Evaluation of superharmonic functions using limits along lines

HIROAKI AIKAWA AND STEPHEN J. GARDINER

1. Introduction

If $u$ is a superharmonic function on $\mathbb{R}^2$, then

$$u(x, y) = \liminf_{t \to y} u(x, t)$$

for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. This follows from the fact that a line segment in $\mathbb{R}^2$ is non-thin at each of its constituent points (see Doob [1, 1. XI] or Helms [7, Chapter 10] for an account of thin sets and the fine topology). The situation is different in higher dimensions. For example, if $u$ is the Newtonian potential on $\mathbb{R}^3$ defined by

$$u(x, y, z) = \int_{-1}^{1} \{x^2 + y^2 + (z - t)^2\}^{-1/2} |t| dt,$$

then

$$u(0, 0, z) = \begin{cases} +\infty & (0 < |z| \leq 1) \\ 2 & (z = 0). \end{cases}$$

Corollary 2 below will show that, nevertheless, for nearly every vertical line $L$, the value of a superharmonic function at any point $X$ of $L$ is determined by its lower limit along $L$ at $X$.
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Typeset by AMS-TEX
Throughout this paper we let \( n \geq 3 \). A typical point of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) will be denoted by \( X \) or \((X', x)\), where \( X' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \) and \( x \in \mathbb{R} \). Given any function \( f : \mathbb{R}^n \to [-\infty, +\infty] \) and point \( X \), we define the vertical cluster set of \( f \) at \( X \) by

\[
C_V(f; X) = \{ \ell \in [-\infty, +\infty] : \text{there is a sequence } (t_m) \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \setminus \{x\} \text{ such that } t_m \to x \text{ and } f(X', t_m) \to \ell \},
\]

and the fine cluster set of \( f \) at \( X \) by

\[
C_F(f; X) = \{ \ell \in [-\infty, +\infty] : \text{for each neighbourhood } N \text{ of } \ell \text{ in } [-\infty, +\infty], \text{ the set } f^{-1}(N) \text{ is non-thin at } X \}.
\]

**Theorem 1.** If \( f : \mathbb{R}^n \to [-\infty, +\infty] \), then there is a polar subset \( E' \) of \( \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \) such that \( C_V(f; X) \cap C_F(f; X) \neq \emptyset \) whenever \( X' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus E' \).

In [2,Theorem 1] it was shown that there is a subset \( E' \) of \( \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \) such that \( E' \times \{0\} \) is a polar subset of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) (whence \( E' \) has Hausdorff dimension at most \( n - 2 \)) and \( C_V(f; X) \subseteq C_F(f; X) \) whenever \( X' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus E' \). In contrast, the exceptional set \( E' \) in Theorem 1 is polar in \( \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \), and hence has Hausdorff dimension at most \( n - 3 \).

A function \( f \) which is continuous at a point with respect to the fine topology will be called **finely continuous** at that point.

**Corollary 1.** If \( A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( f : \mathbb{R}^n \to [-\infty, +\infty] \) is finely continuous at each point of \( A \), then there is a polar subset \( E' \) of \( \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \) such that \( f(X) \in C_V(f; X) \) whenever \( X \in A \setminus (E' \times \mathbb{R}) \).
Corollary 2. Let \( u \) be a superharmonic function on an open subset \( \Omega \) of \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Then there is a polar subset \( E' \) of \( \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \) such that

\[
u(X) = \liminf_{t \to x} u(X', t) \quad (X \in \Omega \setminus (E' \times \mathbb{R})).\]

Corollary 3. If \( \Omega \) is a finely open subset of \( \mathbb{R}^n \), then there is a polar subset \( E' \) of \( \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \) such that the set \( S^\Omega_X \times \mathbb{R} = \{ t \in \mathbb{R} : (X', t) \in \Omega \} \) has no isolated points whenever \( X' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus E' \).

The following example shows that the above results are sharp with regard to the size of the exceptional set.

Example 1. Let \( E' \) be any polar subset of \( \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \). Then there is a bounded Newtonian potential \( u \) on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) such that

\[
u(X) < \liminf_{t \to x} u(X', t),
\]

and hence

\[
u(X) \not\in C_V(u; X),
\]

whenever \( X \in E' \times \mathbb{Q} \). Also, there is a finely open set \( \Omega \) such that \( S^\Omega_X \times \mathbb{Q} = \{0\} \) whenever \( X' \in E' \).

The proof of Theorem 1 and Corollaries 1–3 will be given in §2, and the details of Example 1 in §3.
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2. Proof of Theorem 1

We will require the following.

**Lemma 1.** Let $A' \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $(X', x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. The following are equivalent:

(a) $A' \times \mathbb{R}$ is thin at $(X', x)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$;

(b) $A' \times (x, x + \varepsilon)$ is thin at $(X', x)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$;

(c) $A' \times (x - \varepsilon, x)$ is thin at $(X', x)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

(d) $A'$ is thin at $X'$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.

A proof of the equivalence of (a) and (d) above may be found in [5, Lemma 2] (see [6] for a general abstract result of this type). Clearly (a) implies both (b) and (c). Since superharmonicity is preserved by reflection, (b) is equivalent to (c). Hence it remains to check that (b) and (c) together imply (a). Since the set

$$\{Y \in A' \times \{x\} : 2^{-j} \leq |Y - X| < 2^{1-j}\}$$

is contained in the image of

$$\{Y \in A' \times (x, x + \varepsilon) : 2^{-j} \leq |Y - X| < 2^{1-j}\}$$

under the canonical projection from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{x\}$, and since Newtonian capacity decreases under this projection, it follows from Wiener’s criterion (see [7, Theorem
that $A' \times \{x\}$ is thin at $X = (X', x)$. Hence $A' \times (x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$ is thin at $(X', x)$, being the union of three such sets, and (a) follows since thinness is a local property.

The following lemma is an easy consequence of the definition of the fine cluster set $C_F(f; X)$.

**Lemma 2.** Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If $A$ is non-thin at $X$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$C_F(f; X) \cap \overline{f(A)} \neq \emptyset,$$

where $\overline{f(A)}$ denotes the closure of $f(A)$ in $[-\infty, +\infty]$.

For the proof of Theorem 1 we will modify an argument of Hayman (see [8, pp.472, 473]). Let $\mathcal{L}$ denote the collection of open intervals of $\mathbb{R}$ with endpoints in $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathcal{I}$ the collection of finite unions of closed intervals of $[-\infty, +\infty]$ with endpoints in $\mathbb{Q} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$. Also, we define

$$E' = \{X' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : C_V(f; (X', x)) \cap C_F(f; (X', x)) = \emptyset \text{ for some } x \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Let $Y' \in E'$. Then there exists $y$ in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $C_V(f; Y) \cap C_F(f; Y) = \emptyset$, where $Y = (Y', y)$. Since $C_V(f; Y)$ and $C_F(f; Y)$ are compact subsets of $[-\infty, +\infty]$, we can find $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$ and $L \in \mathcal{L}$ such that

1. $C_F(f; (Y', x)) \subseteq I$ for some value of $x$ in $L$,
2. $f(Y', x) \in J$ for all but at most one value of $x$ in $L$,
3. $I \cap J = \emptyset$.

Given any $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$ and $L \in \mathcal{L}$, we now define a subset $E'(I, J, L)$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ by writing $Y' \in E'(I, J, L)$ if $Y' \in E'$ and (1) and (2) both hold. The preceding paragraph shows
that

(4) \[ E' = \bigcup E'(I, J, L), \]

where the union is over all choices of \( I, J, \) and \( L \) which satisfy (3).

Now suppose (with the aim of obtaining a contradiction) that there is a constituent member \( F' = E'(I_0, J_0, L_0) \) of the above union, and a point \( Z' \) of \( F' \), such that \( F' \) is non-thin at \( Z' \). In view of (1) we can choose \( z \) in the open interval \( L_0 \) such that \( C_{F'}(f; Z) \subseteq I_0 \), where \( Z = (Z', z) \). We choose a positive number \( \varepsilon \) such that \((z - \varepsilon, z + \varepsilon) \subseteq L_0\).

Also, we define

\[ F'_1 = \{ Y' \in F' : f(Y', x) \in J_0 \text{ whenever } x \in (z, z + \varepsilon) \} \]

and

\[ F'_2 = \{ Y' \in F' : f(Y', x) \in J_0 \text{ whenever } x \in (z - \varepsilon, z) \}. \]

In view of (2) at least one of the sets \( F'_1, F'_2 \) must be non-thin at \( Z' \).

We consider first the case where \( F'_1 \) is non-thin at \( Z' \). By Lemma 1, the set \( F'_1 \times (z, z + \varepsilon) \) is non-thin at \( Z \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Hence \( C_{F'}(f; Z) \cap J_0 \neq \emptyset \), by Lemma 2. This yields a contradiction since \( C_{F'}(f; Z) \subseteq I_0 \) and \( I_0 \cap J_0 = \emptyset \) by (3).

If, instead, \( F'_2 \) is non-thin at \( Z' \), we note from Lemma 1 that \( F'_2 \times (z - \varepsilon, z) \) is non-thin at \( Z \) and argue similarly.

From the above contradiction we conclude that \( F' \) must be thin at each of its points and hence is polar (see [1, 1.XI.6]). Since this is true for each constituent set of the union in (4), and since this is a countable union, the set \( E' \) is polar.
This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

If \( f \) is finely continuous at a point \( X \), then \( C_F(f;X) = f(X) \). Thus Corollary 1 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.

Corollary 2 is deduced as follows. We extend \( u \) to be defined on all of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) by assigning arbitrary values on \( \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \). Since \( u \) is finely continuous at all points of \( \Omega \), it follows from Corollary 1 that there is a polar subset \( E' \) of \( \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \) such that

\[
 u(X) \in C_V(u;X) \quad \text{whenever} \quad X \in \Omega \setminus (E' \times \mathbb{R}).
\]

However, by the lower semicontinuity of \( u \),

\[
 u(X) \leq \ell \quad \text{for all} \quad \ell \in C_V(u;X) \quad (X \in \Omega).
\]

Thus \( u(X) \in C_V(u,X) \) if and only if

\[
 u(X) = \liminf_{t \to x} u(X',t),
\]

and the result follows.

Corollary 3 is obtained from Corollary 1 by defining \( f \) to be the characteristic function valued 1 on \( \Omega \) and 0 elsewhere.

3. Details of Example 1

Example 1 was suggested by a construction in [3, Example 4] (or see [4, Example 1.13]). Let \( E' \) be any non-empty polar subset of \( \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \). Then there is a superharmonic function \( v \) on \( \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \) such that \( v = +\infty \) on \( E' \). Let \( A \) be the open subset of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) defined by

\[
 A = \{(X',x) : v(X') > |x|^{2-n}\},
\]
and let $\mu$ be the Riesz measure associated with the superharmonic function defined on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$(X',x) \mapsto v(X').$$

In view of the invariance of the above function under vertical translation, $\mu$ cannot charge any point of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Since

$$\lim \inf_{X \to (Y',0)} \frac{v(X')}{|X - (Y',0)|^{2-n}} \geq \lim \inf_{X \in A} \frac{v(X')}{|x|^{2-n}} \geq 1 > 0 = \mu(\{(Y',0)\}) \quad (Y' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}),$$

the set $A$ is thin at each point of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\}$ (see [1, 1.XI.4 (c)]).

Now let $w$ be a bounded continuous potential on $\mathbb{R}^n$ which determines thinness (see [1, 1.XI.10]); that is, $w$ has the property that, for any set $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\widehat{R}_w^F(X) < w(X) \text{ if and only if } F \text{ is thin at } X,$$

where $\widehat{R}_w^F$ is the regularized reduction of $w$ on $F$ relative to superharmonic functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $u_0 = \widehat{R}_w^A$. Then $u_0$ is a bounded potential on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $u_0 < w$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\}$. However, since $v = +\infty$ on $E'$, it is clear that $E' \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \subseteq A$, and so $u_0 = w$ on $E' \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$. It follows that

$$u_0(X',x) = w(X',x) \to w(X',0) > u_0(X',0) \quad (x \to 0; X' \in E').$$

Finally, let $(q_m)$ be an enumeration of $\mathbb{Q}$ and let

$$u(X',x) = \sum_m 2^{-m}u_0(X',x - q_m) \quad ((X',x) \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$

It follows from the dominated convergence theorem that, for any $k$ in $\mathbb{N}$ and $X' \in E'$,

$$\sum_{m \neq k} 2^{-m}u_0(X',x - q_m) \to \sum_{m \neq k} 2^{-m}u_0(X',q_k - q_m)$$
as \( x \to q_k \). Hence
\[
\liminf_{x \to q_k} u(X', x) = 2^{-k} \liminf_{x \to 0} u_0(X', x) + \sum_{m \neq k} 2^{-m} u_0(X', q_k - q_m)
\]
(6)
\[
> 2^{-k} u_0(X', 0) + \sum_{m \neq k} 2^{-m} u_0(X', q_k - q_m) = u(X', q_k),
\]
by (5). Since (6) holds for every \( k \) and every \( X' \in E' \), the first assertion of Example 1 is established. Also, if we define \( \Omega \) to be the fine interior of \( \mathbb{R}^n \setminus A \), then it is clear that \( S_{X'}^\Omega = \{0\} \) whenever \( X' \in E' \).
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