E_{6}$ along the same line. In section 6 we prove our new theorem mentioned above.

## 1. Simple Singularities and McKay Correspondence

1.1. Simple singularities. We first recall the definition of simple singularities. A germ of a two-dimensional isolated hypersurface singularity is called a simple singularity if it is isomorphic to one of the following germs at the origin

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
A_{n} & : x^{n+1}+y^{2}+z^{2}=0 & \text { for } n \geq 1 \\
D_{n} & : x^{n-1}+x y^{2}+z^{2}=0 & \text { for } n \geq 4 \\
E_{6} & : x^{4}+y^{3}+z^{2}=0 \\
E_{7} & : x^{3} y+y^{3}+z^{2}=0 \\
E_{8} & : x^{5}+y^{3}+z^{2}=0
\end{array}
$$

It is also a quotient of the germ $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ by a finite subgroup of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. Moreover it has a minimal resolution of singularities with exceptional set consisting of smooth rational curves of self-intersection - 2 intersecting transversally. See Figure 1 for the Dynkin diagram involved.
1.2. Finite subgroups of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. Up to conjugacy, any finite subgroup of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is one of the subgroups listed in Table 1; see [Klein]. The triple $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)$ specifies the degrees of the generators of the $G$-invariant polynomial ring. The integer $h$ is the Coxeter number of the Lie algebra of the type involved (see Table 1), which we also call the Coxeter number of the simple singularity $\left(\mathbb{A}^{2} / G, 0\right)$.
1.3. Dynkin diagrams. Let $(S, 0)$ be a germ of a simple singularity, $\pi: X \rightarrow$ $S$ its minimal resolution, $E:=\pi^{-1}(0)_{\text {red }}$ and $E_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ the irreducible component of $E$. It is known that $E_{i} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1}$ with self-intersection $(-2)$. Let $\operatorname{Irr} E$ be the set $\left\{E_{i} ; 1 \leq i \leq r\right\}$ and $H^{2}:=H^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$. We see that $H_{2}=\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq r} \mathbb{Z}\left[E_{i}\right]$. Then $H_{2}$ has a negative definite intersection pairing $(,)_{\text {SING }}: H_{2} \times \bar{H}_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\left(E_{i} E_{j}\right)_{\text {SING }}=0$ or 1 for $i \neq j$, the pairing


Figure 1. The Dynkin diagrams ADE

| Type | $G$ | name | $\|G\|$ | $h$ | $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A_{n}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$ | cyclic | $n+1$ | $n+1$ | $(2, n+1, n+1)$ |
| $D_{n}$ | $\mathbb{D}_{n-2}$ | binary dihedral | $4(n-2)$ | $2 n-2$ | $(4,2 n-4,2 n-2)$ |
| $E_{6}$ | $\mathbb{T}$ | binary tetrahedral | 24 | 12 | $(6,8,12)$ |
| $E_{7}$ | $\mathbb{O}$ | binary octahedral | 48 | 18 | $(8,12,18)$ |
| $E_{8}$ | $\mathbb{I}$ | binary icosahedral | 120 | 30 | $(12,20,30)$ |

Table 1. Finite subgroups of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$
$(,)_{\text {SING }}$ can be expressed by a finite graph with simple edges. We rephrase this as follows: we associate a vertex $v\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ to any irreducible component $E^{\prime}$ of $E$, and join two vertices $v\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ and $v\left(E^{\prime \prime}\right)$ if and only if $\left(E^{\prime} E^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\operatorname{SING}}=1$. Thus we have a finite graph with simple edges. We call this graph the dual graph of $E$, and denote it by $\Gamma_{\text {SING }}(S)$ or $\Gamma(\operatorname{Irr} E)$.

There exists a unique divisor $E_{\text {fund }}$, called the fundamental cycle of $X$, which is the minimal nonzero effective divisor such that $E_{\text {fund }} E_{i} \leq 0$ for all $i$. Let $E_{\text {fund }}:=\sum_{i=1}^{r} m_{i}^{\text {SING }} E_{i}$ and $E_{0}:=-E_{\text {fund }}$. For the simple singularities we have $E_{0} E_{i}=0$ or 1 for any $E_{i} \in \operatorname{Irr} E$ (except for the case $A_{1}$, when $E_{0} E_{1}=2$ ). Thus we can draw a new graph $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text {SING }}$ by adding the vertex $v\left(E_{0}\right)$ to $\Gamma_{\text {SING }}(S)$. By abuse of notation we denote $\operatorname{Irr} E \cup\left\{E_{0}\right\}$ by $\operatorname{Irr}_{*} E$. Also for a given finite subgroup $G$ of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, we have a quotient singularity $\left(\mathbb{A}^{2} / G, 0\right)$, which is one of simple singularities so that we have a Dynkin diagram as a dual
$\operatorname{graph} \Gamma_{\mathrm{SING}}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2} / G, 0\right)$ of the exceptional set. Also we denote $\Gamma_{\text {SING }}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2} / G, 0\right)$ by $\Gamma_{\text {SING }}(G)$ and similarly $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text {SING }}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2} / G, 0\right)$ by $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text {SING }}(G)$.

In the $D_{5}$ case, we have $E=E_{1}+E_{2}+E_{3}+E_{4}+E_{5}$ with $E_{i}^{2}=-2$ and

$$
-E_{0}=E_{\text {fund }}=E_{1}+2 E_{2}+2 E_{3}+E_{4}+E_{5}
$$

Then $E_{0} E_{2}=E_{1} E_{2}=E_{2} E_{3}=E_{3} E_{4}=E_{3} E_{5}=1$, and all other $E_{i} E_{j}=0$. Hence $\left(m_{1}^{\text {SING }}, \ldots, m_{5}^{\text {SING }}\right)=(1,2,2,1,1)$, as indicated in Figure 2.


Figure 2. The Dynkin diagrams $D_{5}$ and $\widetilde{D}_{5}$
1.4. McKay correspondence. Any simple singularity is a quotient singularity by a finite subgroup $G$ of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, and so has a corresponding Dynkin diagram of exceptional set. McKay [McKay80] showed how one can recover the same graph purely in terms of the representation theory of $G$, without passing through the geometry of the germ $\left(\mathbb{A}^{2} / G, 0\right)$.

To be more precise, let $G$ be a finite subgroup of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. Clearly, $G$ has a two-dimensional representation, which maps $G$ injectively into $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$; we call this the natural representation $\rho_{\text {nat }}$. Let $\operatorname{Irr}_{*} G$, respectively $\operatorname{Irr} G$, be the set of all equivalence classes of irreducible representations, respectively nontrivial ones. (Caution: note that this goes against the familiar notation of group theory.) Thus by definition, $\operatorname{Irr}_{*} G=\operatorname{Irr} G \cup\left\{\rho_{0}\right\}$, where $\rho_{0}$ is the one-dimensional trivial representation. Any representation of $G$ over $\mathbb{C}$ is completely reducible, that is, is a direct sum of irreducible representations up to equivalence. Therefore for any $\rho \in \operatorname{Irr}_{*} G$, we have

$$
\rho \otimes \rho_{\mathrm{nat}}=\sum_{\rho^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Irr}_{*} G} a_{\rho, \rho^{\prime}} \rho^{\prime},
$$

where $a_{\rho, \rho^{\prime}}$ are certain nonnegative integers. In our situation, we see that $a_{\rho, \rho^{\prime}}=0$ or 1 (except for the case $A_{1}$, when $a_{\rho, \rho^{\prime}}=2$ ).

Let us look at the example $D_{5}$, the case of the binary dihedral group $G:=$ $\mathbb{D}_{3}$ of order 12 . The group $G$ is generated by $\sigma$ and $\tau$ :

$$
\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\epsilon & 0 \\
0 & \epsilon^{-1}
\end{array}\right), \quad \tau=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { where } \epsilon=e^{2 \pi i / 6}
$$

We note that $\operatorname{Tr}(\sigma)=1, \operatorname{Tr}(\tau)=0$, hence in this case, the natural representation is $\rho_{2}$ in Table 2.

Definition 1.5. The graph $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text {GROUP }}(G)$ is defined to be the graph consisting of vertices $v(\rho)$ for $\rho \in \operatorname{Irr}_{*} G$, and simple edges connecting any pair of vertices $v(\rho)$ and $v\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)$ with $a_{\rho, \rho^{\prime}}=1$. We denote by $\Gamma_{\text {GROUP }}(G)$ the full subgraph of

| $\rho$ | $\operatorname{Tr} \rho$ | 1 | $\sigma$ | $\tau$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\rho_{0}$ | $\chi_{0}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\rho_{1}$ | $\chi_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | -1 |
| $\rho_{2}$ | $\chi_{2}$ | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| $\rho_{3}$ | $\chi_{3}$ | 2 | -1 | 0 |
| $\rho_{4}$ | $\chi_{4}$ | 1 | -1 | $i$ |
| $\rho_{5}$ | $\chi_{5}$ | 1 | -1 | $-i$ |

Table 2. Character table of $\mathbb{D}_{3}$ (of type $D_{5}$ )
$\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text {GROUP }}(G)$ consisting of the vertices $v(\rho)$ for $\rho \in \operatorname{Irr} G$ and all the edges between them.

For example, let us look at the $D_{5}$ case. Let $\chi_{j}:=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{j}\right)$ be the character of $\rho_{j}$. Then from Table 2 we see that

$$
\chi_{2}(g) \chi_{\mathrm{nat}}(g)=\chi_{2}(g) \chi_{2}(g)=\chi_{0}(g)+\chi_{1}(g)+\chi_{3}(g), \quad \text { for } g=1, \sigma \text { or } \tau .
$$

Hence $\chi_{2} \chi_{\text {nat }}=\chi_{0}+\chi_{1}+\chi_{3}$. General representation theory says that an irreducible representation of $G$ is uniquely determined up to equivalence by its character. Therefore $\rho_{2} \otimes \rho_{\text {nat }}=\rho_{0}+\rho_{1}+\rho_{3}$. Hence $a_{\rho_{2}, \rho_{j}}=1$ for $j=0,1,3$ and $a_{\rho_{2}, \rho_{j}}=0$ for $j=2,4,5$. Similarly, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{0} \chi_{\text {nat }}=\chi_{2}, \quad \chi_{1} \chi_{\text {nat }}=\chi_{2}, \\
& \chi_{3} \chi_{\text {nat }}=\chi_{2}+\chi_{4}+\chi_{5}, \\
& \chi_{4} \chi_{\text {nat }}=\chi_{3} \quad \text { and } \quad \chi_{5} \chi_{\text {nat }}=\chi_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this way we obtain a graph - the extended Dynkin diagram $\widetilde{D}_{5}$ of Figure 3. Thus we see that there are two completely different ways to obtain the same extended Dynkin diagram $\widetilde{D}_{5}$ as $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text {SING }}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2} / G, 0\right)$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text {GROUP }}(G)$, while $D_{5}$ as $\Gamma_{\text {SING }}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2} / G, 0\right)$ and $\Gamma_{\text {GROUP }}(G)$.


Figure 3. $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text {GROUP }}\left(\mathbb{D}_{3}\right)$
The same is true in the other cases. Namely the two graphs $\Gamma_{\text {SING }}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2} / G, 0\right)$ and $\Gamma_{\text {GROUP }}(G)$ turn out to be one of the Dynkin diagrams ADE and coincide with each other, while both $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text {SING }}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2} / G, 0\right)$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text {GROUP }}(G)$ are the corresponding extended Dynkin diagram (See Figure 4). It is also interesting to note that the degrees of the characters $\operatorname{deg} \rho_{j}=\chi_{j}(1)$ are equal to the multiplicities of the fundamental cycle we computed in section 1.3.

This is the second observation of McKay that we are going to discuss in this article.


Figure 4. The extended Dynkin diagrams and representations

## 2. The $G$-orbit Hilbert schemes

2.1. Hilbert schemes. The Hilbert scheme of a given projective (or quasiprojective) scheme $X$ is the scheme parametrizing all the subschemes of $X$. More precisely, let $X$ be a projective scheme embedded in a projective space $\mathbb{P}^{N}$, and $L$ the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(1)$ to $X$. The Hilbert scheme is the scheme representing the functor

$$
\operatorname{Hilb}_{X}: S \mapsto\{\text { flat families } Y \text { of subschemes of } X \text { over } S\} .
$$

The Euler-Poincaré characteristic $P(m):=\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{q} h^{q}\left(Y_{s}, L_{Y_{s}}^{\otimes m}\right)$ (called the Hilbert polynomial) of the sheaf $L_{Y_{s}}^{\otimes m}$ is constant on each connected component of $S$. Therefore the Hilbert scheme decomposes as the disjoint union of open subsets labelled by Hilbert polynomials.

The point set $\operatorname{Hilb}_{X}^{P}$ classifies the subschemes of $X$ with Hilbert polynomial equal to $P$. Let $U \subset X$ be an open subscheme. Then $\operatorname{Hilb}_{U}$ is an open subscheme of $\operatorname{Hilb}_{X}$ consisting of the subschemes of $X$ whose supports are contained in $U$. This means that $\operatorname{Hilb}_{U}^{P}$ is empty or an open subscheme of $\operatorname{Hilb}_{X}^{P}$ for a fixed Hilbert polynomial $P$.
2.2. The Hilbert scheme of $n$ points. In what follows we denote $\operatorname{Hilb}_{X}^{P}$ by $\operatorname{Hilb}^{P}(X)$. Write $S^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ for the $n$th symmetric product of the affine plane $\mathbb{A}^{2}$. This is by definition the quotient of the products of $n$ copies of $\mathbb{A}^{2}$ by the natural permutation action of the symmetric group $S_{n}$ on $n$ letters. It is the set of formal sums of $n$ points, in other words, the set of unordered $n$-tuples of points. Let $P(m)=n$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, namely let $P(m)$ be a constant polynomial. We call $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right):=\operatorname{Hilb}^{P}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ the Hilbert scheme of $n$ points in $\mathbb{A}^{2}$. It is a quasiprojective scheme of dimension $2 n$. Any $Z \in \operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ is a zero-dimensional subscheme with $h^{0}\left(Z, \mathcal{O}_{Z}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z}\right)=n$. Suppose that $Z$ is reduced. Then $Z$ is the union of $n$ distinct points. Since being reduced is an open and generic condition, $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ contains a Zariski open subset consisting of formal sums of $n$ distinct points.

We have a natural morphism $\pi$ from $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ onto $S^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ defined by

$$
\pi: Z \mapsto \sum_{p \in \operatorname{Supp}(Z)} \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z, p}\right) p
$$

which is called the Hilbert-Chow morphism. One of the most remarkable features of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ is the following result.

Theorem 2.3 ([Fogarty68]). $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ is a smooth quasi-projective scheme, and the Hilbert-Chow morphism $\pi: \operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right) \rightarrow S^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ is a resolution of singularities of the symmetric product.

We note that smoothness of $\operatorname{Hilb}{ }^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ is peculiar to $\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{A}^{2}=2$.
2.4. The $G$-orbit Hilbert scheme. For any finite subgroup $G$ of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ of order $n$, we consider the Hilbert-Chow morphism $\pi$ from $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ onto $S^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$. Since the morphism $\pi: \operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right) \rightarrow S^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ is $G$-equivariant, we have a natural morphism between $G$-fixed point loci. We note that the $G$ fixed point set of $S^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ is nothing but $\mathbb{A}^{2} / G$ because $n=|G|$. The $G$-fixed point set $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)^{G}$ in $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ is always nonsingular, but could a priori be disconnected. There is however a unique irreducible component of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)^{G}$ dominating $S^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)^{G}$, which we denote by $\operatorname{Hilb}{ }^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ and call it the $G$-orbit Hilbert scheme. It is a $G$-invariant subscheme of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ that parametrizes all smoothable $G$-invariant subschemes of length $|G|$.

Now we recall the following theorem proved in [IN99].
Theorem 2.5. Let $G \subset \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ be a finite subgroup of order $n$. Then there is a unique irreducible component $\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ of $\operatorname{Hilb}{ }^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)^{G}$ dominating $\mathbb{A}^{2} / G$,
which is a minimal resolution of $\mathbb{A}^{2} / G$. In particular, the dualizing sheaf of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ is trivial.
Proof. Any point of $S^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)^{G} \backslash\{0\}$ is a $G$-orbit of a point $0 \neq \mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{A}^{2}$, which is a reduced zero-dimensional subscheme invariant under $G$. It follows that $\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ is isomorphic to $S^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)^{G}\left(\simeq \mathbb{A}^{2} / G\right)$ over $\mathrm{S}^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)^{G} \backslash\{0\}$ under the Hilbert-Chow morphism. Hence $\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ is birationally equivalent to $\left.\mathbb{A}^{2} / G\right)$, so that it is a resolution of $\mathbb{A}^{2} / G$. Moreover by [Fujiki83], Proposition 2.6, $\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ inherits a canonical holomorphic symplectic structure from $\operatorname{Hilb}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hilb} b^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{A}^{2} / G=2$, this implies that the dualizing sheaf of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ is trivial. This proves the theorem.

We denote the natural morphism from $\operatorname{Hilb}{ }^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ onto $\mathbb{A}^{2} / G$ by the same letter $\pi$. There are two corollaries to Theorem 2.5, useful for explicit computations. We only quote these from [IN99]. See [IN99] for proofs.

Corollary 2.6. Let $G$ be a finite subgroup of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, and $I$ an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^{2}}$ with $I \in \operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ (to be exact, the subscheme defined by $I$ belonging to $\left.\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)\right)$. Then as $G$-modules $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^{2}} / I \simeq \mathbb{C}[G] \simeq \sum_{\rho}(\operatorname{deg} \rho) \rho$, the group algebra (the regular representation).

Corollary 2.7. Let $I$ be an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^{2}}$ with $I \in \operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$. Any $G$ invariant function vanishing at the origin is contained in $I$.

## 3. Theorems

3.1. A link from $\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ to McKay correspondence. For any finite subgroup $G$ of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ of order $n$, the $G$-orbit Hilbert scheme $\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ is a minimal resolution of the simple singularity $S_{G}:=\mathbb{A}^{2} / G$. Let $\pi: \operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right) \rightarrow S_{G}$ be the natural morphism.

Let $S:=\mathbb{C}[x, y]$. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the ideal of $S$ generated by $x$ and $y, \mathfrak{n}$ the ideal of $S$ generated by all the $G$-invariant polynomials vanishing at the origin. A point $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\operatorname{Hilb}{ }^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ is a $G$-invariant zero-dimensional subscheme $Z$ of $\mathbb{A}^{2}$. Since $\mathbb{A}^{2}$ is affine, we can associate to it the $G$-invariant ideal $I:=I_{Z}$ of $S=$ $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ defining $Z$ with $S / I \simeq \mathbb{C}[G]$, the regular representation of $G$. Thus often we write $I \in \operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ instead of $Z \in \operatorname{Hilb}{ }^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ or $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$. We also have the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow S \rightarrow \Gamma\left(Z, \mathcal{O}_{Z}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Any point of the exceptional set $E$ of $\pi$ is a $G$-invariant zero-dimensional subscheme $Z$ of $\mathbb{A}^{2}$ with support the origin. In other words, the ideal $I$ of $S$ defining $Z$ is an infinite-dimensional $G$-module contained in $\mathfrak{m}$. By deriving a finite-dimensional $G$-module from it naturally, we will give the McKay correspondence as described in section 1. We shall introduce the McKay quiver (in the quotient of the coinvariant algebra) and subquivers so as to understand the natural stratification of $\operatorname{Hilb}{ }^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$. Then the corresponding extended

Dynkin diagram emerges naturally (See Figure 6) by extending the McKay quiver in the polynomial ring. We also have a natural irreducible decomposition of a certain universal coherent sheaf on $\operatorname{Hilb}{ }^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$. This somewhat sharpens the McKay correspondence (Theorem 3.9).
3.2. A stratification of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ by $\operatorname{Irr} G$. Let $G$ be a finite subgroup of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. In what follows we assume that $G$ is not cyclic because $A_{n}$-case is much easier. As in section 1.4, we write $\operatorname{Irr} G$ for the set of all the equivalence classes of nontrivial irreducible $G$-modules, and $\operatorname{Irr}_{*} G$ for the union of $\operatorname{Irr} G$ and the trivial one-dimensional $G$-module.

Let $E$ the exceptional set of the minimal resolution, $\operatorname{Irr} E$ the set of irreducible components of $E$.

Any $I \in X$ contained in $E$ is a $G$-invariant ideal of $S$ which contains $\mathfrak{n}$ by Corollary 2.7. For any $\rho, \rho^{\prime}$, and $\rho^{\prime \prime} \in \operatorname{Irr} G$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
V(I) & :=I /(\mathfrak{m} I+\mathfrak{n}), \\
E(\rho) & :=\left\{I \in \operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right) ; V(I) \supset \rho\right\}, \\
P\left(\rho, \rho^{\prime}\right) & :=\left\{I \in \operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right) ; V(I) \supset \rho \oplus \rho^{\prime}\right\}, \\
Q\left(\rho, \rho^{\prime}, \rho^{\prime \prime}\right) & :=\left\{I \in \operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right) ; V(I) \supset \rho \oplus \rho^{\prime} \oplus \rho^{\prime \prime}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Any nonempty stratum will be described in a simple manner by using Dynkin diagrams with directed edges, called McKay subquivers. See subsection 4.8, Figures 7-12.

Definition 3.3. Two irreducible $G$-modules $\rho$ and $\rho^{\prime}$ are said to be adjacent if $\rho \otimes \rho_{\text {nat }} \supset \rho^{\prime}$ or vice versa.

The Dynkin diagram $\Gamma(\operatorname{Irr} G)$ of $\operatorname{Irr} G$ is the graph whose vertices are $\operatorname{Irr} G$, with $\rho$ and $\rho^{\prime}$ joined by a simple edge if and only if $\rho$ and $\rho^{\prime}$ are adjacent.

Then the following theorem was proved in [IN99].
Theorem 3.4. Let $G$ be a finite subgroup of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. Then
(1) the map $\rho \mapsto E(\rho)$ is a bijective correspondence between $\operatorname{Irr} G$ and $\operatorname{Irr} E$;
(2) $E(\rho)$ is a smooth rational curve with $E(\rho)^{2}=-2$ for any $\rho \in \operatorname{Irr} G$;
(3) $P\left(\rho, \rho^{\prime}\right) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\rho$ and $\rho^{\prime}$ are adjacent. In this case $P\left(\rho, \rho^{\prime}\right)$ is a single (reduced) point, at which $E(\rho)$ and $E\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)$ intersect transversally;
(4) $P(\rho, \rho)=Q\left(\rho, \rho^{\prime}, \rho^{\prime \prime}\right)=\emptyset$ for any $\rho, \rho^{\prime}, \rho^{\prime \prime} \in \operatorname{Irr} G$.

By Theorem 3.4, (3), $\Gamma(\operatorname{Irr} G)$ is the same as the dual graph $\Gamma(\operatorname{Irr} E)$ of $E$, in other words, the Dynkin diagram of the singularity $\left(\mathbb{A}^{2} / G, 0\right)$.

In what follows we assume that $G$ is not cyclic. We define nonnegative integers $d(\rho)$ for any $\rho \in \operatorname{Irr} G$ as follows. Since $G$ is not cyclic, $\Gamma(\operatorname{Irr} G)$ is star-shaped with a unique centre. For any $\rho \in \operatorname{Irr} G$, we define $d(\rho)$ to be the distance from the vertex $\rho$ to the centre, where $d(\rho)=0$ for the centre $\rho$. It is obvious that $d(\rho)=d\left(\rho^{\prime}\right) \pm 1$ if $\rho$ and $\rho^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Irr} G$ are adjacent.

Let $S=\mathbb{C}[x, y]$. For any positive integer $k$ let $S_{k}$ be the subspace of homogeneous polynomials of degree $k$ in $S$. We say that a $G$-submodule $W$ of $\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{n}$ is homogeneous of degree $k$ if it is generated over $\mathbb{C}$ by homogeneous polynomials of degree $k$. The $G$-module $\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{n}$ splits as a direct sum of irreducible homogeneous $G$-modules. If $W$ is a direct sum of homogeneous $G$-submodules, then we denote the homogeneous part of $W$ of degree $k$ by $S_{k}(W)$. For any $G$-module $W$ in some $S_{k}(\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{n})$, we write $S_{j} \cdot W$ for the $G$ submodule of $S_{k+j}(\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{n})$ generated over $\mathbb{C}$ by the products of $S_{j}(\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{n})$ and $W$. We denote by $W[\rho]$ the $\rho$ factor of $W$, that is, the sum of all the copies of $\rho$ in $W$; and similarly, we denote by $[W: \rho]$ the multiplicity of $\rho \in \operatorname{Irr} G$ in a $G$-module $W$.

Definition 3.5. The quotient algebra $S / \mathfrak{n}$ is called the coinvariant algebra of $G$, denoted by $\operatorname{Coinv}(G)$ (or denoted by $\operatorname{Coinv}\left(\right.$ the type of $S_{G}$ ) with the notation of Table 1). Let $h$ be the Coxeter number of the simple singularity $S_{G}$. Then we define the very positive part $S^{\dagger}:=S^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{n})$ of $S / \mathfrak{n}$ to be

$$
S^{\dagger}:=\sum_{k>\frac{h}{2}+d(\rho), \rho \in \operatorname{Irr} G} S_{k}(\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{n})[\rho] .
$$

We also define the McKay quiver of $G$ by

$$
S_{\mathrm{McKay}}(G)=\sum_{\rho \in \operatorname{Irr} G} S_{\frac{h}{2} \pm d(\rho)}(\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{n})[\rho]+S^{\dagger} / S^{\dagger}
$$

which we also denote by $S_{\text {McKay }}\left(\right.$ the type of $\left.S_{G}\right)$. We also define $V_{k}(\rho)=$ $S_{k}(\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{n})[\rho]$ and $V(\rho)=S_{\text {McKay }}(G)[\rho]$.

The following Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.7 describe the structure of the McKay quiver $S_{\text {McKay }}(G)$. See [IN99] for the proofs.

Lemma 3.6. Let $\varphi_{i}$ be three generators of $G$-invariants, $d_{i}=\operatorname{deg} \varphi_{i}$ and $h$ the Coxeter number of the simple singularity $S_{G}$. Then $d_{1}+d_{2}=d_{3}+2$ and $d_{3}=h$, and moreover $S_{k}(\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{n})=0$ for $k \geq d_{3}$.

Lemma 3.7. Assume that $G$ is not cyclic. Let $h$ be the Coxeter number of the simple singularity $S_{G}$. Then as $G$-modules, we have
(1) $\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{n}=\sum_{\rho \in \operatorname{IrrG}} 2(\operatorname{deg} \rho) \rho$;
(2) $S_{\mathrm{McKay}}(G) \simeq \sum_{\rho \in \operatorname{Irr} G} 2 \rho$;
(3) $V_{\frac{h}{2}-d(\rho)}(\rho) \simeq V_{\frac{h}{2}+d(\rho)}(\rho)=\rho$ if $d(\rho) \geq 1$ and $V_{\frac{h}{2}}(\rho)=\rho^{\oplus 2}$ if $d(\rho)=0$;
(4) $S_{\frac{h}{2}-k}^{2}(\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{n}) \simeq \stackrel{\Sigma}{S}_{\frac{h}{2}+k}(\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{n})$ for any $k$.

Using this notation we see by Lemma 3.7, (2) that $V(\rho)=V_{\frac{h}{2}-d(\rho)}(\rho)+$ $V_{\frac{h}{2}+d(\rho)}(\rho) \simeq \rho^{\oplus 2}$ and that the subset $E(\rho)$ is $\mathbb{P}(V(\rho))$, the set of all nontrivial $G$-submodules of $\rho^{\oplus 2}$. This is isomorphic to the projective line, or a smooth rational curve by Schur's lemma. This proves Theorem 3.4, (2).
3.8. The ideals $\mathfrak{n}_{X}$ and $\pi^{*} \overline{\mathfrak{m}}$. Since $\left(\mathbb{A}^{2} / G\right) \times_{\left(\mathbb{A}^{2} / G\right)} X \simeq X, X$ is a closed subscheme of $\left(\mathbb{A}^{2} / G\right) \times X$, which is defined by an ideal $I_{X}$ of $O_{\mathbb{A}^{2} / G} \otimes O_{X}$. Let $\mathfrak{n}_{X}$ be the ideal of $O_{\mathbb{A}^{2}} \otimes O_{X}$ generated by $I_{X}$. Let $Z_{\text {univ }}$ be the universal subscheme of $\mathbb{A}^{2} \times X$, and $I_{\text {univ }}$ the ideal sheaf of $O_{\mathbb{A}^{2} \times X}$ defining $Z_{\text {univ }}$. Since we have a commutative diagram

the morphism $\phi \times \operatorname{id}_{X}: \mathbb{A}^{2} \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{2} / G \times X$ sends $Z_{\text {univ }}$ into $\left(\mathbb{A}^{2} / G\right) \times{ }_{\left(\mathbb{A}^{2} / G\right)}$ $X \simeq X$. This implies that $\mathfrak{n}_{X}=I_{X} O_{\mathbb{A}^{2}} \otimes O_{X} \subset I_{\text {univ }}$. Now we define

$$
\mathcal{V}:=V\left(I_{\text {univ }}\right)=I_{\text {univ }} / \mathfrak{m} I_{\text {univ }}+\mathfrak{n}_{X} .
$$

Let $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}$ be the maximal ideal of $O_{\mathbb{A}^{2} / G}$ of the unique singular point. We note $\mathfrak{n}=\Gamma\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}, \phi^{*} \overline{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$. We also note $\pi^{*}(\overline{\mathfrak{m}}) \cap I_{\text {univ }}=\{0\}$. In fact, suppose $\pi^{*}(F) \in I_{\text {univ }}$. Then $\pi^{*}(F)=0$ on $Z_{\text {univ }}$. Since $Z_{\text {univ }}$ is surjective over $\mathbb{A}^{2} / G$, $F=0$. It follows $\pi^{*}(\overline{\mathfrak{m}}) \cap I_{\text {univ }}=\{0\}$.

Since $\pi^{*} \overline{\mathfrak{m}}:=\overline{\mathfrak{m}} O_{X}$ is the defining ideal of $E_{\text {fund }}$ by [Artin66], we see that $\mathcal{V}$ is a finite $O_{E_{\text {fund }}}$-module. See section 6 for the proof. However we prove a little stronger

Theorem 3.9. The $O_{\mathbb{A}^{2} \times_{\mathbb{C}} X^{-}}$module $\mathcal{V}$ is a finite $O_{E}$-module; as an $O_{\mathbb{A}^{2} \times_{\mathbb{C}} X^{-}}$ module with $G$-action, we have an isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{V} \simeq \bigoplus_{\rho \in \operatorname{Irr} G} \rho \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} O_{E(\rho)}(-1)
$$

In section 6 we will prove Theorem 3.9. In order to prepare for the proof of Theorem 3.9, we recall in section 4 the proof of Theorem 3.4 and related constructions in the case of $D_{5}$ in full detail. In the cases $D_{n}(n \neq 5)$ and $E_{6}$ we give only a sketch of proofs of Theorem 3.9. Though we give almost no proof for $E_{7}$ because we need more notation, we remark that we can prove it in the same manner. It remains to check $E_{8}$.

## 4. The Simple Singularity $D_{5}$

In this section we explain the case of $D_{5}$ in full detail.
4.1. The binary dihedral group $\mathbb{D}_{3}$. The simple singularity $D_{5}$ is the quotient singularity of $\mathbb{A}^{2}$ by the binary dihedral group $G:=\mathbb{D}_{3}$ of order 12 , which is generated by $\sigma$ and $\tau$ :

$$
\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\epsilon & 0 \\
0 & \epsilon^{-1}
\end{array}\right), \quad \tau=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $\epsilon:=e^{2 \pi i / 6}$. We have $\sigma^{6}=\tau^{4}=1, \sigma^{3}=\tau^{2}$ and $\tau \sigma \tau^{-1}=\sigma^{-1}$. The ring of $G$-invariants in $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ is generated by three elements $A_{6}:=x^{6}+y^{6}$,
$A_{8}:=x y\left(x^{6}-y^{6}\right)$ and $A_{4}:=x^{2} y^{2}$. The quotient $\mathbb{A}^{2} / G$ is isomorphic to the hypersurface $4 A_{4}^{4}+A_{8}^{2}-A_{4} A_{6}^{2}=0$.

| $k$ | $S_{k}$ | $S_{k}(S / \mathfrak{n})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $\rho_{0}$ | $\rho_{0}$ |
| 1 | $\rho_{2}$ | $\rho_{2}$ |
| 2 | $\rho_{1}+\rho_{3}$ | $\left(\rho_{1}\right)+\rho_{3}$ |
| 3 | $\rho_{2}+\rho_{4}+\rho_{5}$ | $\left(\rho_{2}+\rho_{4}+\rho_{5}\right)$ |
| 4 | $\left[\rho_{0}\right]+2 \rho_{3}$ | $\left(2 \rho_{3}\right)$ |
| 5 | $2 \rho_{2}+\rho_{4}+\rho_{5}$ | $\left(\rho_{2}+\rho_{4}+\rho_{5}\right)$ |
| 6 | $\left[\rho_{0}\right]+2 \rho_{1}+2 \rho_{3}$ | $\left(\rho_{1}\right)+\rho_{3}$ |
| 7 | $3 \rho_{2}+\rho_{4}+\rho_{5}$ | $\rho_{2}$ |

Table 3. Irreducible decompositions of $S$ and $\operatorname{Coinv}\left(D_{5}\right)$

| $k$ | $V_{k}(\rho) \subset S_{k}(S / \mathfrak{n})$ | equiv.class |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $\{1\}_{\rho_{0}}$ | $\rho_{0}$ |
| 1 | $\{x, y\}_{\rho_{2}}$ | $\rho_{2}$ |
| 2 | $\{x y\}_{\rho_{1}} \oplus\left\{x^{2}, y^{2}\right\}_{\rho_{3}}$ | $\left(\rho_{1}\right)+\rho_{3}$ |
| 3 | $\left\{x^{2} y,-x y^{2}\right\}_{\rho_{2}}$ | $\left(\rho_{2}+\rho_{4}+\rho_{5}\right)$ |
|  | $\oplus\left\{x^{3}+i y^{3}\right\}_{\rho_{4} \oplus\left\{x^{3}-i y^{3}\right\}_{\rho_{5}}}$ |  |
| 4 | $\left\{y^{4}, x^{4}\right\}_{\rho_{3}} \oplus\left\{x^{3} y,-x y^{3}\right\}_{\rho_{3}}$ | $\left(2 \rho_{3}\right)$ |
| 5 | $\left\{y^{5},-x^{5}\right\}_{\rho_{2}}$ | $\left(\rho_{2}+\rho_{4}+\rho_{5}\right)$ |
|  | $\oplus\left\{x y\left(x^{3}-i y^{3}\right)\right\}_{\rho_{4}} \oplus\left\{x y\left(x^{3}+i y^{3}\right)\right\}_{\rho_{5}}$ |  |
| 6 | $\left\{x^{6}-y^{6}\right\}_{\rho_{1}} \oplus\left\{x y^{5},-x^{5} y\right\}_{\rho_{3}}$ | $\left(\rho_{1}\right)+\rho_{3}$ |
| 7 | $\left\{x y^{6}, x^{6} y\right\}_{\rho_{2}}$ | $\rho_{2}$ |

Table 4. $\operatorname{Coinv}\left(D_{5}\right)$

| degree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| equiv.class | $\rho_{2}$ | $\left(\rho_{1}\right)+\rho_{3}$ | $\left(\rho_{2}+\rho_{4}+\rho_{5}\right)$ |  |
| degree | 7 | 6 | 5 | $\left(2 \rho_{3}\right)$ |
| equiv.class | $\rho_{2}$ | $\left(\rho_{1}\right)+\rho_{3}$ | $\left(\rho_{2}+\rho_{4}+\rho_{5}\right)$ |  |

Table 5. Dual pairs of $\operatorname{Coinv}\left(D_{5}\right)$
4.2. The McKay quiver, tables and figures. We consider the case of $D_{5}$. By an elementary computation we have the irreducible decomposition of the coinvariant algebra Coinv $\left(D_{5}\right)$ in Table 3. As Tables 3-5 indicate, $\operatorname{Coinv}\left(D_{5}\right)$ consists of dual pairs. The McKay quiver $S_{\text {McKay }}\left(D_{5}\right)$ of $\operatorname{Coinv}\left(D_{5}\right)$, that is, the irreducible factors in the parentheses in Tables 3-5 consist of dual pairs, exactly one pair for each equivalence class $\rho \in \operatorname{Irr} G$ except $\rho_{3}$, while $V_{4}\left(\rho_{3}\right)=2 \rho_{3}$ is self-dual.


Figure 5. The McKay quiver of $D_{5}$


Figure 6. The extended McKay quiver of $D_{5}$
The coinvariant algebra $\operatorname{Coinv}\left(D_{5}\right)$ admits a quiver structure induced from multiplication of the symmetric algebra. This induces a quiver structure on $S_{\text {McKay }}\left(D_{5}\right)$, which we call the McKay quiver of $D_{5}$. It yields naturally a corresponding Dynkin diagram of the minimal resolution $X:=\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$, as we see later. In other words, the McKay quiver of $D_{5}$ gives the Dynkin diagram $D_{5}$ of the exceptional set $E$ of $X$ by replacing each pair of arrows
by an edge. To describe the strata of $E$ precisely we also introduce the subquivers $\operatorname{Quiv}(\rho)$ and $\operatorname{Quiv}\left(\rho, \rho^{\prime}\right)$, which are Dynkin diagrams with certain directed edges. See Figures 7-16. These enable us to specify which of the $G$-invariants $A_{4}, A_{6}$ and $A_{8}$ generates the ideal $\pi^{*}(\mathfrak{n})$ along each $E(\rho)$.

Moreover in order to obtain the extended Dynkin diagram $\widetilde{D}_{5}$ it seems natural to add to Figure 5 the $G$-submodules $V_{4}\left(\rho_{0}\right):=S_{4}\left[\rho_{0}\right]$ and $V_{6}\left(\rho_{0}\right):=$ $S_{6}\left[\rho_{0}\right]$ as in Figure 6, where $V_{4}\left(\rho_{0}\right)$ and $V_{6}\left(\rho_{0}\right)$, the parts of Table 3 in the bracket, are generated by the generators $A_{4}=x^{2} y^{2}$ and $A_{6}=x^{6}+y^{6}$ of $G$-invariants respectively.

We note that the arrows between $\rho_{2}$ to $\rho_{0}$ are exceptional in the sense that both the arrows between them are directed from $\rho_{2}$ to $\rho_{0}$, while in any other cases, say for $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$, both directions are taken by arrows between them. As its consequence $\operatorname{deg} V_{4}\left(\rho_{0}\right)+\operatorname{deg} V_{6}\left(\rho_{0}\right)=10>8=2+6=3+5=4+4$, the sum of degrees for the other pairs or the Coxeter number of $D_{5}$.

### 4.3. The subset $E\left(\rho_{1}\right)$.

4.3.1. We first classify $I \in E\left(\rho_{1}\right)$. Now we recall

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)=\{x y\}, \quad V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)=\left\{x^{6}-y^{6}\right\} \\
& V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)=\left\{x^{2} y, x y^{2}\right\}, \quad V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)=\left\{x^{5}, y^{5}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For any nonzero $G$-submodule $W$ of $V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \oplus V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$ with $W \neq V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, let $I(W):=S W+\mathfrak{n}$ where $S=\mathbb{C}[x, y]$. The $G$-module $W$ is generated by $x y+t\left(x^{6}-y^{6}\right)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{C}$. Then since $S_{8} \subset \mathfrak{n}$ by Lemma 3.6, we see

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{2} W+\mathfrak{n}=S_{2} \cdot\left(x y+t\left(x^{6}-y^{6}\right)\right)+\mathfrak{n}=S_{2} \cdot x y+\mathfrak{n} \\
S_{k} W+\mathfrak{n}=S_{k} V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)+\mathfrak{n} \quad \text { for any } k \geq 2
\end{gathered}
$$

By Table 4, we see in $S / \mathfrak{n}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{2} V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)=\left\{x^{3} y, x^{2} y^{2}, x y^{3}\right\}=\left\{x^{3} y,-x y^{3}\right\}=V_{4}\left(\rho_{3}\right), \\
& S_{3} V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)=\left\{x^{4} y, x y^{4}\right\}=V_{5}\left(\rho_{4}\right) \oplus V_{5}\left(\rho_{5}\right), \\
& S_{4} V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)=V_{6}\left(\rho_{3}\right), S_{5} V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)=V_{7}\left(\rho_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
S_{1} W+S_{5} W+\mathfrak{n}=S_{1} V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)+S_{5} V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)+\mathfrak{n}
$$

Hence we see

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(W) / \mathfrak{n} & =W+\sum_{k=1}^{5} S_{k} W+\mathfrak{n} / \mathfrak{n}=W+\sum_{k=1}^{5} S_{k} V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)+\mathfrak{n} / \mathfrak{n} \\
& \simeq W+\rho_{2}+\rho_{3}+\left(\rho_{4}+\rho_{5}\right)+\rho_{3}+\rho_{2} \simeq \sum_{\rho \in \operatorname{Irr} G} \operatorname{deg}(\rho) \rho .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have $S / I(W) \simeq S / \mathfrak{n} \ominus I(W) / \mathfrak{n} \simeq \sum_{\rho \in \operatorname{Irr}_{*} G} \operatorname{deg}(\rho) \rho$. Hence $I(W)$ belongs to $\operatorname{Hilb}{ }^{|G|}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)^{G-\text { inv }}$.
4.3.2. Next we prove that $I\left(V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right)$ belongs to $\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$. For this purpose we consider local deformations of $I\left(V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right)$ in $\operatorname{Hilb}{ }^{|G|}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)^{G-\text { inv }}$. Let $I=I\left(V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right)$. Then $I=x y S+A_{6} S$ because $\mathfrak{n}$ is generated by $A_{4}=x^{2} y^{2}$, $A_{6}=x^{6}+y^{6}$ and $A_{8}=x y\left(x^{6}-y^{6}\right)$. General deformation theory says that $G$-equivariant local deformations of $I$ are captured by the $\rho_{0}$-part of $\operatorname{Hom}_{S}\left(I / I^{2}, S / I\right)$. We see

$$
(S / I)\left[\rho_{1}\right]=\left\{x y, x^{6}-y^{6}\right\}=V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)+V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right),(S / I)\left[\rho_{0}\right]=\{1\}=\mathbb{C} .
$$

Since $I / I^{2}$ is generated by the elements $x y$ and $A_{6}=x^{6}+y^{6}$, the $G$-module $\operatorname{Hom}_{S}\left(I / I^{2}, S / I\right)\left[\rho_{0}\right]$ is spanned by the elements $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ :

$$
\psi_{1}(x y)=x^{6}-y^{6}, \psi_{1}\left(A_{6}\right)=0, \psi_{2}(x y)=0, \psi_{2}\left(A_{6}\right)=1 .
$$

In fact, let $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{S}\left(I / I^{2}, S / I\right)\left[\rho_{0}\right]$. Let $a=x y$ be a generator of $V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$. Then we may assume $\phi(a)=s\left(x^{6}-y^{6}\right)$. Then we have $\left(x^{6}-y^{6}\right) \phi(a)=$ $\phi\left(A_{8}\right)+s_{0} \phi\left(A_{6}^{2}-4 A_{4}^{3}\right)=\phi\left(A_{8}\right)$ because $A_{j} A_{k} \in I^{2}$, while $\left(x^{6}-y^{6}\right) \phi(a)=$ $s\left(A_{6}^{2}-4 A_{4}^{3}\right)=0$ in $S / I$ because $A_{k} \in I$. Hence $\phi\left(A_{8}\right)=0$. Moreover, $\phi\left(A_{4}\right)=\phi(x y a)=s A_{8}=0$. Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{S}\left(I / I^{2}, S / I\right)\left[\rho_{0}\right]$ is two-dimensional and $I$ is generated by $a$ and $A_{6}$, it follows that letting $\phi\left(A_{6}\right)=t$, then $\phi=s \psi_{1}+t \psi_{2}$, whence $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ span $\operatorname{Hom}_{S}\left(I / I^{2}, S / I\right)\left[\rho_{0}\right]$.

Thus the tangent space $T_{[I]}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}{ }^{|G|}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)\right)^{G-\text { inv }}$ at the point $[I]$ is spanned by $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. In other words, $s$ and $t$ are local (regular) parameters of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ at $I$. This implies the following. Let $\mathbb{C}[[s, t]]$ be the formal power series ring of two variables $s$ and $t$, and $R=\mathbb{C}[[s, t]][x, y]$. Now we define $\mathcal{I}$ to be the ideal of $R$ generated by the elements

$$
x y+t\left(x^{6}-y^{6}\right), A_{6}+s, A_{4}+t A_{8}, A_{8}+s^{2} t+4 t^{4} A_{8}^{3}
$$

Let $\phi_{1}(s, t)$ be a power series with initial term $-s^{2} t$ satisfying the equation

$$
\phi_{1}+s^{2} t+4 t^{4} \phi_{1}^{3}=0
$$

which comes from $A_{8}^{2}=A_{4} A_{6}^{2}-4 A_{4}^{4}$. The power series $\phi_{1}$ is uniquely determined by this property. Then $\mathcal{I}$ is also generated by the elements

$$
x y+t\left(x^{6}-y^{6}\right), A_{6}+s, A_{4}+t \phi_{1}(s, t), A_{8}-\phi_{1}(s, t) .
$$

We note that

$$
S / I=\bigoplus_{k=1}^{5}\left\{x^{k}, y^{k}\right\} \oplus\left\{x^{6}-y^{6}\right\}
$$

By the upper semi-continuity $R / \mathcal{I}$ is generated over $\mathbb{C}[[s, t]]$ by $S / I$ (regarded as a $G$-submodule of $S$ ). Then it is almost clear that $R / \mathcal{I}$ is a free $\mathbb{C}[[s, t]]$-module with the same basis $S / I$ because of the forms of the four generators of $\mathcal{I}$. Hence $R / \mathcal{I}$ gives a $\mathbb{C}[[s, t]]$-flat family of zero-dimensional subschemes deforming $Z:=\operatorname{Spec}(S / I)$. It is obvious that the support of the subscheme over $\mathbb{C}((s, t))$ is away from the origin (if necessary by restricting
the deformation to a small disc of the $(s, t)$ space near the origin in the complex topology). In other words, $Z$ is deformed into a $G$-invariant reduced subscheme of $\mathbb{A}^{2}$. Therefore $Z$, hence $I$, belongs to $\operatorname{Hilb}{ }^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$.
4.3.3. Since $I$ belongs to $\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$, any deformation $I^{\prime}$ of $I$ over a connected base belongs to $\operatorname{Hilb}{ }^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ because $\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ is connected. In particular, $I(W)$ defined above and all $I^{\prime}$ we are going to construct in this (sub)section belong to $\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$.
4.3.4. Next we consider the case where $W=V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$. Let $W_{\infty}=V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$ and $W_{t}=\left(x y+t\left(x^{6}-y^{6}\right)\right) S+\mathfrak{n}$. Then $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} W_{t}=W_{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{1}=\mathbb{P}\left(V\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right)=$ $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \oplus V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right)$. Now we compute $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} I\left(W_{t}\right)$ in $\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$. For any $t$, hence for $t=\infty$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I\left(W_{t}\right) / \mathfrak{n} & =W_{t}+\sum_{k=1}^{5} S_{k} W_{t}+\mathfrak{n} / \mathfrak{n}=W_{t}+\sum_{k=1}^{5} S_{k} V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)+\mathfrak{n} / \mathfrak{n} \\
I\left(W_{\infty}\right) / \mathfrak{n} & =V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{5} S_{k} V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)+\mathfrak{n} / \mathfrak{n} \simeq \sum_{\rho \in \operatorname{Irr} G} \operatorname{deg}(\rho) \rho
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $I\left(W_{\infty}\right)$ is a limit of $I\left(W_{t}\right)$, to be more precise, we have a flat family over $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ deforming $I\left(W_{t}\right)$ into $I\left(W_{\infty}\right)$, the limit $I\left(W_{\infty}\right)$ belongs to $\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$.

We note that

$$
V\left(I\left(W_{\infty}\right)\right)=V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)+S_{1} V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)=V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)+S_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)
$$

Hence $I\left(W_{\infty}\right)$ belongs to the subset $P\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$ of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$.
4.3.5. Now we shall prove the converse. Let $I \in \operatorname{Hilb}{ }^{|G|}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)^{G-\text { inv }}$. Suppose $S / I \simeq \mathbb{C}[G]$ and $\rho_{1} \subset V(I)$. Then $\mathfrak{n} \subset I$ by (the same reason as) Corollary 2.7. This implies that a nonzero $G$-submodule $W$ of $V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \oplus V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$ is contained in $V(I)$, and in $I$ as part of generators of $I$. If $W \neq V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$, then $I$ contains $I(W)$ defined above. Since $I(W) / \mathfrak{n} \simeq I / \mathfrak{n}$, we have $I=I(W)$. Suppose $W=W_{\infty}=V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$, or equivalently $V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \subset V(I)$. Since $W$ is part of generators of $I, V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$ is not contained in $I$. There are only $V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$ and $V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$ in $S(\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{n})\left[\rho_{2}\right]$. Hence $V(I) \supset\left\{x^{2} y+t y^{5}, x y^{2}-t x^{5}\right\}$ for some $t$, whence $t\left\{x^{6},-y^{6}\right\}+\mathfrak{n} \subset S_{1} V(I)+\mathfrak{n} \subset I$ because $x^{2} y^{2} \in \mathfrak{n}$. If $t \neq 0$, this implies that $\left\{x^{6},-y^{6}\right\}=V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$ is not part of generators of $I$, which contradicts the assumption $W=V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$. It follows that $t=0$, and $V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$ is contained in $I$. Let $I(W)=I\left(W_{\infty}\right)=I\left(V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right):=V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right) S+V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right) S+\mathfrak{n}$. Then $I\left(W_{\infty}\right) \subset I$. As we saw above, $I\left(W_{\infty}\right) \in \operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ and $I\left(W_{\infty}\right) / \mathfrak{n} \simeq \mathbb{C}[G]$. Hence $I\left(W_{\infty}\right) / \mathfrak{n} \simeq I / \mathfrak{n}$, whence $I=I\left(W_{\infty}\right)$.

Thus we have proved that if $S / I \simeq \mathbb{C}[G]$ and $V(I) \supset \rho_{1}$, then $I=I(W)$ for some nonzero $G$-submodule $W \subset V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \oplus V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$.
4.3.6. To summarize the above, we define for a nonzero $G$-submodule $W$ of $V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)+V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1}(W) & = \begin{cases}V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right) S+V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right) S+\mathfrak{n} & \text { if } W=V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right), \\
S W+\mathfrak{n} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& =W+\sum_{k=1}^{5} S_{k} V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)+\mathfrak{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The above classification of $I$ shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(\rho_{1}\right) & =\left\{I_{1}(W) ; \rho_{1} \simeq W \subset V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \oplus V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right\} \\
P\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right) & =\left\{I_{1}\left(V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right)\right\}=\left\{V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right) S+V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right) S+\mathfrak{n}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that $E\left(\rho_{1}\right)$ is identified with the set of all nonzero $G$-submodules of $V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \oplus V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$, which is (at least set-theoretically) isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ by Schur's lemma. Moreover

$$
\lim _{W \rightarrow V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)} I_{1}(W)=I_{1}\left(V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right)=V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right) S+V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right) S+\mathfrak{n} .
$$

The family $I(W), W \in \mathbb{P}\left(V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \oplus V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right) \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is flat over $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ because $\mathcal{I}$ with $\mathcal{I}_{0}=I_{1}\left(V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right)$ is $\mathbb{C}[[s, t]]$-flat (See subsection 4.4). Hence we have an injective morphism $\phi: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ with injective homomorphism of tangent spaces, whence $E\left(\rho_{1}\right)$, the image of $\phi$, is a smooth rational curve. Since $\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ is a smooth surface with trivial dualizing sheaf by Theorem 2.5, this proves that the self-intersection of $E\left(\rho_{1}\right)$ is -2 .

### 4.4. The subset $E\left(\rho_{2}\right)$.

4.4.1. First we consider the tangent space $T_{[I]}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)\right)$ at $I:=I_{1}\left(V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right)$. Recall $V(I)=V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)+V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$. Since $(S / I)\left[\rho_{1}\right]=V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$ and $(S / I)\left[\rho_{2}\right]=$ $V_{1}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \oplus V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$, the tangent space $T_{[I]}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)\right)$ at $I$ is spanned as before by the elements $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi_{1}\left(x^{6}-y^{6}\right)=x y, \psi_{1}\left(V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)=0 \\
\psi_{2}\left(V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right)=0, \psi_{2}\left(x^{2} y\right)=y^{5}, \psi_{2}\left(-x y^{2}\right)=-x^{5}
\end{gathered}
$$

Because if $\psi_{2}\left(x^{2} y\right)=a x+b y^{5}$ and $\psi_{2}\left(-x y^{2}\right)=a y-b x^{5}$ for nonzero $a$, then deformations by $\phi_{2}$ of $I$ contain $S$, a contradiction. Hence $a=0$.

We define $\mathcal{I}$ to be the ideal of $\mathbb{C}[[s, t]][x, y]$ generated by the elements

$$
\begin{gathered}
B:=x^{6}-y^{6}+s x y \\
C_{1}:=x^{2} y+t y^{5}-\frac{s t}{2} x, C_{2}:=-x y^{2}-t x^{5}-\frac{s t}{2} y \\
2 A_{4}+t A_{6}, A_{6}-\phi_{2}, A_{8}+s A_{4}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\phi_{2} \in \mathbb{C}[[s, t]]$ is the unique power series with initial term $-\frac{1}{2} s^{2} t$ satisfying the equation

$$
\phi_{2}+\frac{s^{2} t}{2}+\frac{t^{3}}{2} \phi_{2}^{2}=0
$$

We note $2 A_{4}+t A_{6}=y C_{1}-x C_{2}, A_{8}+s A_{4}=x y B$ and $A_{8}-\frac{s t}{2} A_{6}=$ $x^{5} C_{1}+y^{5} C_{2}$. The ideal $\mathcal{I}$ is therefore generated by the elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
B, C_{1}, C_{2}, A_{4}+\frac{t}{2} \phi_{2}, A_{6}-\phi_{2}, A_{8}-\frac{s t}{2} \phi_{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can show that $\mathcal{I}$ defines a $\mathbb{C}[[s, t]]$-flat family of subschemes, which is a local universal deformation of $\operatorname{Spec}(S / I)$ or simply $I$.
4.4.2. One can read from this that $s=0$ yields a new deformation of subschemes. This leads us to the following definitions.

For $W$, any nonzero $G$-submodule of $V\left(\rho_{2}\right):=V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \oplus V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$, we define

$$
I_{2}(W)= \begin{cases}V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right) S+V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right) S+\mathfrak{n} & \text { if } W=V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right), \\ V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right) S+S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right) S+\mathfrak{n} & \text { if } W=V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right), \\ S W+\mathfrak{n} & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Suppose $W$ to be any nonzero $G$-submodule of $V\left(\rho_{2}\right)$ such that $W \neq V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$ and $W \neq V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$. Then we see $S_{3} W+S_{4} W+\mathfrak{n}=V_{6}\left(\rho_{3}\right)+V_{7}\left(\rho_{2}\right)+\mathfrak{n}$ as $S_{8} \subset \mathfrak{n}$. Since $W \neq V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$ and $W \neq V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$, we infer

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S W+\mathfrak{n}= W+S_{1} W+S_{2} W+V_{6}\left(\rho_{3}\right)+V_{7}\left(\rho_{2}\right)+\mathfrak{n} \\
&=W+S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)+V_{5}\left(\rho_{4}\right)+V_{5}\left(\rho_{5}\right) \\
& \quad+V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)+V_{6}\left(\rho_{3}\right)+V_{7}\left(\rho_{2}\right)+\mathfrak{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

whence $I_{2}(W) / \mathfrak{n} \simeq \mathbb{C}[G]$. This implies that $I_{2}(W) \in \operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$. In the same manner as above one can prove that $I_{2}(W)$ belongs to $\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ for $W=V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$ or $W=V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$. We also see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2}(W)= & W+S_{1} W+S_{2} W+V_{6}\left(\rho_{3}\right)+V_{7}\left(\rho_{2}\right)+\mathfrak{n} \\
= & W+S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)+V_{5}\left(\rho_{4}\right)+V_{5}\left(\rho_{5}\right) \\
& \quad+V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)+V_{6}\left(\rho_{3}\right)+V_{7}\left(\rho_{2}\right)+\mathfrak{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any nonzero $G$-submodule of $V\left(\rho_{2}\right)$.
4.4.3. Moreover in the same manner as before one can check that if $I$ satisfies $S / I \simeq \mathbb{C}[G]$ and $V(I)$ contains $\rho_{2}$, then $I=I_{2}(W)$ for some nonzero $G$ submodule $W$ of $V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \oplus V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$.

This proves

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(\rho_{2}\right) & =\left\{I_{2}(W) ; \rho_{2} \simeq W \subset V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \oplus V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right\} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1} \\
P\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right) & =\left\{I_{2}\left(V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)\right\}=\left\{V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right) S+V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right) S+\mathfrak{n}\right\} \\
P\left(\rho_{2}, \rho_{3}\right) & =\left\{I_{2}\left(V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)\right\}=\left\{V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right) S+S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right) S+\mathfrak{n}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The subset $E\left(\rho_{2}\right)$ is proved as before to be a smooth rational curve with self-intersection -2 . We also see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{2}\left(V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)=\lim _{W \rightarrow V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)} I_{2}(W)=V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right) S+V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right) S+\mathfrak{n}, \\
& I_{2}\left(V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)=\lim _{W \rightarrow V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)} I_{2}(W)=V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right) S+S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right) S+\mathfrak{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

4.4.4. Now we focus on the intersection point $P\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$ of two rational curves $E\left(\rho_{1}\right)$ and $E\left(\rho_{2}\right)$. The computation of limits shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1}\left(V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right)=\lim _{W \rightarrow V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)} I_{1}(W) & =\left\{V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)+S_{1} V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right\} S+\mathfrak{n} \\
& =\left\{V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)+V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right\} S+\mathfrak{n}, \\
I_{2}\left(V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)=\lim _{W \rightarrow V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)} I_{2}(W) & =\left\{V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right) S+S_{1} V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right\} S+\mathfrak{n} \\
& =\left\{V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)+V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right\} S+\mathfrak{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The reason why $I_{1}\left(V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right)=I_{2}\left(V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)$ holds true is just the fact

$$
S_{1} V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)=V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right), S_{1} V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)=V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \quad \bmod \mathfrak{n}
$$

where $V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$ is the dual partner of $V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$, while $V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$ is the dual partner of $V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$. Since $S_{1} \simeq \rho_{\text {nat }}$, the natural representation of $G$, this is part of the McKay rule of irreducible decompositions by tensoring with $\rho_{\text {nat }}$, relevant to $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}: \rho_{1} \rho_{\text {nat }}=\rho_{2}, \rho_{2} \rho_{\text {nat }}=\rho_{1}+\cdots$.
4.5. The subset $E\left(\rho_{3}\right)$. The subset $E\left(\rho_{3}\right)$ is computed in the same manner as before. Let $W$ be a nonzero irreducible $G$-submodule of $V_{4}\left(\rho_{3}\right)$. Then we define in the same manner as before

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3}(W) & = \begin{cases}\left(S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\left[\rho_{3}\right]+V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right) S+\mathfrak{n} & \text { if } W=S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\left[\rho_{3}\right], \\
\left(S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{4}\right)+V_{5}\left(\rho_{4}\right)\right) S+\mathfrak{n} & \text { if } W=S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{4}\right), \\
\left(S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{5}\right)+V_{5}\left(\rho_{5}\right)\right) S+\mathfrak{n} & \text { if } W=S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{5}\right), \\
S W+\mathfrak{n} & \text { otherwise, }\end{cases} \\
& =W+\sum_{k=5}^{7} S_{k}(\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{n})+\mathfrak{n} \text { for any } W .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then one can prove in the same manner as before

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(\rho_{3}\right) & =\left\{I_{3}(W) ; \rho_{3} \simeq W \subset V_{4}\left(\rho_{3}\right)\right\}, \\
P\left(\rho_{2}, \rho_{3}\right) & =\left\{I_{3}\left(S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)\right\}=\left\{S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right) S+V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right) S+\mathfrak{n}\right\}, \\
P\left(\rho_{3}, \rho_{4}\right) & =\left\{I_{3}\left(S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{4}\right)\right)\right\}=\left\{S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{4}\right) S+V_{5}\left(\rho_{4}\right) S+\mathfrak{n}\right\}, \\
P\left(\rho_{3}, \rho_{5}\right) & =\left\{I_{3}\left(S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{5}\right)\right)\right\}=\left\{S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{5}\right) S+V_{5}\left(\rho_{5}\right) S+\mathfrak{n}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have also similar formulae of limits

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(\rho_{2}, \rho_{3}\right) & =I_{3}\left(S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\left[\rho_{3}\right]\right)=S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)+\sum_{k=5}^{7} S_{k}+\mathfrak{n} \\
& =I_{2}\left(V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)=V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right) S+S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right) S+\mathfrak{n} \\
P\left(\rho_{3}, \rho_{4}\right) & =I_{3}\left(S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{4}\right)\right)=\lim _{W \rightarrow S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{4}\right)} I_{3}(W) \\
P\left(\rho_{3}, \rho_{5}\right) & =I_{3}\left(S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{5}\right)\right)=\lim _{W \rightarrow S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{5}\right)} I_{3}(W)
\end{aligned}
$$

The first formula is true because $S S_{1} W+\mathfrak{n}=\sum_{k=5}^{7} S_{k}+\mathfrak{n}$ for any general irreducible $G$-submodule $W$ of $V_{4}\left(\rho_{3}\right)$. Hence, the reason why $I_{2}\left(V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)=$ $I_{3}\left(S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)$ holds true is just the fact

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right) & =\left\{x^{3} y,-x y^{3}\right\}+\left\{x^{2} y^{2}\right\}=\left\{x^{3} y,-x y^{3}\right\} \simeq \rho_{3} \quad \bmod \mathfrak{n} \\
S_{1}\left\{x^{4}, y^{4}\right\} & =V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)+V_{5}\left(\rho_{4}\right)+V_{5}\left(\rho_{5}\right) \simeq \rho_{2}+\rho_{4}+\rho_{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$ is the dual partner of $V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$, while $\left\{x^{3} y,-x y^{3}\right\}$ is the dual partner of $\left\{x^{4}, y^{4}\right\}$ with respect to the natural pairing (See [IN99], Lemma 11.5). This reminds us of the McKay rule for representations explained in subsection 1.4.
4.6. The subsets $E\left(\rho_{4}\right)$ and $E\left(\rho_{5}\right)$. Since $\rho_{4}$ and $\rho_{5}$ are one-dimensional, we can argue in the same manner as $\rho_{1}$. Then we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{4}(W) & = \begin{cases}S W+S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{4}\right) S+\mathfrak{n} & \text { if } W=V_{5}\left(\rho_{4}\right), \\
S W+\mathfrak{n} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& =W+S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{4}\right)+V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)+V_{5}\left(\rho_{5}\right)+S_{6}+S_{7}+\mathfrak{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any nonzero $G$-submodule $W$ of $V\left(\rho_{4}\right):=V_{3}\left(\rho_{4}\right)+V_{5}\left(\rho_{4}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{5}(W) & = \begin{cases}S W+S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{5}\right) S+\mathfrak{n} & \text { if } W=V_{5}\left(\rho_{5}\right), \\
S W+\mathfrak{n} & \text { otherwise },\end{cases} \\
& =W+S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{5}\right)+V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)+V_{5}\left(\rho_{4}\right)+S_{6}+S_{7}+\mathfrak{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any nonzero $G$-submodule $W$ of $V\left(\rho_{5}\right):=V_{3}\left(\rho_{5}\right)+V_{5}\left(\rho_{5}\right)$. In the same manner as before we see

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(\rho_{4}\right) & =\left\{I_{4}(W) ; \rho_{4} \simeq W \subset V\left(\rho_{4}\right)\right\} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1}, \\
E\left(\rho_{5}\right) & =\left\{I_{5}(W) ; \rho_{5} \simeq W \subset V\left(\rho_{5}\right)\right\} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1}, \\
P\left(\rho_{3}, \rho_{4}\right) & =\left\{I_{4}\left(V_{5}\left(\rho_{4}\right)\right)\right\}, P\left(\rho_{3}, \rho_{5}\right)=\left\{I_{5}\left(V_{5}\left(\rho_{5}\right)\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By subsection 4.5

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(\rho_{3}, \rho_{4}\right)=I_{4}\left(V_{5}\left(\rho_{4}\right)\right)=I_{3}\left(S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{4}\right)\right) \\
& P\left(\rho_{3}, \rho_{5}\right)=I_{5}\left(V_{5}\left(\rho_{5}\right)\right)=I_{3}\left(S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{5}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

These formulae come from the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{4}\right) & \simeq \rho_{3}, S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{5}\right) \simeq \rho_{3} \quad \bmod \mathfrak{n}, \\
S_{1}\left\{x^{4}, y^{4}\right\} & =V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)+V_{5}\left(\rho_{4}\right)+V_{5}\left(\rho_{5}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which reminds us of the McKay rule for representations in subsection 1.4.

### 4.7. Versal deformations.

4.7.1. $\quad$ Let $I=I_{2}\left(V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)=I_{3}\left(S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)=V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right) S+S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right) S+\mathfrak{n}$. Let $R=\mathbb{C}[[s, t]][x, y]$, and $\lambda=\frac{s^{2} t}{1+t^{2}}$. We define a deformation $\mathcal{I}_{3}$ of $I$ as the ideal of $R$ generated by the elements

$$
\begin{gathered}
y^{5}+s x^{2} y+\lambda x,-x^{5}-s x y^{2}+\lambda y \\
x^{3} y+t y^{4}+s t x^{2},-x y^{3}+t x^{4}+s t y^{2} \\
A_{6}+2 s A_{4}, A_{8}-2 \lambda A_{4}, A_{8}+s t A_{6}+2 t A_{4}^{2}, 2 A_{4}^{2}-t A_{8}, A_{4}-t \lambda .
\end{gathered}
$$

It turns out that $\mathcal{I}_{3}$ is generated by the elements

$$
\begin{gathered}
y^{5}+s x^{2} y+\lambda x,-x^{5}-s x y^{2}+\lambda y, \\
x^{3} y+t y^{4}+s t x^{2},-x y^{3}+t x^{4}+s t y^{2}, \\
A_{6}+2 s t \lambda, A_{8}-2 t \lambda^{2}, A_{4}-t \lambda,
\end{gathered}
$$

which gives a $\mathbb{C}[[s, t]]$-flat deformation of the subscheme defined by $I$.
4.7.2. $\quad$ Next let $I=I_{3}\left(S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{4}\right)\right)=I_{4}\left(V_{5}\left(\rho_{4}\right)\right)=V_{5}\left(\rho_{4}\right) S+S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{4}\right)+\mathfrak{n}$. Then we define a $\mathbb{C}[[s, t]]$-(co)flat deformation $\mathcal{I}_{4}$ of $I$ as the ideal of $R$ generated by the elements

$$
\begin{gathered}
y^{4}-i x^{3} y+s y^{4}+i s t x^{2}, x^{4}+i x y^{3}+s x^{4}+i s t y^{2} \\
x^{4} y-i x y^{4}+t\left(x^{3}+i y^{3}\right) \\
(1+s) A_{8}+2 i A_{4}^{2},(1+s) A_{6}+2 i s t A_{4} \\
A_{8}-2 i A_{4}^{2}+t A_{6},(2+2 s) A_{4}^{2}-i A_{8}+i s t A_{6}
\end{gathered}
$$

It turns out that the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{4}$ is generated by the elements

$$
\begin{gathered}
y^{4}-i x^{3} y+s y^{4}+i s t x^{2}, x^{4}+i x y^{3}+s x^{4}+i s t y^{2} \\
x^{4} y-i x y^{4}+t\left(x^{3}+i y^{3}\right) \\
A_{4}+\frac{s t^{2}}{2+s}, A_{6}-\frac{2 i s^{2} t^{3}}{(1+s)(2+s)}, A_{8}+\frac{2 i s^{2} t^{4}}{(1+s)(2+s)^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

which gives a $\mathbb{C}[[s, t]]$-flat deformation of the subscheme defined by $I$.
4.7.3. Let $I=I_{3}\left(S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{5}\right)\right)=I_{4}\left(V_{5}\left(\rho_{5}\right)\right)=V_{5}\left(\rho_{5}\right) S+S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{5}\right)+\mathfrak{n}$. We can construct a versal deformation $\mathcal{I}_{5}$ of $I$ in the same manner as $\rho_{4}$. In fact, we define $\mathcal{I}_{5}$ by replacing $i$ in the definition of $\mathcal{I}_{4}$ by $-i$. Then $\mathcal{I}_{5}$ gives a $\mathbb{C}[[s, t]]$-flat family of deformations of the subscheme defined by $I$.

### 4.8. The subquivers of the McKay quiver.

4.8.1. Now we give a simple algorithm for describing $E(\rho)$ for $\rho \in \operatorname{Irr} G$. First we note that the very positive part $S^{\dagger}$ is contained in any $I \in E$, the exceptional divisor. It remains to describe precisely $I+S^{\dagger} / S^{\dagger} \subset S_{\text {McKay }}(G)$. This is done by using the subquivers of the McKay quiver as follows.

Now we take $\rho_{1}$ as an example. The curve $E\left(\rho_{1}\right)$ consists of all $I_{1}(W)$, $W \in \mathbb{P}\left(V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \oplus V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right.$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1}(W) & =W+\sum_{k=1}^{5} S_{k} V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \\
& =W+V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)+S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)+V_{5}\left(\rho_{4}\right)+V_{5}\left(\rho_{5}\right)+S^{\dagger}
\end{aligned}
$$

We define Quiv $\left(\rho_{1}\right)$, a subquiver of $S_{\text {McKay }}(G)$ associated with $\rho_{1}$, to be the sum of all $G$-submodules $V$ of $S_{\text {McKay }}(G)$ in Figure 7 equipped with arrows (= quiver structure) of $S_{\text {McKay }}(G)$.


Figure 7. The original $\operatorname{Quiv}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$
We denote it simply by the following :


Figure 8. $\operatorname{Quiv}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$
It is easy to recover the original $\operatorname{Quiv}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$ from Figure 8 because between the subspaces of $\operatorname{Quiv}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$ corresponding to the vertices there are no nonzero arrows of $S_{\text {McKay }}(G)$ with reverse directions. For instance, in $\operatorname{Quiv}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$ the arrow from $V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \oplus V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$ to $V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$ is precisely the disjoint union of the arrow from $V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$ to $V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$ and the reverse arrow from $\{0\}\left(\subset V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)$ to $V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$, or equivalently, just the arrow from $V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$ to $V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$.

The $G$-submodule $\left(I_{1}(W) / \mathfrak{n}+S^{\dagger}\right) \cap S_{\text {McKay }}(G)$ is the sum of $W$ and all $G$-submodules of $\operatorname{Quiv}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$ inequivalent to $\rho_{1}$. Therefore any $I_{1}(W) \in E\left(\rho_{1}\right)$
is given by

$$
I_{1}(W)=W+\sum_{V \subset Q u i v\left(\rho_{1}\right), V \not \rho_{1}} V+S^{\dagger} \quad \text { for } \quad W \in \mathbb{P}\left(V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \oplus V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right)
$$

where the summation ranges over all irreducible $G$-submodules $V$ of $\operatorname{Quiv}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$ inequivalent to $\rho_{1}$.
4.8.2. This is generalized in the other cases in the obvious manner. The subquivers $\operatorname{Quiv}\left(\rho_{i}\right)(i=2,3,4,5)$ are given in Figure 9.


Figure 9. $\operatorname{Quiv}(\rho)$ for $D_{5}$
Any $I(W) \in E(\rho)$ is given by

$$
I(W)=W+\sum_{V \subset \operatorname{Quiv}(\rho), V \not{ }^{\prime} \rho} V+S^{\dagger} \quad \text { for } \quad W \in \mathbb{P}\left(V_{h-d(\rho)}(\rho)+V_{h+d(\rho)}(\rho)\right),
$$

where the summation ranges over all irreducible $G$-submodules $V$ of $\operatorname{Quiv}(\rho)$ inequivalent to $\rho$. The first diagram in Figure 9 is denoted $\operatorname{Quiv}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$, which means the subquiver diagram in Figure 10. We note that the quiver $S_{\text {McKay }}(G)$ restricted to $S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$ automatically reduces to zero to the direction of $V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$.

With these diagrams, the point $P\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$ is understood as the first diagram of Figure 11 which can be embedded into both of $\operatorname{Quiv}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Quiv}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$, to which it may be natural to assign the second graph in Figure 11. Thus the four intersection points $P\left(\rho, \rho^{\prime}\right)$ are described by the subquivers in Figure 12.


Figure 10. The original $\operatorname{Quiv}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$


Figure 11. $\operatorname{Quiv}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$


Figure 12. $\operatorname{Quiv}\left(\rho, \rho^{\prime}\right)$ for $D_{5}$


Figure 13. The extended $\operatorname{Quiv}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$
4.9. The extended McKay quiver revisited. The subquiver $\operatorname{Quiv}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$ extends itself in the extended McKay quiver by adding an arrow from $V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$ to $V_{4}\left(\rho_{0}\right)$ as Figure 13 indicates.

This implies the following. By Table $4, V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)=S_{1} V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$ and $V_{4}\left(\rho_{0}\right) \subset$ $S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$. The subquiver $\operatorname{Quiv}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$ does not generate $V_{6}\left(\rho_{0}\right)$ in $I_{1}(W)$, which
explains why $V_{6}\left(\rho_{0}\right)$ is always included as a generator of $I_{1}(W)$. This is true over a Zariski open subset of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ containing $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[s]\left(\subset E\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right)$ in subsection 4.3.

Similarly, the subquiver $\operatorname{Quiv}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$ extends itself by adding an arrow from $V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \oplus V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$ to $V_{4}\left(\rho_{0}\right) \oplus V_{6}\left(\rho_{0}\right)$ as well. This means that the image of the arrow spans a one-dimensional subspace of $V_{4}\left(\rho_{0}\right) \oplus V_{6}\left(\rho_{0}\right)$, whose onedimensional complement is necessary as a part of the generators of $I_{2}(W)$.

In the other cases, the subquiver $\operatorname{Quiv}\left(\rho_{k}\right)(k=3,4,5)$ extends itself by adding an arrow from $V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$ to $V_{6}\left(\rho_{0}\right)$ as well. This shows that $V_{4}\left(\rho_{0}\right)$ is always necessary as a part of the generators of $I_{k}(W)$.

## 5. The Simple Singularity $E_{6}$

5.1. The binary tetrahedral group $\mathbb{T}$. The simple singularity $E_{6}$ is the quotient singularity of $\mathbb{A}^{2}$ by the binary tetrahedral group $G:=\mathbb{T}$, which is the subgroup of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ of order 24 generated by $\mathbb{D}_{2}=\langle\sigma, \tau\rangle$ and $\mu$ :

$$
\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
i, & 0 \\
0, & -i
\end{array}\right), \quad \tau=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0, & 1 \\
-1, & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \mu=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\epsilon^{7}, & \epsilon^{7} \\
\epsilon^{5}, & \epsilon
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $\epsilon=e^{2 \pi i / 8}$ [Slodowy80], p. 74. The group $G$ acts on $\mathbb{A}^{2}$ from the right by $(x, y) \mapsto(x, y) g$ for $g \in G$ and $\mathbb{D}_{2}$ is a normal subgroup of $G$ with the following exact sequence:

$$
1 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{2} \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 1
$$

See Table 6 for the character table of $G$ [Schur07] where $h=12$ and $\omega=$ $(-1+\sqrt{3} i) / 2$.

| $\rho$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $d$ | $\left(\frac{h}{2} \pm d\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | -1 | $\tau$ | $\mu$ | $\mu^{2}$ | $\mu^{4}$ | $\mu^{5}$ |  |  |
| $(\sharp)$ | 1 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| $\rho_{0}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $(2)$ | - |
| $\rho_{2}$ | 2 | -2 | 0 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | $(5,7)$ |
| $\rho_{3}$ | 3 | 3 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $(6,6)$ |
| $\rho_{2}^{\prime}$ | 2 | -2 | 0 | $\omega^{2}$ | $-\omega$ | $-\omega^{2}$ | $\omega$ | 1 | $(5,7)$ |
| $\rho_{1}^{\prime}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\omega^{2}$ | $\omega$ | $\omega^{2}$ | $\omega$ | 2 | $(4,8)$ |
| $\rho_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ | 2 | -2 | 0 | $\omega$ | $-\omega^{2}$ | $-\omega$ | $\omega^{2}$ | 1 | $(5,7)$ |
| $\rho_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\omega$ | $\omega^{2}$ | $\omega$ | $\omega^{2}$ | 2 | $(4,8)$ |

Table 6. Character table of $\mathbb{T}$

| $k$ | $S_{k}$ | $V_{k}$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $\rho_{0}$ | 0 |
| 1 | $\rho_{2}$ | $\rho_{2}$ |
| 2 | $\rho_{3}$ | $\rho_{3}$ |
| 3 | $\rho_{2}^{\prime}+\rho_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ | $\rho_{2}^{\prime}+\rho_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 4 | $\rho_{1}^{\prime}+\rho_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\rho_{3}$ | $\left(\rho_{1}^{\prime}+\rho_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\rho_{3}$ |
| 5 | $\rho_{2}+\rho_{2}^{\prime}+\rho_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ | $\left(\rho_{2}+\rho_{2}^{\prime}+\rho_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ |
| 6 | $\left[\rho_{0}\right]+2 \rho_{3}$ | $\left(2 \rho_{3}\right)$ |
| 7 | $2 \rho_{2}+\rho_{2}^{\prime}+\rho_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ | $\left(\rho_{2}+\rho_{2}^{\prime}+\rho_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ |
| 8 | $\left[\rho_{0}\right]+\rho_{1}^{\prime}+\rho_{1}^{\prime \prime}+2 \rho_{3}$ | $\left(\rho_{1}^{\prime}+\rho_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\rho_{3}$ |
| 9 | $\rho_{2}+2 \rho_{2}^{\prime}+2 \rho_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ | $\rho_{2}^{\prime}+\rho_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 10 | $\rho_{1}^{\prime}+\rho_{1}^{\prime \prime}+3 \rho_{3}$ | $\rho_{3}$ |
| 11 | $2 \rho_{2}+2 \rho_{2}^{\prime}+2 \rho_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ | $\rho_{2}$ |
| 12 | $2 \rho_{0}+\rho_{1}^{\prime}+\rho_{1}^{\prime \prime}+3 \rho_{3}$ | 0 |

Table 7. Irreducible decompositions of $S$ and $\operatorname{Coinv}\left(E_{6}\right)$


Figure 14. The extended McKay quiver of $E_{6}$
5.2. Symmetric tensors modulo $\mathfrak{n}$. Let $S_{m}$ be the space of homogeneous polynomials in $x$ and $y$ of degree $m$. The $G$-modules $S_{m}$ and $S_{m}(S / \mathfrak{n})$ via $\rho_{\text {nat }}$ decompose into irreducible $G$-submodules. We define a $G$-submodule of $\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{n}$ by $V_{i}\left(\rho_{j}\right):=S_{i}(\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{n})\left[\rho_{j}\right]$ the sum of all copies of $\rho$ in $S_{i}(\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{n})$, which we always choose as a homogeneous $G$-submodule of $S_{i}$. For a $G$-module $W$ we define $W[\rho]$ to be the sum of all the copies of $\rho$ in $W$. It is known by [Klein], p. 51 that there are $G$-invariant polynomials $A_{6}, A_{8}, A_{6}^{2}$ and $A_{12}$ respectively of degrees $6,8,12$ and 12 . We may assume that $A_{6}=T, A_{8}=W$ and $A_{12}=U:=\varphi^{3}+\psi^{3}$. Let $V_{6}\left(\rho_{0}\right)=S_{6}\left[\rho_{0}\right]=\left\{A_{6}\right\}$ and $V_{8}\left(\rho_{0}\right)=S_{8}\left[\rho_{0}\right]=\left\{A_{8}\right\}$,






Figure 15. $\operatorname{Quiv}(\rho)$ for $E_{6}$





Figure 16. $\operatorname{Quiv}\left(\rho, \rho^{\prime}\right)$ for $E_{6}$
where $U^{2}=4 W^{3}-27 T^{4}$. See [IN99], subsection 14.3 for the notation. We caution that $A_{12}$ in [IN99] is different from the present one.

The decomposition of $S_{k}$ and $S_{k}(\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{n})$ for small values of $k$ are given in Table 7. The factors of $S_{k}(\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{n})$ in the parentheses are those in $S_{\text {McKay }}(G)$. We see by Table 7 that $V_{6 \pm d(\rho)}(\rho) \simeq \rho^{\oplus 2}$ if $d(\rho)=0$, or $\rho$ if $d(\rho) \geq 1$. We also see that $S_{6-k}(\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{n}) \simeq S_{6+k}(\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{n})$ for any $k$. Thus Lemma 3.7 for $E_{6}$ follows from Table 7 immediately. The (extended) McKay quiver and subquivers of $E_{6}$ are given in Figures 14-16. See also Figure 4.

## 6. Proof of Theorem 3.9

Now we prove Theorem 3.9 mainly for $D_{5}$.
6.1. The sheaf $\mathcal{V}$. Let $Z_{\text {univ }}$ be the universal subscheme of $\mathbb{A}^{2}$ of $G$-orbits parameterized by $\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$, and $X=\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$. The natural morphism
$\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{2} / G$ is known by Theorem 2.5 to be the minimal resolution. We define $I_{\text {univ }}$ to be the ideal sheaf of $O_{\mathbb{A}^{2} \times X}$ defining $Z_{\text {univ }}$ in $\mathbb{A}^{2} \times X$. Then we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I_{\text {univ }} \rightarrow O_{\mathbb{A}^{2} \times X} \rightarrow O_{Z_{\text {univ }}} \rightarrow 0$.

We define $I_{X}$ to be the defining ideal of $X$ as a subscheme of $X \simeq$ $\left(\mathbb{A}^{2} / G\right) \times{ }_{\left(\mathbb{A}^{2} / G\right)} X$ of $\left(\mathbb{A}^{2} / G\right) \times X, \mathfrak{n}_{X}:=I_{X} O_{\mathbb{A}^{2} \times X}$ and

$$
V\left(I_{\text {univ }}\right):=I_{\text {univ }} / \mathfrak{m} I_{\text {univ }}+\mathfrak{n}_{X},
$$

which we denote by $\mathcal{V}$. The sheaf $\mathcal{V}$ is a finite $O_{\mathbb{A}^{2}} \otimes O_{X}$-module supported by $\{0\} \times E$ because $\mathfrak{m} O_{\mathbb{A}^{2}}=O_{\mathbb{A}^{2}}$ outside the origin and $\{0\} \times X \cap \operatorname{Supp}\left(Z_{\text {univ }}\right)_{\text {red }}=$ $\{0\} \times E$. It is clear that $\mathfrak{m \mathcal { V }}=\mathfrak{n}_{X} \mathcal{V}=0$ from the definition of $\mathcal{V}$.

Let $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}$ be the maximal ideal of the unique singular point of $\mathbb{A}^{2} / G$. By the definition of $\mathfrak{n}_{X}, \phi^{*} F=\pi^{*} F \bmod \mathfrak{n}_{X}$ for any $F \in \overline{\mathfrak{m}}$.

We prove next $\pi^{*}(\overline{\mathfrak{m}}) \mathcal{V}=0$. Let $H \in I_{\text {univ }}$ and $a \in \pi^{*} \overline{\mathfrak{m}}$. Then there are some $F_{k} \in O_{X}$ and $A_{k} \in \overline{\mathfrak{m}}$ such that $a=\sum_{k} F_{k} \pi^{*}\left(A_{k}\right)$. Since $\mathfrak{n}_{X} \subset I_{\text {univ }}$ (See subsection 3.8), we have $a H \equiv \sum_{k} F_{k} \phi^{*}\left(A_{k}\right) H$ in $I_{\text {univ }} / \mathfrak{n}_{X}$. However $\sum_{k} F_{k} \phi^{*}\left(A_{k}\right) H \in \mathfrak{m} I_{\text {univ }}$, which proves $a H=0$ in $\mathcal{V}$. It follows $\pi^{*}(\overline{\mathfrak{m}}) \mathcal{V}=0$. Since $\pi^{*} \overline{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the ideal of $O_{X}$ defining the fundamental cycle $E_{\text {fund }}$ of $E$, this implies that $\mathcal{V}$ is a finite $O_{E_{\text {fund }}}$-module.

Since $E(\rho)$ is a subscheme of $E_{\text {fund }}, \mathcal{V} \otimes O_{E(\rho)}$ is a finite $O_{E(\rho) \text {-module and }}$ we have a natural homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \sum_{\rho \in \operatorname{Irr} G} \mathcal{V} \otimes O_{E(\rho)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove this is an isomorphism in subsections 6.2 and 6.3.
6.2. Freeness outside $\operatorname{Sing}(E)$. First we prove that (2) is an isomorphism at a nonsingular point of $E$. Let $S=\mathbb{C}[x, y]$.

Let $I \in E(\rho) \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(E)$. Then the ideal $I$ is generated by a nonzero irreducible $G$-submodule $W$ of $V(\rho)=V_{h-d(\rho)}(\rho)+V_{h+d(\rho)}(\rho)$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ by section 4 or by [IN99], Theorem 10.7.

First we consider the case $D_{5}$.
6.2.1. Let $\rho=\rho_{1}$. Then $W \neq V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$. As $\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ is nonsingular and twodimensional, the tangent space $T_{[I]}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)\right)$ is exactly two-dimensional. By subsection 4.3

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{S}\left(I / I^{2}, S / I\right)\left[\rho_{0}\right]=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(W, V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(V_{6}\left(\rho_{0}\right), V_{0}\left(\rho_{0}\right)\right)
$$

We note that $I$ is generated by $W$ and $A_{6}$ by subsection 4.9. Since $T_{[I]}\left(E\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(W, V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right)$, the parameter $t$ of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(V_{6}\left(\rho_{0}\right), V_{0}\left(\rho_{0}\right)\right)$ gives a defining equation of $E\left(\rho_{1}\right)$.

By subsection 4.3 the ideal $I_{\text {univ }}$ of $Z_{\text {univ }}$ is over $E\left(\rho_{1}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(E)$ generated by $x y+s\left(x^{6}-y^{6}\right)$ and $A_{6}+t$. Since $A_{6}+t \in \mathfrak{n}_{X}$, the quotient $\mathcal{V}$ is $S \otimes \mathbb{C}[s, t] / t$ free of rank one, hence $O_{E}$-free of rank one.
6.2.2. Let us consider next the case $\rho=\rho_{4}$. In this case, $I=I_{4}(W)$, $W \neq V_{5}\left(\rho_{4}\right)$. Then $I$ is generated by $W$ and $A_{4}$ by subsection 4.9. Let $a=x^{3}+i y^{3}+s_{0}\left(x^{4} y-i x y^{4}\right) \in W$ and take $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{S}\left(I / I^{2}, S / I\right)\left[\rho_{0}\right]$. We may assume $\phi(a)=s\left(x^{4} y-i x y^{4}\right) \in V_{5}\left(\rho_{4}\right)$. Then we see $A_{8}+2 i A_{4}^{2}+s_{0} A_{4} A_{6}=$ $\left(x^{4} y+i x y^{4}\right) a$. Since $A_{j} A_{k} \in I^{2}$, we have $\phi\left(A_{8}\right)=\left(x^{4} y+i x y^{4}\right) \phi(a)=s A_{4} A_{6}=$ 0. Similarly, $\phi\left(A_{6}+s_{0} A_{8}-2 i s_{0} A_{4}^{2}\right)=\left(x^{3}-i y^{3}\right) \phi(a)=s\left(A_{8}-2 i A_{4}^{2}\right)=0$. Hence we have $\phi\left(A_{6}\right)=\phi\left(A_{8}\right)=0$. It follows that letting $\phi\left(A_{4}\right)=t$, then $s$ and $t$ are local (regular) parameters of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ at $I$. Thus we see

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{S}\left(I / I^{2}, S / I\right)\left[\rho_{0}\right]=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(W, V_{3}\left(\rho_{4}\right)\right) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(V_{4}\left(\rho_{0}\right), V_{0}\left(\rho_{0}\right)\right)
$$

Thus we have generators $A:=A_{4}+t$ and $B:=x^{3}+i y^{3}+s x y\left(x^{3}-i y^{3}\right)$ of $I_{\text {univ }}$, whose derivation span $T_{[I]}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)\right)$. Moreover $A_{6}+s A_{8}-2 i s A_{4}^{2}=$ $\left(x^{3}-i y^{3}\right) B \in I_{\text {univ }}$, and $A_{8}+2 i A_{4}^{2}+s A_{4} A_{6}=\left(x^{3}+i y^{3}\right) x y B \in I_{\text {univ }}$. Thus $I_{\text {univ }}$ is generated by $A$ and $B$, whence over $E\left(\rho_{4}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(E) \simeq \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[s, t] /(t)$,

$$
I_{\text {univ }}=\left(x^{3}+i y^{3}+s x y\left(x^{3}-i y^{3}\right), A_{4}+t\right) .
$$

Since $\pi^{*}(\overline{\mathfrak{m}})$ is the defining ideal of $E_{\text {fund }}$, we have $\pi^{*}(\overline{\mathfrak{m}})=(t)$. Therefore $\mathcal{V}$ is $S \otimes \mathbb{C}[s, t] / t$-free of rank one.
6.2.3. The above arguments are easily generalized to any one-dimensional irreducible representation of $D_{n}, E_{6}$ and $E_{7}$ by using [IN99], sections 13-15. We note that there is no one-dimensional irreducible representation for $E_{8}$.
6.2.4. Next we consider $\rho_{2}$ in the $D_{5}$-case. Let $I=I_{2}(W), W \neq V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right), V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$. Then we note that $I_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \subset S W+\mathfrak{n}$ and that $V_{4}\left(\rho_{0}\right)+V_{6}\left(\rho_{0}\right) / W$ is a part of generators of $I / I^{2}$ by the property of $\operatorname{Quiv}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$ mentioned in subsection 4.9. Thus $I / I^{2}$ is generated by $b_{1}=x^{2} y+s_{0} y^{5}, b_{2}=-x y^{2}-s_{0} x^{5}$ and $A_{k}$. The condition $W \neq V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right), V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$ is just $s_{0} \neq 0, \infty$.

We compute now $T_{[I]}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{S}\left(I / I^{2}, S / I\right)\left[\rho_{0}\right]$, relying on these facts. It is clear that $(S / I)\left[\rho_{2}\right] \simeq V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \oplus V_{1}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$. We define $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ to be the elements of $\operatorname{Hom}_{S}\left(I / I^{2}, S / I\right)\left[\rho_{0}\right]$

$$
\psi_{1}\left(b_{1}\right)=y^{5}, \psi_{1}\left(b_{2}\right)=-x^{5}, \psi_{2}\left(b_{1}\right)=-x, \psi_{2}\left(b_{2}\right)=-y
$$

We prove that $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ span $\operatorname{Hom}_{S}\left(I / I^{2}, S / I\right)\left[\rho_{0}\right]$. So we take an element $\phi$ of $\operatorname{Hom}_{S}\left(I / I^{2}, S / I\right)\left[\rho_{0}\right]$ and let $\phi\left(b_{1}\right)=s y^{5}-t x, \phi\left(b_{2}\right)=-s x^{5}-t y$.

We shall prove $\phi\left(A_{k}\right)=0(k=4,6,8)$. We note $\phi\left(A_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{C}=(S / I)\left[\rho_{0}\right]$, a constant. First $\phi\left(A_{8}\right)=x^{5} \phi\left(b_{1}\right)+y^{5} \phi\left(b_{2}\right)=-t A_{6}=0$. Secondly, $\phi\left(2 A_{4}+\right.$ $\left.s_{0} A_{6}\right)=y \phi\left(b_{1}\right)-x \phi\left(b_{2}\right)=s A_{6}=0$. Thirdly, we see

$$
x y \phi\left(A_{6}\right)=x^{5} \phi\left(b_{1}\right)-y^{5} \phi\left(b_{2}\right)-2 s_{0} x y \phi\left(A_{4}^{2}\right)=-t\left(x^{6}-y^{6}\right)=0
$$

because $\left(x^{6}-y^{6}\right) \in V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \subset S W+\mathfrak{n}=I_{2}(W)=I$. Meanwhile, $x y \in V_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)$ which is nonzero in $S / I$. Hence $\phi\left(A_{6}\right)=0$. Hence we have $\phi\left(A_{k}\right)=0$ for all $A_{k}$. It follows that $\phi=s \psi_{1}+t \psi_{2}$.

Since $I / I^{2}$ is generated by $b_{1}, b_{2}$ and $A_{k}$, this proves

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{S}\left(I / I^{2}, S / I\right)\left[\rho_{0}\right]=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(W, V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \oplus V_{1}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)
$$

Since $T_{[I]}\left(E\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(W, V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)$, the parameter $t$ of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(W, V_{1}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)$ gives the equation of $E\left(\rho_{2}\right)$ locally along $E\left(\rho_{2}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(E)$. Now we prove that the ideal $I_{\text {univ }}$ is generated by the elements

$$
B_{1}:=x^{2} y+s y^{5}-t x, B_{2}:=-x y^{2}-s x^{5}-t y, A:=A_{4}-\eta,
$$

where $\eta:=\pi^{*} A_{4}$ is a power series of $t$ with initial term $t^{2}$ satisfying $s^{2} \eta^{2}-\eta+$ $t^{2}=0$. In fact, Noetherian property shows that $I_{\text {univ }}$ is generated by $B_{1}, B_{2}$ and some elements with initial terms being $A_{k}$. We see $2 A_{4}+s A_{6}=y B_{1}-x B_{2}$, $s A_{8}+2 t A_{4}=-x y\left(y B_{1}+x B_{2}\right)$ and $A_{8}-t A_{6}=x^{5} B_{1}+y^{5} B_{2}$. Hence all of these belong to $I_{\text {univ }}$. Since $\pi^{*}(\overline{\mathfrak{m}}) \cap I_{\text {univ }}=\{0\}$, we have $\pi^{*}\left(2 A_{4}+s A_{6}\right)=$ $\pi^{*}\left(A_{8}-t A_{6}\right)=0$, and therefore the ideal $\pi^{*} \overline{\mathfrak{m}}=\left(\pi^{*} A_{4}, \pi^{*} A_{6}, \pi^{*} A_{8}\right)$ of $O_{X}$ is generated by $\pi^{*} A_{4}$ because $s$ is invertible over $E\left(\rho_{2}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(E)$. We note $E\left(\rho_{2}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(E) \simeq \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}\left[s, s^{-1}\right]$. It is easy to infer from $A_{8}^{2}=A_{4} A_{6}^{2}-4 A_{4}^{4}$ that $\eta$ satisfies $s^{2} \eta^{2}-\eta+t^{2}=0$.

Since $-t^{2}=s^{2} \eta^{2}-\eta$ and $A_{4}-\eta \in \mathfrak{n}_{X}$, we have in $\mathcal{V}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
t B_{1} & =t x^{2} y+s t y^{5}+\left(s^{2} A_{4}^{2}-A_{4}\right) x \\
& =-x y B_{1}-s y^{4} B_{2}=0 \\
t B_{2} & =x y B_{2}+s x^{4} B_{1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\mathcal{V}$ is an $O_{E}$-module with $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ generators. By section 4.4 that $V(I)$ is of rank two for any $I \in E\left(\rho_{2}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(E)$, hence for each $s \in \mathbb{C}, s \neq 0$. This implies that $\mathcal{V} \otimes S\left[s, s^{-1}, t\right] /(t)$ is $S\left[s, s^{-1}\right]$-free of rank two.
6.2.5. As the final case of $D_{5}$, we consider $\rho_{3}$. Let $I=I_{3}(W), W \neq S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{k}\right)$ $(k=2,4,5)$. Then we see

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{S}\left(I / I^{2}, S / I\right)\left[\rho_{0}\right]=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(W, V_{4}\left(\rho_{3}\right) / W\right) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(W, V_{2}\left(\rho_{3}\right)\right)
$$

The proof in this case is however rather tricky. Let $b_{1}=y^{4}+i s_{0} x^{3} y$ and $b_{2}=x^{4}-i s_{0} x y^{3}$. By the condition $W \neq S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{k}\right)$, we have $s_{0} \neq \pm 1, \infty$. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{S}\left(I / I^{2}, S / I\right)\left[\rho_{0}\right]$. Since $(S / I)\left[\rho_{3}\right]=\left\{x^{3} y,-x y^{3}\right\} \oplus V_{2}\left(\rho_{3}\right)$, we may assume $\phi\left(b_{1}\right)=i s x^{3} y+t x^{2}$ and $\phi\left(b_{2}\right)=-i s x y^{3}+t y^{2}$. First we note that $\phi\left(b_{i}\right) \in\left(S_{4}+S_{2}\right)(\mathfrak{m} / I)$, whence $\phi\left(S_{2} b_{i}\right) \in\left(S_{6}+S_{4}\right)(\mathfrak{m} / I)=S_{4}(\mathfrak{m} / I)$. Hence we see $\phi\left(y^{2} b_{1}\right)=i s x^{3} y^{3}+t A_{4}=t A_{4}=0, \phi\left(x^{2} b_{2}\right)=-i s x^{3} y^{3}+t A_{4}=t A_{4}=0$. Similarly $\phi\left(x^{2} b_{1}\right)=i s x^{5} y+t x^{4}=t x^{4}, \phi\left(x y b_{1}\right)=t x^{3} y, \phi\left(x y b_{2}\right)=t x y^{3}$ and $\phi\left(y^{2} b_{2}\right)=t y^{4}$.

Then $\phi\left(x y^{3} b_{1}-x^{3} y b_{2}\right)=-\phi\left(A_{8}\right)+2 i s_{0} \phi\left(A_{4}^{2}\right)=-\phi\left(A_{8}\right)$, while $\phi\left(x y^{3} b_{1}-\right.$ $\left.x^{3} y b_{2}\right)=x y \phi\left(y^{2} b_{1}\right)-x y \phi\left(x^{2} b_{2}\right)=0$. Hence $\phi\left(A_{8}\right)=0$. Similarly $\phi\left(A_{6}\right)=$ $\phi\left(y^{2} b_{1}+x^{2} b_{2}\right)=2 t A_{4}=0$. We also see that $\left(1-s_{0}^{2}\right) \phi\left(x^{2} y^{4}\right)=\phi\left(x^{2} b_{1}-\right.$ $\left.i s_{0} x y b_{2}\right)=t x^{4}-i s_{0} t x y^{3}=t b_{2} \in W \subset I$. Hence $\left(1-s_{0}^{2}\right) \phi\left(x^{2} y^{4}\right)=0$ in $S / I$, whence $\phi\left(x^{2} y^{4}\right)=0$ because $1-s_{0}^{2} \neq 0$. Similarly we see $\phi\left(x^{4} y^{2}\right)=0$. It follows that $\left\{x^{2}, y^{2}\right\} \phi\left(A_{4}\right)=0$. Hence $\phi\left(A_{4}\right)=0$ because $0 \neq\left\{x^{2}, y^{2}\right\} \subset$ $(S / I)\left[\rho_{2}\right]$. This proves $\phi\left(A_{k}\right)=0$ for any $A_{k}$. Hence $\operatorname{Hom}_{S}\left(I / I^{2}, S / I\right)=$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(W, V_{4}\left(\rho_{3}\right) / W\right) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(W, V_{2}\left(\rho_{3}\right)\right)$.

We know by the subquiver $\operatorname{Quiv}\left(\rho_{3}\right)$ that $I$ is generated by $W$ and $A_{4}$. We have $B_{1}, B_{2}$ and $A$ as generators of $I_{\text {univ }}$ as follows:

$$
B_{1}=y^{4}+i s x^{3} y+t x^{2}, B_{2}=x^{4}-i s x y^{3}+t y^{2}, A=A_{4}-\pi^{*}\left(A_{4}\right)
$$

where $\pi^{*}\left(A_{4}\right)=\frac{t^{2}}{1-s^{2}}$. We note $A_{6}+2 t A_{4}$ and $A_{8}-2 i s A_{4}^{2} \in I_{\text {univ }}$. Since $t$ is the parameter of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(W, V_{2}\left(\rho_{3}\right)\right), E\left(\rho_{3}\right)$ is defined by $t=0$.

Now we prove in $S[s, t]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t B_{1}=y^{2} B_{2}+i s x y B_{1}-\left(1-s^{2}\right) x^{2} A \\
& t B_{2}=x^{2} B_{1}-i s x y B_{2}-\left(1-s^{2}\right) y^{2} A .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $t B_{1}=t B_{2}=0$. This shows that $\mathcal{V}$ is $\mathbb{C}\left[s, \frac{1}{1-s^{2}}\right]$-free of rank two, where $E\left(\rho_{3}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(E)=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}\left[s, \frac{1}{1-s^{2}}\right]$.

This completes the proof of freeness of $\mathcal{V}$ over $E \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(E)$ in the $D_{5}$-case.
6.2.6. It is clear that one can generalize the above arguments to $D_{n}$ for the other $n$. To settle the $E_{6}$ and $E_{7}$-cases, we need to discuss three-dimensional or four-dimensional representations $\rho$. Since the discussion below on the three-dimensional representation $\rho_{3}$ of $E_{6}$ shows the general features of the arguments for the proof sufficiently, we take up only $\rho_{3}$ of $E_{6}$ in order to avoid the overwhelming notation for $E_{7}$.
6.2.7. We consider the $E_{6}$-case. Let $G$ be the binary tetrahedral group $\mathbb{T}$, and $\rho_{3}$ the unique three-dimensional representation of $G$. Let $A_{6}, A_{8}$ and $A_{12}$ be the homogeneous generators of the ring of $G$-invariants :

$$
A_{6}=T=p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}, A_{8}=W=\varphi \psi, A_{12}=U=\varphi^{3}+\psi^{3},
$$

where $U^{2}=4 W^{3}-27 T^{4}$. See [IN99], subsection 14.3 for the notation. We note $\varphi^{3}-\psi^{3}=3(2 \omega+1) T^{2}$ and that both $\varphi^{3}$ and $\psi^{3}$ are $G$-invariants.

Then any point $I=I_{3}(W) \in E\left(\rho_{3}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(E)$ is given by an irreducible $G$-submodule of $V_{6}\left(\rho_{3}\right)$ with $W \neq S_{1} V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right), S_{1} V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}^{\prime}\right), S_{1} V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ under the notation of section 5 . Then we see

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{S}\left(I / I^{2}, S / I\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(W, V_{6}\left(\rho_{3}\right) / W\right) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(W, V_{4}\left(\rho_{3}\right)\right)
$$

Moreover a versal deformation $I_{\text {univ }}$ of $I$ is generated by six elements

$$
B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}, A_{6}-\pi^{*}\left(A_{6}\right), A_{8}-\pi^{*}\left(A_{8}\right), A_{12}-\pi^{*}\left(A_{12}\right),
$$

where with the notation of [IN99], subsection 14.3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{1}=p_{1}(\varphi+\omega \psi)+s p_{1} \varphi+t p_{2} p_{3}+u p_{1} \\
& B_{2}=\omega p_{2}\left(\varphi+\omega^{2} \psi\right)+s \omega p_{2} \varphi-t p_{3} p_{1}+u p_{2} \\
& B_{3}=\omega^{2} p_{3}(\varphi+\psi)+s \omega^{2} p_{3} \varphi+t p_{1} p_{2}+u p_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $s, t$ and $u$ are parameters. We note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{6}\left(\rho_{3}\right)=\left\{p_{1} \varphi, \omega p_{2} \varphi, \omega^{2} p_{3} \varphi\right\} \oplus\left\{p_{1} \psi, \omega^{2} p_{2} \psi, \omega p_{3} \psi\right\} \\
& V_{4}\left(\rho_{3}\right)=\left\{p_{2} p_{3},-p_{3} p_{1}, p_{1} p_{2}\right\}, V_{2}\left(\rho_{3}\right)=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we see $p_{1} B_{1}-\omega^{2} p_{2} B_{2}+\omega p_{3} B_{3}=\omega(1-\omega)\left(\psi^{2}-\omega u \varphi\right)$. Hence $\psi^{2}-$ $\omega u \varphi \in I_{\text {univ }}$, whence $\psi^{3}-\omega u W \in I_{\text {univ }}$. Similarly we see $p_{1} B_{1}-p_{2} B_{2}+p_{3} B_{3}=$ $(\omega-1) s W-3 t T$, which belongs to $I_{\text {univ }}$. We also have $\left(1-\omega^{2}\right)\left\{(1+s) \varphi^{2}-\right.$ $\omega u \psi\}=p_{1} B_{1}-\omega p_{2} B_{2}+\omega^{2} p_{3} B_{3} \in I_{\text {univ }}$. Hence $(1+s) \varphi^{3}-\omega u W \in I_{\text {univ }}$. From $\pi^{*} \overline{\mathfrak{m}} \cap I_{\text {univ }}=\{0\}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi^{*} \psi^{3}=\omega u \pi^{*} W,(1+s) \pi^{*} \varphi^{3}=\omega u \pi^{*} W \\
(\omega-1) s \pi^{*} W=3 t \pi^{*} T, \pi^{*} \varphi^{3}-\pi^{*} \psi^{3}=3(2 \omega+1) \pi^{*} T^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi^{*} W=\frac{\omega^{2} u^{2}}{1+s}, \pi^{*} \varphi^{3}=\frac{u^{3}}{(1+s)^{2}}, \pi^{*} \psi^{3}=\frac{u^{3}}{1+s} \\
3 \pi^{*} T=\left(1-\omega^{2}\right) \frac{u^{2} s}{(1+s) t},(1-\omega) s u=t^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Though the relation $(1-\omega)$ su $=t^{2}$ looks singular at $P\left(\rho_{2}, \rho_{3}\right)$, the point $s=t=0$ of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$, it is not singular at all because $s$ and $t / s$ are regular parameters at $P\left(\rho_{2}, \rho_{3}\right)$.

The condition $W \neq S_{1} V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right), S_{1} V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}^{\prime}\right), S_{1} V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ implies $s(1+s) \neq 0, s \neq$ $\infty$. The parameters $s, t$ and $u$ are related by $(1-\omega) s u=t^{2}$. Hence $\pi^{*} \overline{\mathfrak{m}}=$ $\left(\pi^{*} T\right)=\left(u^{2} / t\right)=\left(t^{3}\right)$ along $E\left(\rho_{3}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(E)$, whence $E_{\text {fund }}=3 E\left(\rho_{3}\right)$ there.

We see $\bmod \mathfrak{n}_{X}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
t B_{1} & =t p_{1}(\varphi+\omega \psi)+s t p_{1} \varphi+t^{2} p_{2} p_{3}+t u p_{1} \\
& =t p_{1}(\varphi+\omega \psi)+s t p_{1} \varphi+t^{2} p_{2} p_{3}-3 \omega(1+s) p_{1} T \\
& =-\omega^{2}(s-\omega) p_{3} B_{2}+\left(s-\omega^{2}\right) p_{2} B_{3}, \\
t B_{2} & =\omega(s-\omega) p_{1} B_{3}-\left(s-\omega^{2}\right) p_{3} B_{1}, \\
t B_{3} & =-\omega(s-\omega) p_{2} B_{1}+\left(s-\omega^{2}\right) p_{1} B_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves $t B_{i}=0$ in $\mathcal{V}$. Hence $\mathcal{V}$ is $S\left[s, \frac{1}{s(1+s)}\right]$-free of rank three. This completes the proof of freeness of $\mathcal{V}$ over $E\left(\rho_{3}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(E)$ for $E_{6}$.
6.2.8. We explain very briefly the most complicated case of $E_{7}$, that is, the $\rho_{4}$-case. The finite group $G$ involved is the binary octahedral group $\mathbb{O}$, and the invariant ring of $G$ is generated by homogeneous polynomials of degree 8 , 12 , and 18 , where we note that 18 is also the Coxeter number of $E_{7}$.

Any point $I=I_{4}(W) \in E\left(\rho_{4}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(E)$ is given by an irreducible $G$ submodule of $V_{9}\left(\rho_{4}\right)$ with $W \neq S_{1} V_{8}\left(\rho_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right), S_{1} V_{8}\left(\rho_{3}\right), S_{1} V_{8}\left(\rho_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ under the notation of section 5 . Then we see

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{S}\left(I / I^{2}, S / I\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(W, V_{9}\left(\rho_{4}\right) / W\right) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(W, V_{7}\left(\rho_{4}\right)\right)
$$

The versal deformation $I_{\text {univ }}$ is generated over $E\left(\rho_{4}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(E)$ by five elements $B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}, B_{4}, A:=A_{8}-\pi^{*}\left(A_{8}\right)$, where $A_{8}=W$ is the same as $W$ of
$E_{6}$ and the elements $B_{i}$ are of the form

$$
B_{i}=B_{i 1}+s B_{i 2}+t B_{i 3}+u B_{i 4}+v B_{i 5}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{9}\left(\rho_{4}\right) & =\left\{B_{i 1}, B_{i 2} ; i=1,2,3,4\right\}, \\
V_{13-2 k}\left(\rho_{4}\right) & =\left\{B_{i k} ; i=1,2,3,4\right\} \quad(k=3,4,5) .
\end{aligned}
$$

See [IN99], Table 13 for $\rho_{4}$-factors of $S_{\text {McKay }}(G)$. Moreover over $E\left(\rho_{4}\right) \backslash$ $\operatorname{Sing}(E), u$ (resp. $v$ ) is a unit multiple of $t^{2}$ (or resp. $t^{3}$ ), while $\pi^{*}\left(A_{8}\right)$ is a unit multiple of $t^{4}$, and $\pi^{*} \overline{\mathfrak{m}}=\left(A_{8}\right)=\left(t^{4}\right)$. Then we can prove $t B_{i}=0$ in $\mathcal{V}$ in the same manner as before. In fact, the proof goes roughly as follows. The term $t B_{1}$ is the sum of $B_{i k}$, whose last term $t v B_{i 5}$ is a multiple of $t^{4}$. Hence $t v B_{i 5}$ can be replaced mod $\mathfrak{n}_{X}$ by a unit multiple of $A_{8} B_{i 5}$. Then we see that $t B_{1}-t v B_{i 5}+\left(\right.$ the unit multiple of $\left.A_{8} B_{i 5}\right)$ is a sum of $B_{j}$ over $\mathfrak{m}$. In other words, $t B_{1}$ is a sum of $B_{j}$ and $A$ over $\mathfrak{m}$. Since $\rho_{4}$ is irreducible, this implies that $t B_{i}$ is also a sum of $B_{j}$ and $A$ over $\mathfrak{m}$ for any $i$. Thus we can prove that $\mathcal{V}$ is $O_{E\left(\rho_{4}\right)}$-free over $E\left(\rho_{4}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(E)$.

We can write down precisely the versal deformations of $I \in E(\rho) \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(E)$ similarly for any $\rho$ of $E_{7}$. By this, we can prove freeness of $\mathcal{V}$ along $E \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(E)$. We omit the details of $E_{7}$-case because we need more notation.
6.3. Isomorphism at $I\left(\rho, \rho^{\prime}\right)$. In this subsection we prove that (2) is an isomorphism at any singular point $I:=I\left(\rho, \rho^{\prime}\right)$ of $E$.
6.3.1. First we consider the pair $\rho=\rho_{1}$ and $\rho^{\prime}=\rho_{2}$ in the $D_{5}$-case. Then $I_{\text {univ }}$ is given in (1). It is clear that $\mathcal{V}$ is generated by those elements whose specializations at $s=t=0$ are just the generators of $I$ belonging to $V_{6}\left(\rho_{1}\right)+$ $V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$. Let $B=x^{6}-y^{6}+s x y, C_{1}=x^{2} y+t y^{5}-\frac{s t}{2} x$ and $C_{2}=-x y^{2}-t x^{5}-\frac{s t}{2} y$. The ideal $\pi^{*}(\overline{\mathfrak{m}})$ is generated by $\phi_{2}$, hence by $s^{2} t$. We first see

$$
t B=-\left(y C_{1}+x C_{2}\right)=0 \quad \text { in } \mathcal{V} .
$$

Next we prove $s C_{1}=0$ in $\mathcal{V}$. We compute $\bmod \mathfrak{m} I_{\text {univ }}+\mathfrak{n}_{X}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
s C_{1} & =s x^{2} y+s t y^{5}-\frac{s^{2} t}{2} x \\
& =x B-x\left(x^{6}-y^{6}\right)+s t y^{5}-\frac{s^{2} t}{2} x \\
& =-x A_{6}+2 x y^{6}+s t y^{5}-\frac{s^{2} t}{2} x \quad\left(\because x B \in \mathfrak{m} I_{\text {univ }}\right) \\
& =-x\left(A_{6}+\frac{s^{2} t}{2}+\frac{t^{3}}{2} A_{6}^{2}\right)+2 x y^{6}+s t y^{5}+\frac{t^{3}}{2} x A_{6}^{2} \\
& =2 x y^{6}+s t y^{5}+2 t x A_{4}^{2}=-2 y^{4} C_{2}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly $s C_{2}=0$. This proves

$$
\mathcal{V}=O_{E\left(\rho_{1}\right)} B+O_{E\left(\rho_{2}\right)} C_{1}+O_{E\left(\rho_{2}\right)} C_{2}
$$

Since $\mathcal{V}$ is $O_{E(\rho)}$-free of rank $\operatorname{deg} \rho$ over $E(\rho) \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(E)$, the upper-semicontinuity shows that $O_{E\left(\rho_{1}\right)} B$ is $O_{E\left(\rho_{1}\right)}$-free of rank $\operatorname{deg} \rho_{1}(=1)$ at $s=t=0$, while $O_{E\left(\rho_{2}\right)} C_{1}+O_{E\left(\rho_{2}\right)} C_{2}$ is $O_{E\left(\rho_{2}\right)}$-free of rank $\operatorname{deg} \rho_{2}(=2)$ at $s=t=0$. This proves that (2) is an isomorphism at $I\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right): s=t=0$.
6.3.2. If $\rho=\rho_{2}$ and $\rho^{\prime}=\rho_{3}$ in the $D_{5}$-case, then $I_{\text {univ }}$ is generated by those elements whose specializations at $s=t=0$ belong to $V_{5}\left(\rho_{1}\right)+S_{1} V_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$.

Let $R=\mathbb{C}[[s, t]][x, y]$. By subsection 4.7, the versal deformation $I_{\text {univ }}$ of $I$ is given by $\mathcal{I}_{3}$, which is, as the ideal of $R$, generated by the elements

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{1}:=y^{5}+s x^{2} y+\lambda x, B_{2}:=-x^{5}-s x y^{2}+\lambda y \\
C_{1}:=x^{3} y+t y^{4}+s t x^{2}, C_{2}:=-x y^{3}+t x^{4}+s t y^{2} \\
A_{6}+2 s A_{4}, A_{8}-2 \lambda A_{4}, A_{4}-t \lambda
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\lambda=\frac{s^{2} t}{1+t^{2}}$. Let $A:=A_{4}-t \lambda$. We will check $s C_{1}=s C_{2}=0$ and $t B_{1}=t B_{2}=0$ in $\mathcal{V}$. In fact, in $S[[s, t]]$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t B_{1}=y C_{1}-x A, t B_{2}=-x C_{2}-y A \\
& s C_{1}=\left(1+t^{2}\right) x B_{1}-t x y C_{1}+y^{2} C_{2} \\
& s C_{2}=\left(1+t^{2}\right) y B_{2}-t x y C_{2}+x^{2} C_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\mathcal{V}=O_{E\left(\rho_{1}\right)} B+O_{E\left(\rho_{2}\right)} C_{1}+O_{E\left(\rho_{2}\right)} C_{2}
$$

Since $\mathcal{V}$ is $O_{E(\rho)}$-free of rank $\operatorname{deg} \rho$ over $E(\rho) \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(E)$, the upper-semicontinuity shows that $O_{E\left(\rho_{2}\right)} B_{1}+O_{E\left(\rho_{2}\right)} B_{2}$ is $O_{E\left(\rho_{2}\right)}$-free of rank $\operatorname{deg} \rho_{2}$ at $s=t=0$, while $O_{E\left(\rho_{3}\right)} C_{1}+O_{E\left(\rho_{3}\right)} C_{2}$ is $O_{E\left(\rho_{3}\right)}$-free of rank $\operatorname{deg} \rho_{3}$ at $s=t=0$. This proves that (2) is an isomorphism at $I\left(\rho_{2}, \rho_{3}\right): s=t=0$.
6.3.3. In this subsubsection we consider one of the most complicated case of $E_{6}$ where $\rho=\rho_{2}$ and $\rho^{\prime}=\rho_{3}$ with $\operatorname{deg}\left(\rho_{2}\right)=2$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(\rho_{3}\right)=3$. For the notation see Figure 4. Let $I:=P\left(\rho_{2}, \rho_{3}\right)$ and $W=\left(S_{1} V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)\left[\rho_{3}\right]$. Then $I:=I\left(\rho_{2}, \rho_{3}\right)=W+\sum_{k=7}^{11} S_{k}+\mathfrak{n}$ and $V(I)=W \oplus V_{7}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$. Moreover

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{S}\left(I / I^{2}, S / I\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(W, V_{6}\left(\rho_{3} / W\right)\right) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(V_{7}\left(\rho_{2}\right), V_{5}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)
$$

The versal deformation $I_{\text {univ }}$ of $I\left(\rho_{2}, \rho_{3}\right)$ is generated by

$$
B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}, C_{1}, C_{2}, A
$$

where $B_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ is the same as in subsubsection 6.2.8, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{1}:=-s_{2} \varphi+v \gamma_{1}+w x, C_{2}:=s_{1} \varphi+v \gamma_{2}+w y \\
A:=T-\pi^{*}(T)=T-\frac{1}{2 \omega+1} s w=T-\frac{1}{1+s} s^{2} v^{3} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The parameters $s$ and $v$ are regular parameters of $\operatorname{Hilb}{ }^{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ at $I$. The other parameters are related as follows :

$$
t=-(1-\omega) s v, u=(1-\omega) s v^{2}, w=-\frac{2 \omega+1}{1+s} s v^{3}
$$

whence $u=-t v, u v=-\omega^{2}(1+s) w$ and $(2 \omega+1) \pi^{*}(T)=s w$.
Then we see in $S[s, v]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v B_{1}=-\omega^{2}(1+s)\left(x C_{1}-y C_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{1-\omega}\left(\omega p_{2} B_{3}-p_{3} B_{2}\right) \\
& v B_{2}=-\omega^{2}(1+s)\left(x C_{1}+y C_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{1-\omega}\left(\omega p_{3} B_{1}-p_{1} B_{3}\right) \\
& v B_{3}=-\omega^{2}(1+s)\left(y C_{1}+x C_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{1-\omega}\left(\omega p_{1} B_{2}-p_{2} B_{1}\right) \\
& s C_{1}=\frac{1}{1-\omega}\left(y B_{1}+y B_{2}-x B_{3}\right)-(2 \omega+1) x A \\
& s C_{2}=\frac{1}{1-\omega}\left(x B_{1}-x B_{2}+y B_{3}\right)-(2 \omega+1) y A
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves $\mathcal{V}=\mathcal{V} \otimes O_{E\left(\rho_{2}\right)}+\mathcal{V} \otimes O_{E\left(\rho_{3}\right)}$.
6.3.4. In general, let $I \in P\left(\rho, \rho^{\prime}\right)$ be a singular point of $E$. Then as we saw above, we have an isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{V}_{[I]}=\mathcal{V}_{[I]} \otimes O_{E(\rho)} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{[I]} \otimes O_{E\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)}
$$

locally at $I$. This proves the global isomorphism over $E$

$$
\mathcal{V} \simeq \bigoplus_{\rho \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)} \mathcal{V} \otimes O_{E(\rho)}
$$

6.4. The sheaf $\mathcal{V} \otimes O_{E(\rho)}$. It remains to prove $\mathcal{V} \otimes O_{E(\rho)} \simeq \rho \otimes O_{E(\rho)}(-1)$.
6.4.1. Let $V$ be a two-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space, and $\mathbb{P}(V)$ the projective line of one-dimensional subspaces of $V$. There is a universal family of onedimensional subspaces of $V$ parametrized by $\mathbb{P}(V)$, which we denote $W_{\text {univ }}$. This is a line bundle (an invertible sheaf) on $\mathbb{P}(V)$. There is an exact sequence of $O_{\mathbb{P}(V) \text {-modules: }}$

$$
0 \rightarrow W_{\text {univ }} \rightarrow V \otimes O_{\mathbb{P}(V)} \rightarrow V \otimes O_{\mathbb{P}(V)} / W_{\text {univ }} \rightarrow 0
$$

This implies that $W_{\text {univ }} \simeq O_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(-1)$ because the bundle is twisted linearly. Let $V(\rho):=S_{\text {McKay }}(G)[\rho]=V_{h-d(\rho)}+V_{h+d(\rho)} \simeq \rho^{\oplus 2}$, and $\mathbb{P}(V(\rho))$ the projective line of nonzero irreducible $G$-submodules of $V(\rho)$. Then $V(\rho) \simeq$ $\rho \otimes V$ and $\mathbb{P}(V(\rho)) \simeq \mathbb{P}(V)$. It is obvious that $\rho \otimes W_{\text {univ }}$ yields a universal family $W_{\text {univ }}(\rho)$ of nonzero $G$-submodules of $V(\rho)$ parametrized by $\mathbb{P}(V(\rho))(\simeq$ $\mathbb{P}(V))$. We see $W_{\text {univ }}(\rho) \simeq \rho \otimes O_{\mathbb{P}(V(\rho))}(-1)$.
6.4.2. Let $I \in E(\rho)$. Then by identifying $E(\rho)$ with $\mathbb{P}(V)$, on any Zariski open subset $U:=\operatorname{Spec} A$ of $E(\rho)$ we have

$$
I_{\text {univ }} \otimes A=W_{\text {univ }}(\rho)+\sum_{V \subset Q u i v(\rho), v \nsupseteq \rho} V \otimes A+S^{\dagger} \otimes A,
$$

and by subsection 6.3

$$
\mathcal{V} \otimes A=W_{\text {univ }}(\rho) \otimes A=W_{\text {univ }}(\rho)
$$

In other words, $\mathcal{V} \otimes O_{E(\rho)} \simeq W_{\text {univ }}(\rho) \simeq \rho \otimes O_{E(\rho)}(-1)$.
This completes the proof of Theorem 3.9.
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